Abstract: The role of antibodies in chronic injury to organ transplants has been suggested for many years, but recently emphasized by new data. We have observed that when immunosuppressive potency decreases either by intentional weaning of maintenance agents or due to homeostatic repopulation after immune cell depletion, the threshold of B cell activation may be lowered. In human transplant recipients the result may be donor-specific antibody, C4d+ injury, and chronic rejection. This scenario has precise parallels in a rhesus monkey renal allograft model in which T cells are depleted with CD3 immunotoxin, or in a CD52-T cell transgenic mouse model using alemtuzumab to deplete T cells. Such animal models may be useful for the testing of therapeutic strategies to prevent DSA. We agree with others who suggest that weaning of immunosuppression may place transplant recipients at risk of chronic antibody-mediated rejection, and that strategies to prevent this scenario are needed if we are to improve long-term graft and patient outcomes in transplantation. We believe that animal models will play a crucial role in defining the pathophysiology of antibody-mediated rejection and in developing effective therapies to prevent graft injury. Two such animal models are described herein.
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Abstract

The role of antibodies in chronic injury to organ transplants has been suggested for many years, but recently emphasized by new data. We have observed that when immunosuppressive potency decreases either by intentional weaning of maintenance agents or due to homeostatic repopulation after immune cell depletion, the threshold of B cell activation may be lowered. In human transplant recipients the result may be donor-specific antibody, C4d+ injury, and chronic rejection. This scenario has precise parallels in a rhesus monkey renal allograft model in which T cells are depleted with CD3 immunotoxin, or in a CD52-T cell transgenic mouse model using alemtuzumab to deplete T cells. Such animal models may be useful for the testing of therapeutic strategies to prevent DSA. We agree with others who suggest that weaning of immunosuppression may place transplant recipients at risk of chronic antibody-mediated rejection, and that strategies to prevent this scenario are needed if we are to improve long-term graft and patient outcomes in transplantation. We believe that animal models will play a crucial role in defining the pathophysiology of antibody-mediated rejection and in developing effective therapies to prevent graft injury. Two such animal models are described herein.
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1. Introduction: Alloantibody in human transplantation

Evidence that alloantibody may develop more often in human renal allograft recipients following alemtuzumab induction was noted by Barth et al. in analyzing the initial results with alemtuzumab at the University of Wisconsin [1]. The kinetics of depletion and repopulation of immune cells in renal transplant patients treated with alemtuzumab were first studied and reported in this communication. Although an uncontrolled trial, of 13 patients with acute rejection during the first 3 years (out of 29 subjects), 7 (54%) included a component of alloantibody-mediated rejection (AMR). This suggested that either lower maintenance immunosuppressive drug therapy used, or the induction therapy itself might be responsible for augmenting AMR.

Given the higher incidence of AMR in the alemtuzumab cohort, we aimed to avoid AMR by modifying the maintenance therapy in subsequent trials. In collaboration with the Immune Tolerance Network, we performed a clinical trial of alemtuzumab induction (three consecutive daily doses of 30 mg) with 60 days of tacrolimus therapy and sirolimus therapy starting on day 1. This carefully monitored cohort of ten patients achieved excellent clinical outcomes at 4 years followup [2]. However, the incidence of alloantibody detected by solid phase bead assay for MHC class I and class II was 50% overall. One of the ten patients developed clinical evidence of chronic allograft rejection by two years, and the other patients with alloantibody either had resolution of antibody or no clinical evidence of deteriorating graft function. Nevertheless, this carefully observed population of patients had a remarkably high incidence of detectable donor-specific antibody compared to historical controls, again suggesting that depletion may be followed by alloantibody in the clinical setting of minimal maintenance immunosuppression.

The mechanistic explanation of alloantibody production was explored by Bloom et al. by evaluating B cell cytokines in renal transplant patients following alemtuzumab treatment compared to non-depleting induction with basiliximab (anti-CD25 mAb). It was noted that BAFF levels were significantly elevated compared to control patients tested at early time points, but with return of BAFF levels toward baseline at 2 years following therapy [3]. This was not true of APRIL levels that were similar in both populations. Furthermore, it was shown that B cell activation threshold is substantially altered by BAFF, and that both BAFF protein and RNA were elevated following depletion by alemtuzumab. The reason for BAFF elevation is not clear,
and several explanations are possible including depletion of T cells expressing BAFF receptor, homeostatic proliferation of cells that produce BAFF, and enhanced BAFF production by surviving immune cells. BAFF is expressed in both membrane bound and soluble form and is the sole known ligand of BAFF receptor that is preferentially expressed on B cells. The cell types known to produce BAFF include monocytes, neutrophils, and activated lymphocytes in peripheral blood [4-6]. T cells also express BAFF receptor that upon engagement participates in costimulation of T cell activation [6-8].

New data from Iwakoshi et al. suggests that T cell depletion by antibody may not be the only mechanism by which B cell activation is enhanced, but that calcineurin-inhibitors (CNI) may also hold B cells in a transitional state and that weaning of CNI may release B cells to become fully activated and differentiate. We are interested in studying how current immunosuppressive agents used in transplantation may influence the B cell and thus downstream alloantibody. Given the clinical observation that non-adherent patients or patients on minimal immunosuppression are prone to developing DSA leading to acute or chronic rejection, this topic is germane to strategies to promote long-term graft survival.

2. **Historical Perspective on Antibody-mediated Rejection in Kidney Transplantation**

The initial BANFF classification established in 1991 did not refer to antibody-mediated rejection (AMR). Subsequently, in 1997 the term “hyperacute rejection” from the first BANFF criteria was renamed “antibody-mediated rejection”. With significant advances and development of novel assays in detection of antibody, there has been an increased recognition of AMR in renal transplant recipients and this concept was incorporated into the BANFF criteria in the 2001 meeting (the modified Banff classification was subsequently published in 2003) [9]. With progress in immunohistochemical techniques, Feucht’s demonstration of C4d, a complement-degradation product in peritubular capillaries of allografts, marked a major breakthrough in diagnosing humoral rejection [10]. C4d staining is evident when the intragraft complement cascade is activated and serves as indirect proof of complement-fixing antibody deposition in renal allografts. When comparing one-year graft survival of patients with C4d vs. without C4d, biopsies which stained positive for C4d had significant lower graft survival (57% vs. 90%).
Studies have shown that C4d staining is a strong independent predictor for subsequent graft loss [11-13].

