An Ocean Sensor for Measuring the Seawater Electrochemical Response of 8 Metals Referenced to Zinc, for Determining Ocean pH.
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Abstract—We describe the use of a multi-metal electrochemical cell for measuring ocean pH. The sensor was designed to be robust, inexpensive, and capable of 0.02 sensitivity to pH in the narrow ranges required for marine pH monitoring. A prototype sensor has undergone an extended ocean deployment with promising results.
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I. TECHNOLOGY APPROACH

The idea behind the sensor comes from the very low cost unpowered sensors used for soil pH measurements [1]. These simple meters use two metals to generate a potential that is read on an analog meter and are surprisingly accurate at measuring soil pH. We purchased and tested many of these sensors and were very impressed with the accuracy and repeatability of this simple technology. Based on this idea we measured the potential across Zinc and Copper in pH buffered solutions of Instant Ocean. We found that we could calibrate the electrochemical cell to approximately 0.02 pH for the pH 7-9 range. This led to the idea that we could use metals for a useful low cost ocean pH sensor.

II. POTENTIAL PROBLEMS

Biofouling and corrosion will alter the metal surfaces over time [2], and the metal surface potentials will be sensitive to chemical potentials other than just pH. To overcome this we propose using metal diversity. We are currently using 9 different ¼ inch metal rods in our prototype sensor (Figure 1). These are all mass produced and available at low cost.

III. SENSOR BODY DESIGN

The overall sensor form factor is based on a low cost 4” diameter x 1 m long PVC tube housing. PVC is an adequate material for shallow water, and can act as a mold for an epoxy encased sensor package that will survive greater water depths. A significant advantage of the multi-metal sensor proposed is that it is very robust and has no moving parts. Hence it can be encased in epoxy and expected to survive the high pressures associated with ocean depths.

IV. SENSOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

The sensor measures the electrochemical response of 8 different metals referenced to Zinc and 3 ocean temperature probes. The measurements and a real time clock reading are saved in nonvolatile memory and retrieved via a USB cable when the sensor is retrieved. These data can be converted to pH using a calibration table. We hope that salinity and other properties might be extracted from the data using multivariate analysis.

A. Electrochemical Potential Measurement System

The measurements are carried out using an Arduino UNO R3 with a wireless SD shield (Figure 2). The electrochemical voltages are measured using two ADS1115 16-Bit ADC - 4 Channel with Programmable Gain Amplifier breakout boards from Adafruit. The temperature of the ocean is measured using three redundant Vktech DS18b20 Waterproof Temperature Sensors. The measurement time is kept using a SainSmart I2C RTC DS1307 AT24C32 Real Time Clock. The voltage, temperature and time data is stored on a Kingston 8 GB microSDHC Class 4 Flash Memory Card. This memory card can store approximately 20,000 sets of measurements.

B. Low Power Operation

To achieve very low power operation the sensor uses an ATtiny85 microcontroller (programmed using the Arduino IDE). The ATtiny85 can be put to sleep and in this state uses...
less than 6 µA of supply current. To power the ATtiny85 and the main Arduino processor a pair of efficient D24V5F5 Pololu 5V, 500mA Step-Down Voltage Regulators are used operating from 12V batteries. These synchronous buck voltage regulators use less than 200µA of current to operate. The main measurement system is turned on and off by the ATtiny85, so the high power used by the Arduino and other devices is only drawn for as long as is necessary to take measurements of the electrochemical voltages and other readings (about 5 seconds). The more than 3 Amp hours provided by the 12 Volt Lead Acid batteries then allow for more than a year of operation with the 200 µA current draw of only the ATtiny85. With a 2.2% duty cycle, the 40 milliamp current draw of the Arduino system (on the 12V battery) will last more than 77 days. A 2.2% duty cycle allows a 5 second reading to occur roughly every 4 minutes. We chose a 5 min reading cycle and so achieve more than 77 days of life from one battery charge.

C. Cost Estimate

The estimated small quantity cost, including shipping, of the electronics is less than 200 USD in 2015 [3]. The hardware used to make the sensor body also cost less than 200 USD. The metals used for the sensor head cost less than 50 USD giving a total build cost of < 450 USD. The sensor electronics and body could be repurposed to other ocean sensor applications, with the addition of a sensor package, making a 400 USD sensor platform (not including the sensor) feasible for anyone able to assemble the electronics and casing.

