The beginning of 2014 was punctuated by the publication of the long-awaited ACC/AHA Blood Cholesterol Guidelines [1]. Compared with ATP-III, this document expanded the estimated number of US statin-eligible adults from 43.4 to 56.0 million, with the majority (10.4 million) having no established cardiovascular disease (CVD), but an elevated 10-year atherosclerotic CVD event risk [2].

Each successive guideline has appropriately pushed for earlier and more aggressive implementation of statins. Although immediate medication use undoubtedly improves medical compliance, dietary modification could be becoming a forgotten tool. Diet was once touted as the initial strategy in lipid control, with medications limited to patients not achieving cholesterol targets. Although healthy lifestyle remains an instructional focus for all concerned physicians, how patients view such interventions in light of medications that can overcome their indiscretions remains unclear. A recent cross-sectional study examined calorie consumption in statin users from 1999 to 2010 as a part of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey [3**]. Initially (1999 to 2000) calorie or fat intake was significantly lower in patients taking statins. Interestingly, the difference became insignificant in 2004 to 2005 and 2005 to 2006, and reversed in 2009 to 2010, when statin users had higher calorie or fat intakes. BMI was also higher in statin users, as was diabetes prevalence.

Several clinical trials over the past decade have identified an increased risk of diabetes for statin users [4,5]. In parallel with a previous meta-analysis [6], a large nested case-control observational study by the Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies investigators demonstrated an increased incidence of diabetes in patients newly treated with higher potency compared with lower potency statins for secondary prevention [7**]. This was the first study to target incident diabetes as the primary outcome. Sensitivity analysis was used to eliminate the possibility of undiagnosed diabetes prior to statin therapy. Among 136,966 participants, 3,629 patients developed diabetes within 2 years. Higher potency statins resulted in a 15% increased risk of diabetes, with the number needed to harm being 342. A recent reanalysis of the nateglinide and valsartan in Impaired Glucose Tolerance Outcome Research Study also found an association between statin use and new onset diabetes in high-risk patients with impaired glucose tolerance [8*]. The hazard ratio was 1.32, and the number needed to harm was 12 for statins. Potency and dose were not assessed, however.

The means by which statins increase diabetes remain unclear, though several mechanisms have been proposed [9]. Statins may act on receptors in muscle or liver to promote insulin resistance. Hydrophilic and lipophilic properties of statins may also be involved. The role of changes in dietary patterns has yet to be formally explored.

Statins significantly reduce CVD morbidity and mortality, and these benefits undoubtedly outweigh the potential risks of diabetes [10*]. However, clinicians should carefully consider dose and potency for each patient they prescribe statins. Vigilant diabetic screening and follow-up in high-risk patients may be beneficial. Although additional studies are likely to shed greater light in this area, physicians would be wise to preach for appropriate dietary modification in their statin-treated patients.
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This was a cross-sectional study that assessed the caloric and fat intake in 27,886 United States adults from 1999 to 2010 through the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. There was a trend in the increased consumption of calories and fat in statin users over time, but not in nonusers. The information from this study should raise the awareness of diet control while on statin therapy, as dietary noncompliance could play a role in the development of diabetes in this patient population.


**FURTHER RECOMMENDED READING**


This analysis from the Treating to New Targets study that randomized 7,595 patients with stable coronary disease to high-dose and low-dose atorvastatin found that weight gain during the first year of statin therapy was an independent predictor of new onset diabetes. This finding emphasizes the clinical value of weight control as well as lifestyle changes in the prevention of statin-induced diabetes. In addition, high-dose statin groups had 14% increased risk of developing diabetes as compared with low-dose statin-treated patients in this study, though this difference was not statistically significant.


NAVIGATOR was an international, randomized, double blinded, placebo-controlled trial that primarily investigated whether valsartan and nateglinide reduced the incidence of diabetes and cardiovascular events in high-risk patients with impaired glucose tolerance and other cardiovascular risk factors. Further analysis demonstrated that diuretics and statins were associated with increased incidence of new onset diabetes, whereas beta-blockers and calcium channel blockers were not.


The diabetogenic risk of statins was shown to be dose-dependent in this propensity score-matched cohort of prediabetic patients treated with statins. However, the clinical benefits of statin in the reduction of cardiovascular events and mortality (hazard ratio 0.70, 95% CI 0.61-0.80) outweighed the risks of developing new onset diabetes (hazard ratio 1.20, 95% CI 1.08-1.32).

Statin users had less physical activity than the nonusers in this prospective observational study in older men. This effect persisted throughout the time that patients remained on statin therapy. This is of concern, given that sedentary behavior has a known association with cardiovascular mortality, which may be even more pronounced in high-risk conditions (such as diabetes).


This study proposes a new mechanism to explain the diabetogenic effect of statins. Fluvastatin was observed to promote insulin resistance in the adipose tissue of obese mice through the activation of the NLRP3/caspase-1 pathway. Interestingly, the effect was inhibited by glibunide administration. It is currently unclear whether this also occurs in humans; and considerable investigation will be necessary to determine the risks/benefits of therapeutic interventions targeting insulin resistance in high-risk patients taking statins.