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The main impetus for a mini-symposium on corticothalamic interrelationships was the recent number of studies highlighting the role of the thalamus in aspects of cognition beyond sensory processing. The thalamus contributes to a range of basic cognitive behaviors that include learning and memory, inhibitory control, decision-making, and the control of visual orienting responses. Its functions are deeply intertwined with those of the better studied cortex, although the principles governing its coordination with the cortex remain opaque, particularly in higher-level aspects of cognition. How should the thalamus be viewed in the context of the rest of the brain? Although its role extends well beyond relaying of sensory information from the periphery, the main function of many of its subdivisions does appear to be that of a relay station, transmitting neural signals primarily to the cerebral cortex from a number of brain areas. In cognition, its main contribution may thus be to coordinate signals between diverse regions of the telencephalon, including the neocortex, hippocampus, amygdala, and striatum. This central coordination is further subject to considerable extrinsic control, for example, inhibition from the basal ganglia, zona incerta, and pretectal regions, and chemical modulation from ascending neurotransmitter systems. What follows is a brief review on the role of the thalamus in aspects of cognition and behavior, focusing on a summary of the topics covered in a mini-symposium held at the Society for Neuroscience meeting, 2014.

Introduction

The best-studied aspects of thalamic function relate to its role as a sensory relay. However, the complexity of its anatomical connections, the effects of thalamic lesions, and electrophysiological activity in behaving animals have made it clear that its role in cognition is much more comprehensive. The thalamus can be subdivided into many different nuclei based on anatomical, functional, and chemical differences. Many of these nuclei are routinely identified as elements in large-scale networks dedicated to specific aspects of cognitive behavior. The essential cognitive contributions of the thalamus can be gleaned from patient studies. For example, the thalamus is a key structure in the domain of memory, and its injury can result in diencephalic amnesia. Specifically, disconnection of the anterior portions of the thalamus from the hippocampus and retrosplenial cortex is associated with memory loss (Valenstein et al., 1987; Graff-Radford et al., 1990; Maguire, 2001; Aggleton et al., 2010; Aggleton, 2012). The thalamus is also implicated in several aspects of executive function. For example, damage to midline thalamic nuclei cause a range of deficits related to attention, impulse control, and decision-making (Daum and Ackermann, 1994; Van der Werf et al., 2003; Carrera and Bogousslavsky, 2006). The thalamus is also related to the internal monitoring of actions, spatial perception, planning and response selection, with damage to posterior (Karnath et al., 2002) or central (Ostendorf et al., 2010) thalamus resulting in deficits of visuomotor coordination (Rafal and Posner, 1987; Gaymard et al., 1994).

Further to the insights gained from studies of patients, targeted work in animals has added precision to our understanding of thalamic contributions to cognition. In this article, we review recent experimental findings that shed light on the role of the thalamus in aspects of cognition and behavior. We begin by briefly outlining the general principles governing the functional connections of the thalamus. This is followed by a description of recent anatomical studies using both conventional and viral tracing methods to address specific thalamic circuits related to aspects of cognition. The wiring of the thalamocortical pathways is then tied to function, as we examine neural activity in thalamic nuclei during complex behavior. Finally, we examine the critical influence of thalamic circuits on cognitive behavior in animals with selective lesions of well-defined thalamic subregions. We begin with a brief review of the principles governing the functional connections between the thalamus and cortex.
The thalamus as a relay to the cortex

The main output of projection neurons in most thalamic nuclei is the neocortex, with exceptions, such as the striatum, amygdala, and hippocampus. The input to these same thalamic neurons draws from a considerably wider range of sources, including the neocortex, basal ganglia, cerebellum, and various subcortical sources. Thus, with respect to the thalamocortical interplay, it is legitimate to ask what neural connections are primarily responsible for driving the activity of thalamic relay neurons.

