INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma drainage devices (GDDs) are being used more frequently for glaucoma that is refractory to medications, laser surgery, and conventional filtration surgery. The GDDs shunt aqueous humor from the anterior chamber through a silicone conduit to a reservoir implanted in the sub-Tenon’s space at the equatorial region of the globe. GDD implants generally demonstrate success rates comparable to trabeculectomy.

The Ahmed glaucoma valve is one such GDD, yet considerable room exists for improving its long-term efficacy. Though earlier causes of GDD failure vary and include hypotony, a hypertensive phase, and rarely failure of the valve mechanism, the predominant cause of long-term GDD failure is the development of an impermeable tissue capsule around the
This encapsulation reaction is similar to the tissue response observed around most polymer implants. Fibrotic, minimally vascular capsule formation gradually increases outflow resistance from the drain and eventually results in increased intraocular pressure (IOP).

The encapsulation process is characterized by a chronic inflammatory response, often with the presence of foreign body giant cells. Previous work has well established that a fibrous capsule impedes mass transport of fluid to and from implanted devices. Though the preponderance of groups report no relative improvement in IOP control when using antimetabolites during GDD implantation, another study employed both postoperative and extended duration (mean 7.3–7.4 min) intraoperative antimetabolite usage in conjunction with GDD implantation. This group demonstrated improved long-term IOP control rates, possibly through retardation of fibrotic capsule formation.

The fibrotic foreign body tissue response can also be altered by material morphology alone and without pharmacologic intervention, thus avoiding the need for periodic drug delivery around the eye. Certain materials with defined porous microstructure are known to induce a vascular encapsulation response when implanted. Combining these materials with a GDD to promote formation of a disorganized, highly vascular tissue adjacent to the implant instead of the usual dense capsule may be a novel way to improve long-term IOP control rates, possibly through retardation of fibrotic capsule formation.

The fibrotic foreign body tissue response can also be altered by material morphology alone and without pharmacologic intervention, thus avoiding the need for periodic drug delivery around the eye. Certain materials with defined porous microstructure are known to induce a vascular encapsulation response when implanted. Combining these materials with a GDD to promote formation of a disorganized, highly vascular tissue adjacent to the implant instead of the usual dense capsule may be a novel way to improve long-term IOP control rates, possibly through retardation of fibrotic capsule formation.

Removal of Air Nuclei

In its native state, ePTFE contains approximately 70% air by volume because the polymer is hydrophobic and resists wetting. Air nuclei occupy the spaces between fibers, obstructing the pores and providing significant resistance to flow. Priming the modified glaucoma drainage device with saline is insufficient to remove these air nuclei. While priming can forcibly wet some of the surfaces, scanning electron micrographs show that this process enlarges relatively few pores to create low resistance paths through the material while leaving most of the porous structure unchanged. Removal of the air nuclei, termed denucleation, can be accomplished with over 90% efficacy by using low surface tension fluids, such as acetone or alcohol. This will allow the pores to wet and the outflow resistance of the material will decrease markedly. Immersion in acetone

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Modified Implant (PRIME-Ahmed) Creation**

Fourteen Ahmed™ glaucoma valves Model S3 (pediatric Ahmed glaucoma valve implant, New World Medical, Rancho Cucamonga, California, USA) were utilized as control implants. Another fourteen pediatric Ahmed glaucoma Model S3 valve implants (termed porous retrofitted implant with modified enclosure or PRIME-Ahmed) were modified with a porous membrane as described previously (Figure 1). Briefly, the outflow tract was enclosed by a bilayer of ePTFE (TheraCyte, Irvine, California, USA). The pediatric Model S3 was chosen at it allows implantation into a small mammal animal model allowing for in vivo investigations in a prior study.

**Removal of Air Nuclei**

In its native state, ePTFE contains approximately 70% air by volume because the polymer is hydrophobic and resists wetting. Air nuclei occupy the spaces between fibers, obstructing the pores and providing significant resistance to flow. Priming the modified glaucoma drainage device with saline is insufficient to remove these air nuclei. While priming can forcibly wet some of the surfaces, scanning electron micrographs show that this process enlarges relatively few pores to create low resistance paths through the material while leaving most of the porous structure unchanged. Removal of the air nuclei, termed denucleation, can be accomplished with over 90% efficacy by using low surface tension fluids, such as acetone or alcohol. This will allow the pores to wet and the outflow resistance of the material will decrease markedly. Immersion in acetone
and ethanol has been reported to denucleate ePTFE to different degrees.\textsuperscript{28,30} For ease of experimentation, we initially denucleated the implants in 100% ethanol without vacuum for 60 min. However, we observed a further 30–40% (p < 0.05) decrease in resistance when denucleating the implant by immersing in 100% ethanol under vacuum of 0.5 atmospheres for 60 min. This method has previously been shown to provide approximately 95% denucleation.\textsuperscript{28} We denucleated both the 14 AGV implants and the 14 integrated implants to ensure consistency of experimental technique. After denucleation, the ethanol was replaced by soaking and thoroughly flushing the implants with saline.