In 1969, Morris et al reported the first association of HLA antibodies post transplantation with graft failure, and 54% of their reported patients with renal allograft rejection had HLA alloantibodies [14]. At that time, patients were only tested for class I antibodies and it wasn’t until 1978 that class II antibodies were identified [15]. This discovery led to an increased recognition and detection of patients with antibodies (72%) [16]. With further improvements in sensitivity and the introduction of flow cytometry, sensitivities for antibody detection have improved and it became more evident that antibodies are commonly present in the setting of graft dysfunction.

Since then, a series of studies have evaluated DSA production after transplantation. Hourmant et al. screened 1229 kidney transplant recipients between 1972 and 2002 over a prospective time period of 5 years to assess the frequency and clinical implications of the development of donor-specific (DS) and non-donor-specific (NDS) HLA antibodies. Methods used for DSA and NDS antibody detection were complement-dependent crossmatch (CDC), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and flow cytometry. A total of 5.5% of the patients had DS, 11.3% had NDS, and 83% had no HLA antibodies after transplantation. NDS antibodies appeared earlier (1 to 5 yr post-transplantation) than DSA (5 to 10 yr). In multivariate analysis, HLA-DR matching, pretransplantation immunization, and acute rejection were significantly associated with the development of both DS and NDS antibodies and also of DS versus NDS antibodies. The presence of either DS or NDS antibodies significantly correlated with lower graft survival, poor transplant function, and proteinuria [17]. Terasaki et al. initiated an international multi-center study including 4763 patients to determine the frequency of HLA antibodies in patients with functional allografts. The study included not only renal transplant recipients but also liver, heart, and lung transplant recipients. The frequency of HLA antibodies among kidney transplant recipients was 20.9%; 19.3% in the liver, 22.8% in the heart, and 14.2% in the lung.

Subsequently a second, prospective multi-center trial was performed at 23 kidney transplant centers to determine whether HLA antibodies could forecast graft failure within the first year of transplant. Of 500 patients who had HLA antibodies, graft failure occurred in 6.6%, compared with 3.3% among 1778 patients where HLA-antibodies were not detected. Among 244 patients who made de novo antibodies, 8.6% failed compared with 3.0% failures among 1421 patients
who did not make antibodies. This prospective trial showed that 1 year after transplantation, patients with HLA antibodies had significantly higher rates of graft failure compared to those without antibodies [18]. Lee et al. provided further evidence that development of HLA antibodies post-transplantation precedes clinical manifestations of chronic rejection. 139 patients who had undergone renal transplantation were systematically screened for class I and class-II HLA antibodies 3 months, 6 months, and then yearly over a 8 year time period. All 29 patients who were diagnosed with chronic rejection on biopsy had HLA antibodies detected before rejection was evident. 14 out of these 29 patients developed de novo antibodies. Interestingly, there was detection of HLA antibodies post-transplant in 27% of patients with stable function [19]. Although HLA-antibodies were detectable many years before diagnosing clinical chronic rejection, their presence does not always implicate AMR. Observations by other groups have shown that antibody appearance foreshadows the rise in baseline creatinine serum levels and that there is great variability in time between antibody detection and apparent graft damage [20].

3. Antibody-mediated rejection in liver transplantation.

The role of donor-directed antibody, more commonly referred to as donor specific antibody (DSA), in kidney transplantation and its effects on kidney allograft outcomes has been well established [21, 22]. In fact, reemergence of DSA after kidney transplantation in sensitized patients, despite having undergone clearance of antibody prior to transplant, with concomitant occurrence of antibody mediated rejection serves as a potent example and model of how detrimental the presence of DSA can be to allograft outcomes [23].

By contrast, the role of donor-directed antibody in liver transplantation in the context of early and late graft outcomes has only recently begun to be investigated. This in part stems from numerous early reports that suggested that the presence of alloantibody had no deleterious impact on liver allografts immediately after transplantation [24, 25]. However, recent reports suggest that a positive crossmatch prior to liver transplantation has a significant deleterious effect on early and late survival of liver allografts [26-29].

Particularly compelling evidence for the role of donor-directed antibody being detrimental to liver allografts comes from a number of groups. First, Musat and colleagues recently
demonstrated that in patients who received ABO compatible liver allografts, irrespective of crossmatch, the presence of serum DSA and deposition of the complement component C4d in allograft biopsies had a strong correlation with the coexistent finding of acute cellular rejection in these patients. Musat and colleagues further concluded that the presence of DSA and C4d in these patients’ sera and their biopsies respectively also indicated the simultaneous presence of acute cellular and antibody mediated rejection [30]. Interestingly, positive DSA in sera and C4d in biopsy specimens also appear to have a strong correlation with chronic rejection and late graft failure in liver transplantation as well [31].

Kozlowski et al. found that in patients who underwent liver transplantation with a positive pre-transplant crossmatch and in whom their DSA persisted after transplant were at higher risk for early graft dysfunction [32]. In particular, this early graft dysfunction was mediated by donor-directed antibodies, i.e. antibody mediated rejection. In their reports, similar to the findings of Musat and colleagues, the diagnosis of antibody-mediated rejection was confirmed by the both the presence of DSA in patient sera as well as strong staining for C4d in the liver parenchyma.

At this point, one must begin to wonder how the early findings of successful liver transplantation across a positive crossmatch and more recent reports of poorer outcomes in patients with positive crossmatch and persistent circulating DSA can coexist. They seem to be two mutually exclusive outcomes. One potential model whereby these findings could be explained is that in some patients who have donor-directed antibody prior to transplant, this antibody is cleared after liver transplantation [33-35]. But, clearance of donor-directed antibody is not complete and, in fact, may follow a pattern based on whether it is Class I or Class II DSA [27, 35-37].