D. Software

The full system software is available online [4]. This code was developed using open-source packages provided by the vendors of the hardware and by the Arduino online community.

V. SENSOR TEST RESULTS

The sensor was deployed in a seawater tank at the Seattle Aquarium as part of the Wendy Schmitt Ocean XPRIZE contest [4]. The raw sensor data taken over one month (approx. 7000 readings) are presented in Figure 3. Seawater pH measured by the XPRIZE validation team using bench-top spectrophotometry appears in Figure 4 plotted against the date of the measurements.

VI. TEST RESULTS DISCUSSION

While it does appear that the sensor is operational, there are many issues yet to explore for the sensor to be used to routinely measure ocean pH. The time spent in the ocean was surprisingly lenient on the metal sensor rods. Figure 5 shows the state of the rods after the Seattle trials. However it is clear from the measurements that the rod voltages do seem to stabilize over time despite the continued changes observed in pH. We suspect that the rods are being inactivated by a combination of corrosive coatings and biofouling.
VII. MULTIVARIATE NEURAL NETWORK pH ESTIMATION

In order to determine the pH of the seawater we propose to use a multivariate estimation from all of the sensor data. Since we expect that the metal electrochemical response will be time dependent due to corrosion and biofouling, time dependent neural networks that learn to predict the pH from past sensor behavior, seem a likely candidate. To test the viability of this approach we experimented with training time dependent neural networks on our measurement data.

Figure 6 is a schematic of a neural network implemented in MATLAB [5]. The network input is a combination of the time of the measurement, and the electrochemical voltage response from each of the eight metals with respect to Zinc. The current input and the most recent past 5 inputs are normalized to the minimum and maximum of the data, weighted, biased, and summed to produce 3 hidden values. These hidden values are put through a sigmoid nonlinearity, weighted and biased, before being summed linearly to produce the pH estimate.

When this network is trained using combinations of random weight selection and the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm [6], we can obtain a set of weights that appear in Figure 7 and Appendix I. We can see that the first input (time), and the seventh and eighth inputs (Stainless and Titanium) have the largest weights. But some contribution is used from all the metals, with Aluminum (the second input) having the lowest weight magnitudes.

The performance of this network is presented in Figure 8. The network was trained on the first 3000 samples after the sensor was placed in the water, and so performs very well on this data. The network was tested on samples 3001 to 7000. The performance on the testing data is not as good as on the training data however, predicted measurements match to within 0.02 pH until near the end of the data set. We suspect the metals in the sensor were too badly coated with corrosion and biofouling to
function after about 3 weeks and so did not register the final pH spike in the XPRIZE data.
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Appendix I. The neural network weights for the data presented in Figure 8.

Layer 1 weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 through 8</th>
<th>-3.1287</th>
<th>0.5960</th>
<th>-0.1536</th>
<th>-0.5287</th>
<th>0.4423</th>
<th>-0.1179</th>
<th>3.7617</th>
<th>-1.4810</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column 9 through 16</td>
<td>0.6986</td>
<td>-3.2411</td>
<td>0.2466</td>
<td>0.3920</td>
<td>-0.0554</td>
<td>0.0367</td>
<td>-0.1669</td>
<td>1.7901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column 17 through 24</td>
<td>0.2773</td>
<td>-0.4177</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>-0.0224</td>
<td>0.0481</td>
<td>0.7094</td>
<td>-0.4491</td>
<td>1.9049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column 25 through 32</td>
<td>0.0462</td>
<td>0.0560</td>
<td>-0.1040</td>
<td>-0.4051</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.4074</td>
<td>0.1826</td>
<td>-0.6970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column 33 through 40</td>
<td>-0.4167</td>
<td>0.5148</td>
<td>-0.3195</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>-0.3646</td>
<td>-0.4605</td>
<td>0.6891</td>
<td>-2.6664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column 41 through 48</td>
<td>-0.1227</td>
<td>0.0905</td>
<td>-0.2755</td>
<td>0.0263</td>
<td>-0.1360</td>
<td>-0.0795</td>
<td>0.1673</td>
<td>-0.0916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Layer 2 weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer 1 bias</th>
<th>5.2704</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2 bias</td>
<td>-0.9017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REFERENCES

[1] 3-in-1 Moisture Meter with Light & PH Test Function, $4.30 Amazon Date: 7/30/14.