Work that has focused on visual thalamic nuclei suggests that identifying the “driving” inputs to thalamic neurons, that is, the inputs that are actually relayed to cortex may be key to understanding the larger thalamic circuitry. Even primary sensory relays, such as the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN), whose driving input is clearly the retina, receives a wide range of input, including GABAergic input from interneurons and the thalamic reticular nucleus, glutamatergic input from cortical layer 6, as well as cholinergic and other neurotransmitter inputs from the brainstem (Sherman and Guillery, 1996, 2002). Although retinal inputs contribute the majority of synapses to the LGN relay cells, they are unambiguously the drivers of LGN activity. The key to understanding this apparent paradox is that their synaptic connections are stronger and operate on a rapid time scale necessary for transmission of the relevant messages. Throughout the thalamus, there is a dichotomy of synaptic profiles: certain strong, proximal synapses can reliably propagate signals from across the synapse, whereas weaker distal synapses are relegated to a modulatory role; synapses can effectively participate in direct sensory relay, whereas these affect the relay of driver inputs (e.g., through gating) rather than providing a message for relay. Inhibitory inputs may be another form of modulation because these affect the relay of driver inputs (e.g., through gating) rather than providing a message for relay.

The driver/modulator dichotomy is further useful in understanding the detailed wiring of sensory-recipient (“first-order”) versus cortico-recipient (“higher-order”) thalamic relays. Higher-order relays, like first-order relays, receive both driver and modulator inputs from glutamatergic neurons. For first-order relays, the driver input comes from subcortical sources, such as retinal input to the LGN, but many of the driver inputs to higher-order relays, such as the pulvinar and mediiodorsal thalamus (MD), come from large layer 5 pyramidal neurons (Schwartz et al., 1991). Thus, all thalamic relays receive a modulator input from layer 6 pyramidal cells that is organized in a feedback manner, and the higher-order relays in addition receive a feedforward layer 5 input (Sherman and Guillery, 2002; Sherman, 2007). A particularly intriguing aspect of this organization is the notion that the main driving inputs to the thalamus, arising from layer 5, are closely associated with action commands of the cerebral cortex to downstream motor centers through axon collaterals of the inputs to thalamus (Guillery and Sherman, 2002). This suggests that a key role of the thalamus may be to relay information about ongoing instructions to the cortex (Wurtz et al., 2011). Most relevant for this article, this evidence suggests that higher-order thalamic relays can be viewed as an essential link in cortico-thalamocortical processing (Sherman, 2012).

To what extent do other subregions of the thalamus, which have not been directly studied in this way, act as higher-order relays with drivers and modulators as inputs? Although it is premature to give a complete answer to this question, there is increasing evidence that diverse nonsensory nuclei in the MD thalamus, midline thalamus, and intralaminar thalamus share the role of relaying information from one cortical region to another (Vertes and Hoover, 2008; Aggleton, 2012; Prasad and Chudasama, 2013). The midline and intralaminar thalamic nuclei, initially clumped together and described as “nonspecific” because of their widespread distribution of efferent fibers over the entire cerebral cortex (Morison and Dempsey, 1941; Dempsey and Morison, 1942; Royce et al., 1989), serve as an excellent example. Each of these nuclei is, indeed, quite specific in both the afferents they receive and the targets to which they project. Several individual midline nuclei project to distinct subregions of the cortex and hippocampus (Herkenham, 1978; Su and Bentivoglio, 1990; Wouterlood et al., 1990; Berendse and Groenewegen, 1991; Vertes et al., 2006). Other midline and intralaminar nuclei target subregions of the striatum (Berendse and Groenewegen, 1990; Su and Bentivoglio, 1990; Vertes et al., 2012) and amygdala (Berendse and Groenewegen, 1990; Moga et al., 1995; Li and Kirouac, 2008). Through these connections, the dorsal midline and intralaminar complex can serve as relay stations between specific parts of the prefrontal and cingulate cortex and the striatum (Berendse and Groenewegen, 1990; Groenewegen and Berendse, 1994; Van der Werf et al., 2002; Vertes et al., 2012). The ventral midline nuclei, namely, the nucleus reuniens (Re) and rhomboid nucleus, are likewise thought to relay information between the prefrontal cortex and medio-temporal lobe (for review, see Cassel et al., 2013). The Re, for example, has strong reciprocal connections with the ventral prelimbic, infralimbic, and orbitofrontal cortex, as well as the CA1/subiculum of the ventral hippocampus (Su and Bentivoglio, 1990; Wouterlood et al., 1990; Vertes, 2002; McKenna and Vertes, 2004; Vertes, 2004). Moreover, ~10% of neurons in the Re have collaterals to both the ventral prefrontal cortex and the ventral hippocampus, suggesting that, in its role as a relay, the Re has a powerful influence on two very different cortical projection sites (Hoover and Vertes, 2012).