Unfortunately, further insight into the relationship between alloantibody and liver allografts must remain purely speculative. As of this moment, no good animal or clinical model exists to study the interaction of DSA and allograft in liver transplantation. The one conclusion that can be made is that based on the recent reports cited above, donor-directed antibody in liver transplantation has a role in the outcome of allografts both early and late after liver transplantation and that further investigation into this question is certainly required.

4. ABO-compatibility in antibody mediated rejection
In addition to the HLA system, the ABO system plays an important role in the success of solid organ transplantation. ABO-incompatible organ transplantation in general carries a high risk of antibody-mediated hyperacute rejection leading to graft loss within minutes to hours. All humans have preformed blood group antibodies presents (except patients with the blood group AB, who do not produce anti-A and anti-B antibodies). In the setting of ABO-incompatible organ transplantation, antigens present on the graft endothelium are recognized by anti-A or anti-B antibodies of the recipient. This can initiate a cascade of events leading to AMR with result in thrombosis of graft vasculature and graft loss. ABO-identical or compatible transplantation is therefore preferred over ABO-incompatible transplantation because the results are superior [38]. Translating and applying scientific observations to clinical practice is generally first performed in adult cohorts before it is being applied to the pediatric population. The guidelines requiring ABO compatibility for safe transplantation evolved for a population of individuals that did not include infants [39].

Some of the historical ground breaking observations in the field of transplantation, however, were seen and described in immature immune systems. Owen reported in 1945 that mono-chorionic, dizygotic twin calves permanently accepted and expressed blood cells from their twin that were shared in utero [15]. It would follow that they might accept skin grafts from one another. Inter-twin skin grafts however, were rejected by calves who had separate placental circulation [40]. In 1953Billingham, Brent and Medewar described acquired tolerance in a murine animal model that proved that neonatal mice were sufficiently immature to be susceptible to tolerance induction. Intravenous infusion of donor-splenocytes into mice in utero showed that these animals later accepted skin grafts and other tissues from the donor strain, but not from other mouse strains [41]. Neonatal tolerance also occurs naturally in human mono-chorionic dizygotic twins who have different blood groups, as such twins become tolerant to each other’s blood group in utero [42]. Approximately 50 years after Medewar’s findings were reported, West et al. reported successful ABO-incompatible heart transplantation in 10 infants. All recipients received standard immunosuppression, without aggressive therapies to remove donor-specific antibodies. Eighty percent of the patients survived and no episodes of hyperacute rejections were observed [43]. It has been shown that the mechanism by which ABO-incompatible heart grafts are tolerated in infancy is through development of B-cell tolerance to donor blood group A and B
antigen by allospecific B-cell depletion [44]. Tolerance in this setting seems to require some degree of peripheral antigen persistence and persists as long as the incompatible allograft is present. Interestingly, upon re-transplantation, when the ABO-incompatible allograft is removed and replaced by an ABO-compatible heart graft, the previously present ABO epitope reappeared in patients – which gives the impression that the allospecific B-cell depletion is transient [45]. Proving tolerance to ABO-incompatible heart grafts West et al. demonstrated for the first time that ABO tolerance could be acquired in humans. Interestingly, the development of tolerance to donor-HLA could not be demonstrated in these patients. This successful clinical experience has lead to adaptation of this protocol by various groups around the world, confirming the reliable clinical success of ABO-incompatible heart transplantation in infants. This has contributed significantly to reduction of mortality of listed infants awaiting heart transplantation [46-49]. There have not been any reported problems due to isohemagglutinins late after transplantation, because donor-directed antibody levels generally remain absent or clinically insignificant [45]. Estimated actual patient survival has been identical to that observed in ABO-compatible infant heart transplants [49].

With regard to outcomes from transplantation, ABO-incompatible heart transplantation has been shown to be at least “noninferior” to ABO-compatible transplantation in infants [50]. It is well known that isohemagglutinins are not produced until 5-6 months of age, which presents a window of opportunity during which ABO-incompatible transplantation carries a reduced risk of hyperacute graft rejection [51]. Compared to the adult immune system, the neonatal immune system provides a unique environment for susceptibility to tolerance induction due to relatively smaller immunologic memory and antigen naivety. The infant is limited in his or her ability to mount an effective response to encapsulated pathogens and carbohydrate antigen vaccines since B-cells (in the first few months of life), are largely inept to stimulation by T-independent polysaccharide antigens.

5. The effects of immunosuppressants on B-cells

5.1. Conventional immunosuppressants
Immunosuppressive agents currently used in transplantation are primarily designed to target T-cells and prevent acute cellular rejection. The effect of these conventionally used drugs on B-cell function has not been fully elucidated. B-cell activation is tightly regulated by T-cells, suggesting that suppression of T-cells could have an effect on B-cells as well (Figure 1). We address below the role of commonly used immunosuppressive drugs on B-cells.

**Calcineurin Inhibitors (CNIs)**

Tacrolimus and cyclosporine both belong to the drug class of calcineurin inhibitors with their primary effect being the inhibition of T-cell activation. Studies have failed to show any conclusive effects on T cell-independent B cell antibody production [52-54]. Human in vitro studies have shown that neither tacrolimus nor cyclosporine inhibit B-cell proliferation or increase B-cell apoptosis [55]. Interestingly, cyclosporine has shown to cause a minor decrease in B1a and B1b cells but did not decrease anti-ABO antibodies that are produced by B1a cells [56, 57]. Tacrolimus has been shown to cause a decrease in B1a cells and an increase in B2 cells [56, 57]. Both drugs decrease levels of most major cytokines [52], except for levels of IL-4, -5, and -10 with cyclosporine and IL-5 levels with tacrolimus, which decreases the alloimmune response and potentially B cell stimulation. This might lead to a decrease in immune responses to allografts and even decreased B cell stimulation. Cyclosporine and Tacrolimus were shown to decrease alloantibody production in mice that were challenged with blood transfusion [58, 59].

