

Accepted Manuscript



Original article

The impact of gestational age and fetal weight on the risk of failure of spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery

O.A. Adesope, L.M. Einhorn, A.J. Olufolabi, M. Cooter, A.S. Habib

PII: S0959-289X(16)00028-5

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijoa.2016.01.007>

Reference: YIJOA 2447

To appear in: *International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia*

Accepted Date: 25 January 2016

Please cite this article as: Adesope, O.A., Einhorn, L.M., Olufolabi, A.J., Cooter, M., Habib, A.S., The impact of gestational age and fetal weight on the risk of failure of spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery, *International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia* (2016), doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijoa.2016.01.007>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **IJOA 15-00176**

2 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

3 **The impact of gestational age and fetal weight on the risk of failure of spinal anesthesia for**
4 **cesarean delivery**

5 O.A. Adesope, L.M. Einhorn, A.J. Olufolabi, M. Cooter, A.S. Habib

6 *Department of Anesthesiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA*

7

8 Short title: Spinal dosing and preterm cesarean delivery

9

10 Correspondence to: A S Habib, Duke University Medical Center, Department of Anesthesiology

11 Box 3094, Durham NC 27710, USA

12 E-mail address: habib001@dm.duke.edu

13

14 ABSTRACT

15 **Background:** There are limited data about spinal dosing for cesarean delivery in preterm
16 parturients. We investigated the hypothesis that preterm gestation is associated with an increased
17 incidence of inadequate spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery compared with term gestation.

18 **Methods:** We searched our perioperative database for women who underwent cesarean delivery
19 under spinal or combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with hyperbaric bupivacaine ≥ 10.5 mg. The
20 primary outcome was the incidence of inadequate surgical anesthesia needing conversion to
21 general anesthesia or repetition or supplementation of the block. We divided patients into four
22 categories: <28 , 28 to <32 , 32 to <37 and ≥ 37 weeks of gestation. The chi-square test was used to
23 compare failure rates and a multivariable regression analysis was performed to investigate
24 potential confounders of the relationship between gestational age and failure.

25 **Results:** A total of 5015 patients (3387 term and 1628 preterm) were included. There were 278
26 failures (5.5%). The incidence of failure was higher in preterm versus term patients (6.4% vs.
27 5.1%, $P=0.02$). Failure rates were 10.8%, 7.7 %, 5.3 % and 5 % for <28 , 28 to <32 , 32 to <37 and
28 ≥ 37 weeks of gestation, respectively. In the multivariable model, low birth weight ($P<0.0001$),
29 gestational age ($P=0.03$), ethnicity ($P=0.02$) and use of combined spinal-epidural anesthesia
30 ($P<0.0001$) were significantly associated with failure.

31 **Conclusions:** At standard spinal doses of hyperbaric bupivacaine used in our practice (≥ 10.5
32 mg), there were higher odds of inadequate surgical anesthesia in preterm parturients. When
33 adjusting for potential confounders, low birth weight was the main factor associated with failure.

34
35 **Keywords:** Anesthesia, Spinal, Combined spinal-epidural, Cesarean delivery, Gestation age,
36 Failed anesthesia

38 Introduction

39 Pregnancy is associated with increased spread of spinal anesthesia.¹ Pregnant women at term
40 require a smaller dose of intrathecal local anesthetic than non-pregnant women to produce the
41 same level of spinal block.²⁻⁴ The physiologic changes of pregnancy such as changes in spinal
42 curvature,⁵ decreased cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) volume caused by the distention of epidural veins
43 as a result of inferior vena cava obstruction by the gravid uterus,⁶ and enhanced sensitivity of
44 neural tissue to local anesthetics may play a role in these observations.^{7,8} While many studies

45 have examined the difference between pregnant and non-pregnant women in the relative spread
46 of spinal block for surgical anesthesia,²⁻⁴ there are limited data reviewing adequate spinal dosing
47 for preterm (<37 weeks of gestation) versus term (\geq 37 weeks of gestation) patients. A previous
48 study⁹ demonstrated that hyperbaric bupivacaine 11.25 mg provided adequate spinal block to T4
49 for women at term but failed to provide the same level in 84% of preterm women undergoing
50 cesarean delivery. Our clinical observation also suggests that our standard doses of intrathecal
51 bupivacaine might fail to achieve adequate sensory block for surgery in some preterm patients.
52 However, altering the dose of intrathecal bupivacaine in preterm parturients is not common
53 practice. Therefore, we performed this retrospective database analysis to investigate the
54 hypothesis that preterm gestation is associated with an increased risk of failed spinal anesthetic
55 for cesarean delivery compared with term gestation.