More recently, certain transsynaptic viral tracers, such as the pseudorabies virus-Bartha strain, demonstrated thalamic pathways embedded in functional circuits in more detail than conventional tracers. This is because the amplification of the virus and retrograde transport across multiple synapses allows for an evaluation of indirect as well as direct anatomical projections (Card et al., 1993; Chen et al., 1999). In accordance with previous notions about the thalamus serving as a relay between the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus (Vertes et al., 2007), a recent study using this disynaptic tracing approach found evidence for such a relay within the midline thalamus (Prasad and Chudasama, 2013). That study identified two parallel pathways from the limbic cortex to the hippocampus, with thalamic labeling that was consistent with a role as a primary relay. In the dorsal pathway, input to the dorsal hippocampus originates in retrosplenial, anterior cingulate, and orbitofrontal cortex and passes through a putative synaptic relay in the anterior thalamic nuclei. Likewise, in the ventral pathway, the ventral hippocampus receives input from the ventral and orbital prefrontal cortex, potentially relayed via the midline thalamic nuclei (Prasad and Chudasama, 2013). These recent findings support the notion that the thalamus, part of the diencephalon, acts as a relay between the cortex and hippocampus, two prominent regions of the telencephalon.
Diverse neural signals in the prefrontal-projecting thalamus

Although anatomical tracing studies are key to mapping the organization of thalamic relay pathways, it is also of great value to understand the meaning of the electrophysiological signals carried by thalamic relay neurons in its communication with the cerebral cortex. Electrophysiological studies using rats (Mair et al., 2014) and monkeys (Sommer and Wurtz, 2006) have investigated the types of signals relayed from the MD thalamus to its targets in the frontal cortex. From these studies alone, it is evident that this thalamic subdivision carries diverse signals to frontal areas, including high-level correlates related to a cognitive task as well as basic corollary discharge copies of brainstem sensorimotor signals. In both cases, the MD functioning as a relay to cortex has important implications for cognitive function. We start with the MD thalamus as a sensorimotor relay.

The thalamus is the recipient of a wide range of inputs from brain structures associated with movement, with certain nuclei receiving input from the cerebellum (Sakai et al., 2002; Kelly and Strick, 2003), the basal ganglia (Middleton and Strick, 2000), or cortical regions involved in motor execution (Stanton et al., 1988). Recent work has demonstrated that it is also the recipient of brainstem signals related to the execution of saccades, which it passes along to oculomotor control regions of the frontal cortex (Sommer and Wurtz, 2008). Previous anatomical studies have shown that the eye movement-related layers of the superior colliculus project to lateral MD neurons (Benevento and Fallon, 1975; Harting et al., 1980), which in turn project almost exclusively to the frontal eye fields (Barbas and Mesulam, 1981; Goldman-Rakic and Porrino, 1985; Lynch et al., 1994). Sommer and Wurtz (2002) identified the most lateral edge of MD as a critical relay that transmitted signals from the superior colliculus to frontal eye fields in behaving monkeys. They used a combination of antidromic stimulation (backfiring neurons with electrical stimulation from frontal eye fields) and orthodromic stimulation (synaptically driving the neuron from superior colliculus) to specify those MD projection neurons that served this relay function. What role might this type of oculomotor relay play in cognitive behavior? The answer lies in the concept of corollary discharge, which is a signal generated simultaneously with a movement and is passed along to allow other brain areas to take that movement into account in planning future movements (Wurtz and Sommer, 2004). In the case of saccadic eye movements, the relay of corollary discharge signals from superior colliculus through the thalamus to frontal eye fields allows for the planning of an impending action or sequences of responses, based on knowledge that a particular eye movement has, indeed, occurred (Wurtz et al., 2011). In a series of electrophysiological studies, Sommer and Wurtz (2002, 2004a, b) studied the activity of lateral MD neurons while monkeys performed delayed saccades to visual targets. Importantly, they showed that MD activation impaired information about executed saccades to the frontal cortex, disrupting sequential eye movement behavior and predictive visual updating in frontal eye field neurons (Sommer and Wurtz, 2006). Corollary discharge signals from superior colliculus are integrated in frontal cortex, providing each frontal eye field with information about the full range of saccades (Crapse and Sommer, 2009), and the integration starts as early as thalamic-recipient layer 4 (Shin and Sommer, 2012). The internal monitoring of actions provided by this MD-mediated pathway therefore seems critical to cognitive-executive control, in that it appears to guide decisions about how to sample a complex scene. The results are also broadly consistent with Sherman and Guillery’s concept that the thalamus is a recipient of motor plans (Sherman and Guillery, 2002), in this case with the motor signal arising either in the cerebral cortex or from ascending pathways.