**Rapamycin**

Rapamycin is a macrolide antibiotic which binds to FKBP 12 and inhibits the mTOR pathway [60]. This leads to an inhibition of CD28 mediated upregulation of IKBa which blocks transcription of IL-2. Rapamycin decreases T-cell dependent antibody formation [52, 53] but unlike CNIs, it decreases T cell independent B cell antibody formation, increases B-cell apoptosis, and inhibits B-cell proliferation [52-55]. It has been shown that heart-transplanted pigs treated with rapamycin alone did not form DSA and had decreased numbers of macrophages in the allograft 30 days post transplantation. In contrast, the untreated group, tacrolimus group, and cyclosporine treated group developed DSA. In human renal transplant recipients, rapamycin was found to inhibit the anti-equine antibody response in patients treated with antithymocyte globulin [61].
**Mycophenolate Mofetil**

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) inhibits the enzyme IMPDH used for DNA synthesis, and arrests cells in the G1/S stage [62]. This leads not only to an inhibition of lymphocyte responses but also to an inhibition of induction of cytotoxic T cells. This drug was also found to have an effect on dendritic cells in vitro by suppressing maturation and alloimmune function. Due to decreased GTP formation it also decreases the expression of adhesion molecules as there is lack of GTP to activate fucose and mannose transport needed for glycoprotein synthesis. This results in decreased B cell proliferation and increased B cell apoptosis [52-55]. Among the B cell subtypes, B1b cells are most affected by MMF [56]. Leder *et al.* observed a decrease in HLA antibody formation and also a decrease in rejection episodes in renal transplant recipients when comparing MMF treated patients vs. non-MMF treated patients [63].

**Alemtuzumab**

Alemtuzumab is a humanized CD52 specific IgG1 monoclonal antibody, which causes profound peripheral lymphodepletion by complement mediated lysis [64]. CD52 is present on T cells, B cells, monocyte and neutrophils. After administration of alemtuzumab B cells remains suppressed for 3-12 months while T cell levels, in particular T helper cells, can remain suppressed for up to 3 years [65]. Despite its suppressive effects on B cells, alemtuzumab induction has been associated with an increased incidence of humoral rejection [66-69]. This may be attributed to weak CD52 expression on plasma cells compared to normal B cells [70], resistance of memory T cells to alemtuzumab [62], or to lack of adequate maintenance immunosuppression. Protective immunity is not severely compromised, as the innate arm of immunity remains intact with alemtuzumab therapy [71-76] but viral and fungal infections are increased as might be expected in the setting of potent lymphocyte depletion.

**Belatacept**

Two signals are needed for T cell activation, signal 1 (engagement of the T cell receptor with MHC: protein complex) and signal 2 (engagement of CD28 with CD80 and CD86 on antigen presenting cells). This leads to an increase in the expression of CTLA-4 (CD152) which binds to CD80/86 and down-regulates T cell activation by arresting the cell cycle by inhibition of IL-2 transcription [77]. Two drugs, abatacept and belatacept, are humanized fusion proteins which are
comprised of the extracellular domain of CTLA4 and the Fc domain of human IgG. These drugs bind to CD 80/86 and inhibit the interaction with CD 28 and thus preventing signal 2 which is needed for T cell activation. Belatacept is a second generation drug which has more avid binding to CD80/86 than abatacept [78]. Belatacept has been shown to decrease serum antibodies in primates after islet cell transplantation. Interestingly, B cell levels were normal in these animals [78, 79].

In a multicenter prospective study comparing belatacept (high vs. low dose) with cyclosporine, non-sensitized cross-match negative patients were studied and found to have remarkably low incidence of de novo alloantibody production in the belatacept cohorts, suggesting that belatacept may effectively reduce DSA post-transplantation [80].

5.2. New B cell therapeutics in transplantation

**Bortezomib**

Bortezomib is a proteasome inhibitor that selectively targets the 26s proteasome complex, which prevents the degradation of key proteins and leads to cell apoptosis [81]. This drug has been approved for use in multiple myeloma [82] and holds promise for transplantation due to its inhibitory affects on alloreactive B cells. Bortezomib was also found to inhibit NF-kB which plays an important role in cytokine release, adhesion molecule expression and antigen presentation, thus further lowering the threshold of immune response towards the graft [83]. When given in combination with rapamycin, bortezomib decreases rapamycin-resistant memory T cells without affecting Tregs, potentially due to the inhibition of the down-regulation of p27kip1 which suppresses CD8 T cells [84]. Two patients with acute AMR experienced rejection reversal and durable DSA elimination with bortezomib primary therapy after plasmapheresis [85].

**Anti-BAFF agents (Atacicept/Belimumab)**

Atacicept is a recombinant fusion proteins formed by combining the BAFF and APRIL-binding extracellular portion of the Transmembrane and Calcium Modulating Ligand Interactor (TACI) and the Fc portion of human immunoglobulin (IgG1). BAFF/BLyS and APRIL blockade prevents B cell maturation, differentiation, and survival [86]. Xu et al. found a gradual increase
in levels of BAFF over time in kidney transplant recipients as well as higher levels of BAFF expression on CD3 T cell in patients with poorer graft function [87]. BAFF has been shown to influence class switching of immunoglobulins, enhance survival and effector function of plasmablasts [88-90] as well as memory B cell differentiation into Ig-secreting cells in a T cell dependent manner, while opposite results were seen with respect to T cell independent responses [91]. In kidney transplantation, a positive correlation was seen between levels of BAFF and HLA 1 and 2 antibodies. Some B cells avoid immunosuppressant mediated death in tertiary lymphoid organs in the grafts probably by BAFF dependent paracrine survival signals [92]. Atacicept has an inhibitory role on BAFF and a biphasic response leading to a transient increase in mature B cells. Decreased levels of Ig's have been noted in rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus patients treated with atacicept [93, 94].

Belimumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds and inhibits soluble BAFF/BlyS. In a phase 3, multicenter study, it was found to induce overall improvement in systemic lupus erythematosus compared to placebo; it also significantly reduced IgG, IgM and IgA concentrations from baseline compared to placebo [95].

**Eculizumab**

Eculizumab is a humanized antibody that binds to C5, inhibiting its cleavage and generation of the membrane attack complex [96]. This interrupts the complement pathway just before the formation of membrane attack complex. Wang et al. used this antibody in a heart xenotransplantation model (rat to mouse) and showed that hyperacute rejection was prevented; however, the grafts eventually failed [94]. They extended their experiment and used eculizumab in combination with cyclosporine in C3H to BALB/C mouse heart transplanted animals, and the grafts survived for more than 100 days but failed once eculizumab was removed [97]. Later, the same group transplanted hearts into presensitized mice and treated them with a combination of cyclophosphamide and cyclosporine. This led to prolongation of graft survival to over 100 days. When eculizumab was removed from the regimen at day 60, the grafts functioned well despite little deposition of C5, which was absent at day 60, suggesting accommodation [98]. This was
thought to be due to a shift of Ig’s, with an increase in IgG1b and decrease in IgG2a, and an increase in antiapoptotic factors Bcl-2 and Bcl-xl.

6. B cells in animal model of chronic rejection

Chronic rejection is the leading cause of graft failure after organ transplants with an unchanged incidence despite a 50% decline in acute rejection over the past decades [99, 100]. For instance, despite substantially improved rates of 1- and 3-year graft survival in human deceased-donor kidney transplantation, the 10 year graft survival is almost unchanged over the past 25 years despite many innovations and advancements in the field, remaining at approximately 40% [101]. Yet, chronic rejection is arguably the most poorly understood phenomenon among post-transplant outcomes such as acute rejection, PTLD, post-transplant infection. In large part, this lack of understanding is due to the complexity of etiology of chronic rejection often referred as “multifactorial” [102, 103].

Animal models have been of great importance to our understanding of transplant immunology in general. More specifically, animal models are essential to our understanding of the basic mechanisms of chronic rejection and will likely guide development of strategies to prevent it. Due to the substantial evidence of alloantibody-mediated rejection (AMR) contributing to chronic rejection [104, 105], the B cell has become an important focus of research on chronic rejection.

We will discuss the differences between current murine experimental models of chronic rejection and the impact of these differences on the interpretation of the data. We will also discuss a model of B cell mediated chronic rejection (or antibody-mediated chronic rejection) that addresses clinically relevant mechanisms of chronic rejection.

6.1. Current rodent models of chronic allograft rejection

The rat aortic transplant model has been used to study the gradual development of vascular lesions that mimic the vascular changes associated with chronic rejection. In this model, the graft undergoes an acute rejection episode that evolves over weeks into a chronic type of inflammation
and ultimately leads to sclerosis [106]. Most laboratories have chosen heterotopic heart transplantation or orthotopic kidney transplantation rather than aortic transplantation for this purpose with the rationale that they more closely approximate clinical organ transplantation. Heterotopically transplanted heart grafts with chronic rejection develop atherosclerosis, interstitial fibrosis, myocytes loss, and hypertrophy of the remaining myocytes [107]. In contrast, the mouse kidney or liver transplant model has been performed by only a few centers, because of its complexity, including additional anastomosis [108, 109]. However, the low incidence and intensity of rejection following renal or hepatic grafting in mice may render this models fit to study chronic rejection [110].

As shown in Table 1, several mouse strain combinations have been reported with heart, kidney and other organs transplants to result in chronic rejection. These chronic rejection models were produced with 1) less disparity of histocompatible antigens (such as class I, class II or minor Ag mismatch), 2) various degree (weak vs. strong) of immunosuppressive agents, or 3) more immunologically permissive organs (such as kidney or liver).

6.1.1. Chronic rejection model without immunosuppression

Models without immunosuppression using a lesser degree of MHC disparity between donor and recipient showed features of chronic rejection (CR) such as intimal hyperplasia [111]. However, CR was often accompanied by rapid medial necrosis with extensive adventitial infiltrate [111]. In these models, acute rejection was felt to be the main contributor to subsequent chronic rejection development. For example, BALB.B to B6 cardiac allograft, recipients showed 50% spontaneous graft acceptance without immunosuppression [112]. On the other hand, the other 50% of grafts surviving long-term experienced some type of acute injury (Figure 2). However, the number of immunodominent mH-Ag-specific T cell were not different, and untreated recipients clearly showed decreased beating compared to syngeneic allografts. Therefore, CR models with no immunosuppression are more “acute rejection-associated” rather than examples of ischemia/reperfusion injury. Targeting T cells in this model showed a dramatic reduction of chronic rejection development. [113].

6.1.2. Chronic rejection model with strong immunosuppression
Mouse models using immunosuppression that results in long-term graft survival (i.e. >100 days) most often showed CR lesions characterized by an intact media and relatively sparse mononuclear cell infiltrates [111]. Morphometric analysis of allografts also reveals gradual increase of hyperplasia and fibrosis in intima and fibrosis in the myocardium [114]. In this model, T cell mediated acute rejection is relatively well controlled by the immunosuppression. However, the model also can show a delayed acute rejection due to gradual immunosuppression weaning or discontinuation. For instance, Galium Nitrate (GN) and anti-CD4mAb resulted in over 60 days graft survival [115]. Based on graft survival and post-transplant graft function, chronic rejection in this model was associated with acute rejection. Among the three patterns (no decline, decline/recovery, and decline only) of cardiac function, any declination of the beating quality might represent T cell mediated acute rejection. As shown in figure 2, beating quality of BALB.B to B6 cardiac allografts showed a decline/recovery pattern and continuous infiltration of T cells in the graft [112]. Interestingly, anti-LFA-1mAb treatment resulted in no loss of graft function and no alloreactive T cell activation. The treatment showed significantly reduced chronic rejection (unpublished data). Taken together, chronic rejection models with potent immunosuppression may not completely control the T cell-mediated response.