56

57 **Methods**

58 After IRB approval, we retrospectively retrieved data from the Duke Perioperative Anesthesia
59 Database for women who underwent cesarean delivery under spinal or combined spinal-epidural
60 (CSE) anesthesia from 2003–2012. Duke University Medical Center is a university teaching
61 hospital with a delivery rate of approximately 3500 deliveries/year. A dedicated group of nine
62 obstetric anesthesiologists provides round-the-clock coverage for the labor and delivery ward.
63 First and second year anesthesia residents and a daytime certified registered nurse anesthetist
64 (CRNA) staff the labor and delivery ward. Third-year residents also have an elective obstetric
65 anesthesia rotation and when present provide daytime coverage.

66 We searched for patients who received our standard doses of local anesthetic (\geq 10.5 mg
67 of 0.75% wt/vol hyperbaric bupivacaine with fentanyl 15 μ g and morphine 0.1–0.2 mg) and were
68 152–183 cm in height. Inadequate surgical anesthesia after initial spinal dose (failure) was the
69 primary outcome. Failure was defined as the need to repeat the spinal technique to obtain
70 adequate block height (T6–T2), convert to general anesthesia secondary to pain within 60 min of
71 skin incision, augment the initial block with epidural lidocaine before or within 30 min of skin
72 incision (if the CSE technique was used), or supplement by inhalation or intravenously with at
73 least two of the following within 60 min of skin incision: nitrous oxide, fentanyl (>100 μ g),
74 ketamine, midazolam or propofol. Patients who received epidural labor analgesia before cesarean
75 delivery were excluded. Anesthetic records were reviewed to confirm reasons for failure and its

76 management. We divided patients into four categories according to gestational age: <28 weeks
77 (extremely preterm), 28 to <32 (very preterm), 32 to <37 weeks (moderate to late preterm), and
78 ≥ 37 weeks (term).¹⁰ We also collected information about the highest recorded block level. This is
79 recorded in our electronic medical record as follows: T10–T7, T6–T2 and \geq T1.

80

81 **Statistical analysis**

82 The Cochran-Armitage (CA) trend test, chi-square test and Kruskal-Wallis test were used to
83 compare patient characteristics and intraoperative variables between those with failed blocks
84 versus those with successful blocks, and between the different gestational age groups. We
85 performed a multivariable logistic regression analysis to account for potential confounders of the
86 relationship between gestational age and failed blocks. We considered the following potential
87 confounders: age, body mass index (BMI), ethnicity, low birth weight (<2500 g), priority of
88 cesarean delivery (scheduled or non-scheduled), block type (spinal or CSE), provider performing
89 the block (resident, fellow, CRNA or attending) and hyperbaric bupivacaine dose. Before
90 analysis, we evaluated the relationship between the empirical logit of failure on the deciles of the
91 continuous covariates to determine if the relationship was non-linear. We identified a non-linear
92 relationship for gestational age, and we used our defined four-category gestational age variable in
93 the multivariable model. For birth weight, we found evidence of a threshold effect near the
94 standard definition of low birth weight (<2500 g) with those below the threshold having an
95 elevated empirical odds of failure compared with those above the threshold; hence we utilized a
96 binary birth weight covariate in our models. None of the other continuous variables demonstrated
97 departures from linearity and were used as continuous covariates in the multivariable models.
98 Model fit of the multivariable logistic regression model was assessed via the Hosmer-Lemeshow
99 goodness of fit test, and the C-index. We also performed three sensitivity analyses: one excluding
100 cases in which failure was identified by augmentation of the initial block with epidural lidocaine
101 when a CSE technique was used; a second restricting the analysis to cases where the neuraxial
102 block was spinal; and a third including the experience of the provider performing the block as an
103 additional potential confounder. Analysis was performed in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Inc., Cary,
104 NC, USA) and statistical significance was assessed at $P < 0.05$.

105

106 **Results**

107 A total of 5015 patients (3387 term and 1628 preterm) fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were
108 included in the analysis. The most common dose of hyperbaric bupivacaine administered was 12
109 mg (61.7%), followed by 11.25 mg (23.0%), 10.5 mg (9.1%), 13.5 mg (3.8%), 12.75 mg (1.9%)
110 and 15 mg (0.5 %). Overall, there were 278 failed anesthetics (5.5%). Spinal anesthesia was used
111 in 80.7 % of cases [238 (87.3%), 320 (88.9%), 799 (82.7%) and 2698 (81.1%) for patients at <28,
112 28 to <32, 32 to <37 and ≥ 37 weeks of gestation, respectively] and CSE was used in 19.3 % of
113 cases. There was a trend for greater use of CSE as gestational age increased ($P < 0.0001$). Doses of
114 intrathecal bupivacaine were larger in patients who received CSE compared with those who
115 received a single-shot spinal anesthetic ($P < 0.0001$). Fifty-four percent of cesarean deliveries
116 were scheduled and 46 % unscheduled. There was a decreasing trend in unscheduled cesarean
117 deliveries with increasing gestational age [252 (90.7%), 304 (83.3%), 680 (69.3%) and 1047
118 (30.9%) for patients < 28, 28 to <32, 32 to <37 and ≥ 37 weeks of gestation respectively, CA
119 trend $P < 0.0001$].