Mair et al. (2014) have also studied the electrophysiological responses in area MD in the rat, albeit from a somewhat different perspective. In their experiments, they asked how MD neurons projecting to prefrontal cortex would respond during complex cognitive tasks. Previous experiments disrupting MD in rats have suggested that it is a critical node for influencing prefrontal working memory (Stokes and Best, 1990; Harrison and Mair, 1996; Floresco et al., 1999; Romanides et al., 1999; Mitchell and Dalrymple-Alford, 2005). In rats, there is substantial reciprocity in the projections between the MD and prefrontal cortex; the medial portion of the MD not only sends its major output to the ventral and orbital prefrontal cortex, it receives direct input from these very same regions (Krettek and Price, 1977; Groenewegen, 1988). What kind of activity would MD relay neurons show relative to the signals observed on their cortical inputs and outputs? If the MD thalamus is strongly driven by prefrontal cortex cells, or if it strongly drives prefrontal cortex neurons, then one might expect that neurons in the two regions would show similar response profiles. Mair et al. (2014) addressed this issue directly by recording event-related activity of single neurons in both the prefrontal cortex and in MD of rats performing a working memory task. They found that neuronal activity in the prefrontal cortex was directly linked to a wide variety of events related to working memory, including planning and execution of response (Onos et al., 2013), also shown by several others (e.g., Jung et al., 2000; Baeg et al., 2003; Horst and Laubach, 2012). Although many MD neurons exhibited responses comparable with prefrontal neurons, MD neurons tended to exhibit higher levels of tonic activity and thus lower signal-to-noise ratios than prefrontal neurons when rats performed the working memory task. Delay period activity was also observed but tended to be brief, consistent with the recent report that, unlike prefrontal neurons, MD neurons fail to adequately signal an upcoming choice (Han et al., 2013). Other MD neurons exhibited suppressed activity related to actions, action-outcomes, and memory delays that were not observed in the prefrontal cortex (Miller et al., 2013). Mair et al. (2014) also examined the effects of unilateral thalamic inhibition on prefrontal activity. Muscimol was microinjected in medial thalamic sites at doses previously shown to impair working memory (Mair and Hembrook, 2008). Unilateral inactivation substantially reduced prefrontal activity on the ipsilateral side but with minimal effect on behavioral performance. Other recent data, coupling pharmacogenetics and electrophysiology in behaving mice, have shown that a decrease in the firing of MD neurons significantly disrupts MD–prefrontal synchrony, and this is correlated with deficits in working memory (Parnaudeau et al., 2013). Together, these results confirm the important influence of MD on the prefrontal cortex. The close correspondence between event-related responses for many neurons in MD and prefrontal cortex is consistent with the strong corticothalamic and thalamocortical connections between these areas. The nature of the interactions between prefrontal signals and other inputs to MD remains an open question, one that is somehow representative of the ongoing mystery regarding the significance of electrophysiological signals measured among higher order thalamic relay neurons.