**Pressure Testing: Saline and Gelatin**

A micro-manometric apparatus was assembled for testing of the implant as described earlier.\textsuperscript{31} Briefly, a pressure transducer (Model DP15-36, Validyne Engineering Corporation, Northridge, California, USA) was connected to a pressure monitor (Model CD379-1-2, Validyne Engineering Corporation). The manufacturer reports the DP 15-36 pressure transducer to be accurate to plus or minus 0.65 mm Hg. A mercury manometer and an adjustable electronic syringe pump (MD-1001, Bioanalytical Systems Inc., W. Lafayette, Indiana, USA) were also attached to the system. Fifty centimeters of polyethylene tubing (PE-50; Becton Dickinson Diagnostic Systems, Sparks, Maryland) connected the syringe pump to a T-junction. One end of this junction connected to a 27-gauge cannula placed in the anterior end of the implant tube. The other end of the junction connected to the pressure transducer and recorder (see Figure 2). The system was then flushed and calibrated with a mercury manometer.

Initially the implant was closed, so saline was perfused manually through the implant before connecting to the apparatus. This is analogous to “priming” the implant in surgery prior to implantation. Prior to manual perfusion, the valve opening pressure exceeded the 200 mm Hg maximal range of our manometer. Valve opening was confirmed visually. Our experiments were conducted in both saline and 2.5% gelatin. Saline should provide negligible outflow resistance beyond the device compared to 2.5% gelatin, which should provide significant resistance to outflow beyond the device.

Each implant device was immersed in saline or 2.5% gelatin and the height of the transducer was adjusted to the same height as the height of the implant. Flow rates of 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 20, and 50 μl/min were used.

In order to minimize system artifacts, normalized steady-state pressure readings were recorded only beginning 15 min after starting the syringe pump. Flow resistance data was calculated from normalized pressure readings as described below.

**Fluid Dynamics and Statistical Analysis**

In this study, steady state pressures were measured at various flow rates (1–50 μl/min). Although the flow rate of 2 μl/min approximates the rate of aqueous drainage in human eyes and is well within our examined range,\textsuperscript{32} we included a very broad range of flows that could be encountered in both normal and pathologic states. Resistance for each flow rate was calculated using mean steady state pressure recordings using the equation: $Q = \frac{ΔP}{R}$ where $Q$ = flow (μl/min), $ΔP$ = difference in pressure (mm Hg) between the T-connector (where the tubing branched to the drainage device) and the atmosphere, and $R$ = resistance (mm Hg/μl/min). At the flow rates used, the polyethylene tubing had negligible resistance as determined by removing the drainage device.

The Mann-Whitney U test was utilized to analyze differences in pressure within each experimental group (unmodified Ahmed glaucoma valve implant and PRIME-Ahmed, respectively) and between each experimental group.

**RESULTS**

Seven denucleated S3 Ahmed glaucoma valve implants and seven denucleated S3 PRIME-Ahmed implants were assessed in saline at a variety of flow rates. Denucleation significantly reduced outflow resistance in the PRIME-Ahmed group (data not shown). For both implants across the range of tested flow rates, pressure increased more slowly (Figure 3A) and resistance decreased (Figure 3B) with increasing flow. This confirms that the valve is functioning as designed; that is, opening as flow increases. The
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PRIME-Ahmed implants demonstrated increased pressure and resistance relative to the AGV implant at each flow rate (Figures 3A and 3B). Significant differences were noted at flow rates of 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, and 50 μL/min ($p < 0.05$).

In addition to the 14 implants tested in saline, seven S3 Ahmed glaucoma valve implants and seven PRIME-Ahmed implants were assessed in 2.5% gelatin at a variety of flow rates. For both implants across the range of tested flow rates, pressure increased and resistance decreased with increasing flow (Figures 4A and 4B). Similar to the results when tested in saline, the pressure increased steeply at low flow rates and then increased with a shallower slope at higher flows (Figure 4A). The resistance was higher at low flows and decreased rapidly as flow increased (Figure 4B). The PRIME-Ahmed implants demonstrated increased pressure and resistance relative to the AGV implant at most flow rates. Significant differences were noted at flow rates of 3, 5, 10, and 50 μL/min ($p < 0.05$).