Costimulation blockade (CTLA-4Ig and MR-1) prolonged graft survival without chronic rejection development, at least within 100 days post-transplant in CBA recipients of full MHC mismatched cardiac allografts[116]. However, B6 recipients with the same treatment regimen showed delayed acute rejection. It is highly likely that different strain combinations produce different cytokine patterns in response to donor antigen even under immunosuppression [117]

6.1.3. Chronic rejection model with permissive organ

As mentioned above, the transplanted kidney in mice is more permissive of graft tolerance than aortic grafts. BALB/c to B6 renal transplantation showed 70% spontaneous graft acceptance while 0% acceptance was found in cardiac allografts using the same strain combination [110]. Liver allografts are still more tolerogenic, and many fully MHC mismatched strain combinations showed indefinite acceptance after orthotopic liver transplantation, while skin or heart grafts are rejected acutely [118]. However, the mechanisms responsible for the tolerance induced by liver allograft are not fully understood. Moreover, the development of spontaneous acceptance of
organs could be associated with both an initial cellular and humoral immune response and suggests that tolerance involves an active process to achieve.

### 6.2. Chronic rejection model studying B cells effector function

The chronic rejection models we mentioned do not specifically show a prominent B cell role in chronic rejection development, perhaps because B cell effector function has not been a main focus of chronic rejection studies until recently. Alloantibody, the effector arm of B cells, was not always considered to be associated with graft rejection. Since alloantibody transfer sometimes enhanced graft survival in animal models [119, 120], its role was considered ambiguous. Lack of rejection in the setting of alloantibody is now referred to as accommodation. The mechanisms required for accommodation are currently unknown but may be due to inadequate injury to cause measurable dysfunction. More recently, the association of alloantibody formation and chronic rejection has been postulated [105, 121]. Development of graft arteriopathy after passive transfer of polyclonal antibodies to cardiac allograft-bearing SCID mouse recipients showed a causal link between alloantibody and chronic rejection [107]. Interestingly, the clinical observation in humans of chronic rejection associated with DSA is more convincing than experimental models reveal. No clinically relevant animal model to define antibody-mediated chronic rejection are available yet, whereas some acute antibody-mediated rejection models are available (Table 2).

**T cell depletion and B cell responses (cellular response vs. humoral response)**

Some strain combinations produce long-term graft survival but include features of chronic rejection such as arteriopathy and fibrosis. A single minor antigen (Ag) mismatch alone can induce chronic rejection in heart transplantation. Russell et al. [122] showed that H4 mH-Ag mismatched cardiac allografts (H4 congeneic→wild type B6) induced coronary artery vasculopathy (CAV) when evaluated at 8 weeks post-transplantation. Their result suggests that a single minor Ag mismatch in a fully-MHC matched situation can induce CAV. It is also been shown that chronic rejection is driven by H13-specific CD8 T cells in a H13a to H13b single mH-Ag mismatched cardiac allograft, suggesting that T cells can mediate chronic rejection even with miniscule histoincompatibilty [123].
Based on currently available chronic rejection models, most of which depend on T cell-mediated acute rejection, an antibody-mediated chronic rejection model should meet following conditions:

1. Stable long-term graft survival: No sudden graft loss due to delayed acute rejection.
2. Stable allograft function: No sudden decrease of heart beating quality (cardiac) or sCr elevation (kidney).
3. Alloantibody production.
4. C4d staining or equivalent.
5. Gradual development of chronic rejection histologically.

As we mentioned previously, patients receiving alemtuzumab induction showed higher incidence of antibody-mediated rejection [67, 69, 124, 125]. Their acute rejection rate was not increased compared to the control group, but rather the humoral component was increased in patients with acute rejection in this patients group.

We mimicked the human situation by using human CD52 transgenic mice with alemtuzumab to deplete T cells. CD4 T cell depletion using anti-CD4mAb has also been used to promote chronic rejection as shown in Table 1. Bishop et al. showed chronic rejection development after depleting CD4 T cells in an altered cytokine milieu [126]. However, alloantibody production was not reported with this model, and based on Oroze et al. it might be more T cell mediated-chronic rejection [115]. T cell depletion with alemtuzumab using huCD52Tg mice showed rapid T cell repopulation without any acute rejection (acute rejection measured by heart beat quality). Excellent graft function is maintained (+3/4 to +4/4) for more than 200 days. Alloantibody production was consistently observed in this model and neo-intimal hyperplasia/fibrosis was present (Figure 3). We also used novel and advanced flow cytometric methods to track donor-specific B cells using H-2K\textsuperscript{b}D\textsuperscript{b} or H-2K\textsuperscript{d}D\textsuperscript{d} tetramers. Tetramers are comprised of four identical biotinylated H-2 molecules bound to a fluorescently (APC- Allo-phycocyanin) labeled streptavidin molecule that is able to bind the donor-specific B cell antigen receptor (surface immunoglobulin). Thus, anti-H-2K\textsuperscript{b}D\textsuperscript{b} or H-2K\textsuperscript{d}D\textsuperscript{d} reactivity of the donor-specific B cells in allograft recipients will be identified using fluorescently-labeled H-2K\textsuperscript{b}D\textsuperscript{b} or H-2K\textsuperscript{d}D\textsuperscript{d} tetramers. We have used several tetramers with various peptide specificities and shown that the H-2 reactivity to B cells is peptide independent (Figure 4). Currently, the effects of several B cell
biologics and conventional drugs in conjunction with alemtuzumab on alloantibody production and chronic rejection are under investigation.

6.3. NHP models of antibody mediated rejection
The final step in translating immunomodulatory agents into human clinical trials is to demonstrate their safety and efficacy in the nonhuman primate (NHP) – the next of kin in our phylogenetic family. Conservation of signaling pathways, MHC protein expression, and antibody-binding specificity between macaques and humans makes therapeutic investigation in the nonhuman primate not only the preferred method but also an ethical requirement [127-130].