Cognitive effects of disrupting thalamic relays

In the final section, we examine how disruption of the thalamic circuitry can lead to cognitive deficits. It is well known that certain types of thalamic damage in human patients can lead to...
deficits in memory, attention, and spatial perception. In animal studies, it is possible to direct lesions to very precise thalamic subregions of which the anatomical connectivity has been explicitly studied. Two of the speakers in the symposium have examined the effect of excitotoxic lesions to regions of the thalamus that project to the prefrontal cortex, in one case in monkeys and in the other in rats.

In monkeys, MD is a large nucleus that is interconnected to several prefrontal subdivisions. The connections between its medial, magnocellular division (MDmc) and the ventromedial and orbital prefrontal cortex are reciprocal. There are also nonreciprocal inputs to the MDmc from medial and ventrolateral regions of the prefrontal cortex (Goldman-Rakic and Porrino, 1985; Barbas et al., 1991; Bachevalier et al., 1997; McFarland and Haber, 2002).

Recent work has examined the effect of selective damage to MDmc in monkeys on their capacity to learn and remember object-in-place discriminations (Mitchell and Chakraborty, 2013; for review, see Baxter, 2013). In these studies, monkeys were presented with complex visual scenes embedded with two objects, of which only one object was correct (i.e., rewarded). Monkeys learned hundreds of discriminations and showed good memory of them in a probe retention test. In some cases, monkeys were required to implement a particular visuomotor strategy to obtain reward. After the MDmc lesion, these monkeys were unable to learn and remember new sets of visual scenes, reflecting a state of anterograde amnesia (Mitchell et al., 2007; Mitchell and Gaffan, 2008). However, the same animals showed no sign of retrograde amnesia and had good retention of the preoperatively learned visual scenes. Of particular interest to this article, however, is the finding that the MDmc lesion did not affect problem solving skills in situations that involved complex visuomotor strategies learnt before brain injury (Mitchell et al., 2007; Mitchell and Gaffan, 2008). This finding might be seen as unexpected because lesions to a cortical input of MDmc, the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, resulted in severe impairment on this visuomotor strategy task (Baxter et al., 2008, 2009). Similarly, surgical studies effectively disconnecting frontal and temporal lobe regions severely impaired preoperatively acquired visuomotor strategies (Gaffan et al., 2002). The lack of such effects for MDmc lesions indicates that its functional role in this task differs from that of cortical regions with which it is most strongly interconnected. It has been proposed that MDmc ablation disrupts its thalamic relay function and thereby disrupts corticocortical communication during new learning of information and strategies rather than during retention (Mitchell and Gaffan, 2008; Mitchell et al., 2008). This role as a relay may be particularly important for understanding communication between frontal and medial temporal lobes (Kopelman et al., 1997; Harding et al., 2000; Mitchell et al., 2008) and is supported by the known anatomical connections in primates (Aggleton, 1986; Russchen et al., 1987; Goulet et al., 1998; Saunders et al., 2005).

Finally, evidence suggests that MD may also contribute more generally to adaptive decision-making. Following MD lesions, monkeys and rats are unable to form expectations about the desirability or value of an impending event (Corbit et al., 2003; Mitchell et al., 2007; Ostlund and Balleine, 2008; Izquierdo and Murray, 2010; Parnaudeau et al., 2014) and are therefore unable to use this information to establish the appropriate course of action (for review, see Bradford et al., 2013). Here, the contribution of MD may be to facilitate the interaction between the orbital prefrontal cortex and basolateral amygdala (Ostlund and Balleine, 2008; Izquierdo and Murray, 2010), again underscoring its potentially important role as a frontotemporal relay.