As predicted, all the implants tested in 2.5% gelatin demonstrated greater pressure and resistance relative to implants tested in saline for each flow rate. While the absolute magnitude of each pressure or resistance value is greater for a particular flow rate, the overall shape of the graph is similar between the saline and gelatin groups. For the S3 Ahmed glaucoma valve implant group, significant differences existed between the saline and 2.5% gelatin groups for all seven tested flow rates ($p < 0.05$). For the PRIME-Ahmed implant group, significant differences existed between the saline and 2.5% gelatin groups at flow rates of 2, 3, 5, 10, and 50 μL/min ($p < 0.05$).

**FIGURE 3** (A) Pressure versus flow in saline comparison of S3 Ahmed glaucoma valves (AGV) and PRIME-Ahmed implants. The physiologic flow range (0–2 μL/min) is demarcated by dashes. (B) Resistance versus flow in saline comparison of S3 Ahmed glaucoma valve (AGV) and PRIME-Ahmed implants. The physiologic flow range (0–2 μL/min) is demarcated by dashes.

**FIGURE 4** (A) Pressure versus flow in 2.5% gelatin comparison of S3 Ahmed glaucoma valves (AGV) and PRIME-Ahmed implants. The physiologic flow range (0–2 μL/min) is demarcated by dashes. (B) Resistance versus flow in 2.5% gelatin comparison of S3 Ahmed glaucoma valve implant (AGV) and PRIME-Ahmed implants. The physiologic flow range (0–2 μL/min) is demarcated by dashes.
DISCUSSION

Beginning several weeks after GDD implantation, a fibrous capsule typically forms. As the capsule matures, the fibrosis induced by the foreign body reaction leads to increased outflow resistance of the GDD, possibly reducing outflow over time. Modifying the relatively avascular, fibrotic capsule may be instrumental in improving long-term implant viability. Prior work from our group demonstrated that the PRIME-Ahmed promoted a thinner fibrous capsule and increased vascularity adjacent to the implant when compared to unmodified Ahmed valves implanted in rabbits (46.4 ±10.8 μm versus 94.9 ± 21.2 μm, p < 0.001). A thinner, more vascular capsule may decrease outflow resistance in vivo significantly enough to improve long-term intraocular pressure control. However, prior to implantation and in vivo study, it is important to assess how much serial resistance is added by porous membrane enclosure of a GDD outflow tract. If our prototype implant design demonstrates extremely high resistance, design revision would be required.

Modifying the outflow tract of the AGV implant with ePTFE at physiologic flow rates increases the outflow resistance of the implant. This resistance contributed by the ePTFE was not constant. Instead, the added resistance was minimal at high flow rates. This effect may have been due to the enlargement of ePTFE pores at supra-physiologic flow rates. These findings may be valuable for the future design of GDDs. If GDD such as the AGV implant is modified with ePTFE or another porous, in vivo capsule altering material, it may be pragmatic to modify the valve to provide less resistance. Likewise, there may also be a therapeutic benefit to disabling the valve after several weeks to minimize valve-induced flow resistance after the capsule matures. Supporting this assertion is a simulated implantation model of the AGV where a polyimide microtube was used to stent open the valve. This stent lowered IOP by 7–8 mm Hg at a flow rate of 2.5 microliters per minute.

Ultimately, our goal is to reduce the progressive fibrosis that occurs adjacent to the drainage device. Porous implant morphology is known to induce a less fibrotic foreign body reaction. The modestly elevated initial resistance of the PRIME-Ahmed could be countered by reducing the opening pressure of the inner valve. More important is whether the PRIME-Ahmed favorably reduces the aggressive foreign body tissue reaction and elicits a thinner, more vascular capsule. Altering the capsule may decrease resistance to outflow and improve convective transport of fluid away from the device, compared to the resistance generated by the typically dense, minimally vascular capsules surrounding glaucoma implants.

An ideal valve would provide high resistance at low flow rates and, above a threshold, would open completely to provide a constant nearly zero resistance. Our study also confirms that the AGV performs as a non-ideal valve. At pressures of 8–9 mm Hg, the AGV is approximately 76% “open.” That is, at low constant flow rates the AGV has high resistance, but is not completely closed. The incidence of post-operative hypotony when using AGV implants is reported by some to be 9–14%. The effect of the valve is to tend to maintain pressure within a narrow range over a wide range of flows.

Our study reports that modifying the outflow tract of a GDD with ePTFE adds resistance to the system and that the Ahmed glaucoma valve implant behaves as a variable resistor. Future investigation will assess in vivo the combined effects of adding serial resistance via a porous membrane enclosure while simultaneously decreasing resistance by promoting a thinner, more vascular capsule by the same membrane.
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