Long-term graft survival is challenged by chronic rejection, and de novo anti-donor HLA antibodies have been identified as a causative factor in humans [105] and at least predictive in macaques [131]. Accordingly, humoral immunity has been evaluated in nonhuman primates in the context of allo- and xeno-transplantation. Experimental xenotransplantation returned in the 1960s and ushered an increased awareness of humoral rejection [132]. With improved understanding of the role of xenoreactive antibodies and complement, hyperacute rejection became preventable by inhibiting complement activation and removing offending antibodies or altering their targets, namely Galα1,3Gal in the pig-to-NHP model [133-135]. The resulting “delayed” acute humoral rejection also involved xenoreactive antibodies against Gal as well as other components of the donor xenograft. Richards et al examined serum samples from 20 animals collected every 3 days post-transplant in an hDAF transgenic pig-to-cynomolgus monkey renal transplantation model and found that assays for IgM antibodies to porcine endothelial cells and leukocytes correlated with conventional anti-Gal assays in monitoring xenoreactive humoral immunity [136]. Furthermore, McCurry et al discussed that low-level humoral responses (either adaptive or secondary to immunosuppression) could lead to delayed humoral or chronic rejection [137]. Studies in xenotransplantation illuminated the complexity and significance of anti-donor antibodies and implied their role in the development of chronic rejection.

In allotransplantation, chronic and antibody mediated rejection have been increasingly studied,
but no nonhuman primate model currently exists exhibiting 100% de novo alloantibody production and antibody mediated rejection. To date, several groups have described the presence of alloantibodies or C4d deposition in their studies, as outlined in Table 3. Wieczorek et al reported a chronic rejection model induced by suboptimal CsA administration after kidney transplantation in cynomolgus monkeys [138]. They observed low grade transplant endarteritis progressing to chronic sclerosing vasculopathy with collagen and myofibroblast accumulation. Three of the 5 animals in the suboptimal CsA treatment group also demonstrated C4d deposits in the peritubular capillaries. De novo alloantibody production was not reported. Other groups designating CsA monotherapy as control groups detected alloantibodies in 33-100% of animals.

Several groups have investigated the roles of costimulation blockade, mixed chimerism induction, and chemokine blockade in NHP humoral alloimmunity. Haanstra et al observed that CD40 and CD86 blockade combined with CsA in a rhesus renal allograft model prolonged graft survival and eliminated alloantibody production, compared to 40% DSA presence with costimulation blockade alone [139]. Aoyagi et al administered anti-CD40 (4D11) as either induction or maintenance therapy, at 4 varying doses in a cynomolgus renal allograft model. Animals in the low dose treatment groups demonstrated +DSA and C4d deposition (7/12 animals) whereas high dose CD40 blockade abrogated the humoral response [140]. Azimzedeh et al, in a cynomolgus cardiac allograft model, employed CD154 blockade with a subset of animals receiving ATG. Thirteen of 19 treated animals demonstrated alloantibody production, albeit delayed compared to CsA controls and animals that underwent bone marrow transplantation [141]. These data indicate that costimulation blockade will often delay but not prevent alloantibodies. Kawai et al also utilized bone marrow transplantation in cynomologus cardiac recipients and detected alloantibodies with subsequent rejection in 3/3 monkeys that achieved multilineage chimerism, a strategy used for tolerance induction in renal allograft recipients [142, 143]. Finally, chemokine (CCR5) blockade has been widely studied in the murine population and found to attenuate acute and chronic allograft rejection. In the nonhuman primate, two groups have replicated this finding and have also observed a decrease in cardiac allograft vasculopathy as well as delayed alloantibody production [144, 145].

As evidenced by Table 3, not all groups have characterized B cell alloimmunity in cardiac and
renal transplantation in a uniform fashion. To standardize the diagnostic evaluation of chronic antibody mediated rejection in macaques, Smith et al reviewed their NHP experience in renal transplantation with mixed chimerism induction in two studies. First, they selected transplant recipients that demonstrated allograft C4d deposition (n=9) and found a high correlation with the presence of alloantibodies detected by flow cytometric crossmatch of recipient serum with donor PBMCs. Other morphologic changes included glomerular basement membrane duplication (chronic allograft glomerulopathy) and fibrous intimal thickening (chronic allograft arteriopathy) in 89% of these animals [146]. In their follow-up study, they assessed the natural history of chronic alloantibody-mediated rejection in 417 specimens of their 143 long-term renal allograft recipients. A temporal progression was determined, starting with alloantibody production (48%), C4d positivity (29%), transplant glomerulopathy (22%) and finally renal insufficiency/failure [147]. These findings are in concordance with the 2005 Banff meeting concensus, which lists transplant glomerulopathy, C4d deposition in peritubular capillaries, and presence of donor specific antibodies as the diagnostic triad of chronic antibody-mediated rejection [148]. Capillary C4d deposition and alloantibodies are essential in diagnosing antibody mediated rejection in other transplanted organs as well [149, 150].

With humoral alloimmunity comprising a significant component of chronic rejection and long term graft failure, the development of a nonhuman primate model of antibody mediated rejection is necessary. The application of various B cell therapeutics to such a model may elucidate mechanisms of humoral immunity and take us one step closer to achieving tolerance.