Studying a somewhat different frontotemporal circuit in the rat, Chudasama and Prasad (2012) have examined the effects of targeted brain injury to midline thalamic relays, and especially the nucleus reuniens (Re) on specific prefrontal functions, such as attention, impulse control, and decision-making. They took advantage of the five choice reaction time task to dissect these aspects of executive function in normal and lesioned animals. In this task, rats are required to quickly and accurately detect the random occurrence of brief light stimuli while controlling impulsive urges to respond or retrieve food at the wrong times (for review, see Chudasama and Robbins, 2004, 2006). Under easy task conditions, with long stimulus presentations, rats with focal Re lesions showed normal attention. However, when the task was made more difficult by presenting only a very brief light whose location needed to be retained in working memory, the Re lesion had the seemingly paradoxical effect of improving task performance. Closer inspection of behavioral data in the lesioned animals revealed that, compared with the control animals, they were intensely focused on the stimuli and less distracted during the trial. This focus resulted in high response accuracy, fast responses, and a much lower than usual fraction of impulsive responses (Chudasama and Prasad, 2012). Small lesions within the Re thus prompted the animals to behave as if they were highly aroused and focused on the task at hand. This behavior is entirely different than the effects of damage to either the ventral prefrontal cortex or ventral hippocampus, both of which exchange projections with this thalamic nucleus. Moreover, these data are consistent with the results presented above that the thalamic relay nuclei can have somewhat different roles in behavior than the cortical areas to which they project or from which they receive input. One possible interpretation of these results is that thalamic relays are the recipient of abundant neuromodulation and are thus subject to direct control of arousal and wakefulness in a manner that differs from the cortex (Steriade et al., 1990, 1997; Bayer et al., 2002; Jones, 2003; Mair et al., 2011). These modulatory changes, by affecting the excitability and efficacy of a cognitive corticothalamic or thalamocortical circuit, can have a major impact on executive function.

The role of the midline thalamic nuclei in cognition has in recent years received increased attention. Other midline thalamic lesions that include the Re have been shown to impair certain aspects of memory function, especially as it relates to the flexible control of navigation strategies (Dollemen-van der Weel et al., 2009; Cholvin et al., 2013) but fail to disrupt working memory (Chudasama and Prasad, 2012). Recently, Xu and Südhof (2013), using optogenetic methods, showed that the Re outputs to the prefrontal cortex, and prefrontal inputs to the Re enable rats to respond quickly and appropriately to fearful situations. How the Re is able to contribute to such a wide range of cognitive behaviors is still an active area of research. This relates to reports that individual neurons within this thalamic nucleus send collaterals to both the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus (Hoover and Vertes, 2012), and it is possible that this anatomical organization plays a particular role in frontotemporal coordination.

In conclusion, in this short article, we have highlighted the role of the thalamus in aspects of cognition beyond sensory processing. We have reviewed evidence that implicates the thalamus as contributing directly to cognitive functions as diverse as memory, motivation, decision-making, and the monitoring of self-generated actions. At the same time, the specific mechanisms by which the thalamus shapes these cognitions and behaviors re-
main enigmatic. Although the anatomy of the thalamus is highly complex, its general portrait as a relay derives from its basic anatomy. Nearly all thalamic nuclei, including those featured in the present review, project principally into the telencephalon, targeting the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, or striatum. The most straightforward interpretation is that higher-order regions of the thalamus act as information relays much in the same way as first-order thalamic nuclei act as sensory relays. However, unlike first-order thalamic nuclei, which act as sensory relays carrying signals from the periphery, higher-order relays often receive their afferent projections from cortical areas involved in cognition and behavior. This cortical input shapes the signals carried by neurons in the higher-order thalamic nuclei, from where neurons project to other cortical areas. In this way, the thalamus can facilitate communication between multiple telencephalic regions involved in complex cognition by acting as an information relay. To gain a deeper understanding of the thalamic contribution to cognition, the adequacy of a relay metaphor, and the corticothalamic relationship more generally, future studies must be guided by our ever-increasing knowledge of the complex functional neuroanatomy of the thalamus, its inputs, and its projections.
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