7. Summary

The importance of B cell immunity in organ transplantation has become increasingly apparent although effective strategies to prevent or reverse DSA remain elusive. It may be that costimulation blockade with Belatacept more effectively prevents DSA despite being a weaker T cell immunosuppressant than CNI agents. We predict that this agent will result in improved long-term graft survival for human kidney transplants due to its effective suppression of the B cell and DSA. Nevertheless, better strategies for controlling late development of DSA will be
needed, and animal models that truly reflect the human situation permit a mechanistic understanding of B cells in transplantation and will drive rationale testing of new agents before introducing them into human clinical trials.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>H-2</th>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>H-2</th>
<th>Mismatch</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>MST (d)</th>
<th>*Acceptance (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Bm12</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&gt;56</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>[151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Bm1</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&gt;56</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>[152]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B10.A</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>B10.BR</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&gt;56</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>[153, 154]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BALB.B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Multiple minors</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&gt;66</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Balb/c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Anti-CD4</td>
<td>&gt;56</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balb/c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Anti-CD4</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>[156]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DBA/2</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Anti-CD4</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DBA/2</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Gallium Nitrate</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>DBA/2</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>70-80%</td>
<td>[158]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C57BL/6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>Balb/c x DBA/2</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&gt;42</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>[159]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Acceptance rate is based on the contraction (beating) of the cardiac allograft at MST for heart transplantation model
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Donor (H-2)</th>
<th>Recipient (H-2)</th>
<th>Mismatch</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>MST (d)</th>
<th>T cell</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>A/J (a)</td>
<td>B6.CCR5&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt; (b)</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>[160]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B10.BR (k)</td>
<td>RAG1 KO (b)</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>anti-H-2K&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;28</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>[154]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B10.A (a)</td>
<td>B6.IgKO (b)</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>IgG2b</td>
<td>&gt;14</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>[161]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>A/J (a)</td>
<td>B6.CCR5&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt; (b)</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>[162]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group [Reference]</td>
<td>Species (n)</td>
<td>Organ</td>
<td>Treatment regimen</td>
<td>MST (d)</td>
<td>Alloab +</td>
<td>C4d +</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haanstra et al [139]</td>
<td>Rhesus (5)</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>[control] Anti-CD40 (ch5D12) + anti-CD86 (chFun-1)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawai et al [142]</td>
<td>Cynomolgus (5)</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Total body irradiation, thymic irradiation, ATG, splenectomy, BMT + CsA</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3/3 animals with mixed chimerism formed DSA upon losing chimerism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aoyagi et al [163]</td>
<td>Cynomolgus (12)</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Anti-CD40 (4D11) at low dose induction or maintenance (1-5mg/kg)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>7/12</td>
<td>5/12</td>
<td>High dose maintenance therapy inhibited DSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al [164]</td>
<td>Cynomolgus (17)</td>
<td>Kidney/BMT</td>
<td>Total body irradiation, bone marrow transplantation, antiCD154, CsA +/- splenectomy (4) or anti-CD8 (4)</td>
<td>500+</td>
<td>9/17</td>
<td>9/17</td>
<td>9/9 animals with C4d deposition had alloantibodies. 0/8 without C4d deposition had alloantibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torrealba et al [104]</td>
<td>Rhesus (9)</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>CD3 immunotoxin + methylprednisolone +/- CsA, IL2R, DSG, MMF, intrathymic donor vs saline injection, Fab2, sirolimus</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9/9 animals had varying grades of chronic rejection at autopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimzadeh et al [141]</td>
<td>Cynomolgus (6)</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>[control] CsA monotherapy</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Strong IgM &amp; IgG responses by day 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimzadeh et al [141]</td>
<td>Cynomolgus (8)</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Anti-CD154 + BMT +/- ATG</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimzadeh et al [141]</td>
<td>Cynomolgus (19)</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Anti-CD154 +/- ATG</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>13/19</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Delayed alloab production compared to +BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Species/Model</td>
<td>Organ</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Vessels</td>
<td>Alloab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelishadi et al [165]</td>
<td>Cynomolgus (7)</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>[control] CsA monotherapy</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>5/7</td>
<td>20-50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wieczorek et al [166]</td>
<td>Cynomolgus (6*)</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Suboptimal CsA; rabbit ATG + methylprednisolone upon diagnosis of transplant endarteritis</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60%*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schroder et al [167]</td>
<td>Cynomolgus (6)</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Anti-CCR5 (CMPD 167) + CsA</td>
<td>~46</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*7 of 12 animals receiving suboptimal CsA developed transplant endarteritis; 6 of these were subsequently treated with ATG and methylprednisolone

§Non-life supporting kidney transplantation
Figure 1. The effect of immunosuppressants currently used in transplantation on B cell.

Most of conventional immunosuppressants designed to target T-cells, however, B-cell activation is tightly regulated by T-cells, suggesting that suppression of T-cells could have an effect on B-cells as well. The effect of these conventionally used drugs on B-cell function has not been fully elucidated.
Figure 2. Graft survival and post-transplant graft function in BALB.B to C57BL/6 heart transplantation. Cardiac allograft survival (A) and post-transplant graft function (B) were monitored daily by palpation. 50% of spontaneous graft acceptance was observed from BALB.B to C57BL/6 cardiac allograft model. In these long-term surviving allografts showed decreased beating qualities (cardiac impulses) to <2+ between post-transplant 10 to 30 days, but beating qualities recovered to 3-4+ and maintained. Anti-LFA-1mAb treated recipients showed no acute rejection and no compromised beating quality.
Figure 3. Serum alloantibody production and chronic rejection development in alemtuzumab treated CD52Tg mice. 

**A.** Elevated alloantibody production at 10 weeks post transplantation with CR-developed recipients (*p*<0.05). 

**B.** Histologic and immunohistochemical evidence of antibody-mediated chronic rejection. Slides prepared of post-transplant day 100 cardiac allograft with Elastic, trichrome, and C4d. 

(a) Elastic staining shows increased neo-intimal hyperplasia in the coronary artery. 

(b) Trichrome staining reveals higher pathological grades in fibrosis indicated in blue. 

(c) Immunoperoxidase demonstrates diffuse deposits of C4d (dark brown) in the capillary and arterial endothelium (inset) in a section from a cardiac allograft from C57BL/6 to CD52Tg recipient with alemtuzumab treatment at day 100.
**Figure 4.** Gating strategy for allospecific B cells. Lymphocytes were selected for CD4/8/F4-80\(^-\) and far yellow\(^-\) (dead cells) cells. B cells were separated as CD19\(^+\) syngeneic tetramer\(^-\) and were further gated against allospecific tetramer Tet B (H-2\(^b\)) and IgD.