120 Patient demographics and intraoperative characteristics according to block failure are
121 shown in Table 1. Augmentation of CSE with epidural lidocaine accounted for 130 (46.8%) of
122 failures, followed by supplementation of spinal anesthetic with intravenous adjuvants with or
123 without nitrous oxide ($n=50$, 18.0%), conversion to general anesthesia ($n=41$, 14.8%), repetition
124 of spinal anesthesia ($n=41$, 14.8%), and supplementation of CSE with epidural lidocaine and
125 intravenous adjuvants or nitrous oxide ($n=16$, 5.8%). The most common reasons for failure
126 according to gestational age, type of block and birth weight are shown in Table 2.

127 We found a decreasing trend of failure with increasing gestational age (CA trend
128 $P < 0.0001$). Overall, the failure rate was greater in preterm versus to term patients (6.4% vs.
129 5.1%, $P=0.01$). The failure rates were 10.8%, 7.7%, 5.3% and 5% for patients <28, 28 to <32, 32
130 to <37 and ≥ 37 weeks of gestation respectively ($P=0.0002$). After Bonferroni adjustment for
131 multiple comparisons, the failure rate was lower in women at 32 to <37 weeks and ≥ 37 weeks
132 gestation compared with those <28 weeks of gestation ($P=0.004$ and $P=0.0003$, respectively), but
133 there was no difference in failure rates for other pairwise gestational age comparisons. In the
134 multivariable model (C-Index 0.78, HL GOF P value =0.2, Table 3), low birth weight
135 ($P < 0.0001$), gestational age ($P=0.03$), use of CSE ($P < 0.0001$) and ethnicity ($P=0.02$) were
136 significantly associated with failure. There was a moderate correlation between the continuous
137 measures of gestational age and low birth weight (Spearman's $\rho = 0.45$), but there was no

138 evidence of collinearity or interaction between the variables in the model. An analysis replacing
139 BMI with height and weight in the final model identified the same significant factors and found
140 no significant association between patient height or weight and the rate of failure ($P=0.19$ and
141 $P=0.63$, respectively).

142 In the sensitivity analysis, when cases where the epidural catheter was topped-up with
143 lidocaine were excluded, the failure rates were 8.8%, 5.6%, 3.0% and 2.3% for patients <28, 28
144 to <32, 32 to <37 and ≥ 37 weeks of gestation, respectively (CA trend $P<0.0001$). In this analysis,
145 after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons, patients at 32 to <37 and ≥ 37 weeks of
146 gestation had lower failure rates than patients at <28 weeks of gestation ($P=0.0002$ and
147 $P<0.0001$, respectively). There was also a difference in the failure rate between patients at 28 to
148 <32 and ≥ 37 weeks of gestation ($P=0.0011$). In the multivariable logistic regression analysis (C-
149 Index 0.67, HL GOF P value =0.37, Table 3), only low birth weight and use of CSE (both
150 $P<0.0001$) were significantly associated with increased odds of failure, whereas gestational age
151 ($P=0.06$) and ethnicity ($P=0.73$) were no longer significant. Similar results were obtained when
152 the analysis was restricted to cases performed under spinal anesthesia (model not reported).

153 Data for the experience of the provider performing the block were missing in 17% of
154 included cases; therefore this variable was not included in the main model, but we performed an
155 additional sensitivity analysis including this variable. In the multivariable model including
156 provider type (C-Index 0.785, HL GOF P value = 0.14), low birth weight ($P<0.0001$), block type
157 ($P<0.0001$), ethnicity ($P=0.03$) and provider level ($P<0.004$), were significantly associated with
158 odds of failure, but gestational age was not ($P=0.17$). Pairwise comparisons showed lower failure
159 rate with CRNAs compared to residents (OR 0.42, 95 % CI 0.25 to 0.69, $P=0.0008$), but there
160 was no statistically significant difference for the other pairwise comparisons.

161

162 **Discussion**

163 In this retrospective database analysis, at the standard spinal doses of hyperbaric bupivacaine
164 used in our practice, there was a higher likelihood of inadequate surgical anesthesia during
165 cesarean delivery for preterm compared with term parturients. Extremely preterm patients
166 (gestational age <28 weeks) had the highest incidence of failure. However, when adjusting for
167 potential confounders, low birth weight had a larger effect size than gestational age in the main
168 model, and its significant association with failure was consistent in all sensitivity analyses,

169 whereas the association with gestational age was no longer statistically significant in the
170 sensitivity analyses performed.

171 A previous study of women undergoing cesarean delivery under CSE anesthesia by James
172 et al.,⁹ reported that a dose of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 11.25 mg provided an anesthetic
173 block to T4 in 100% of term women, but failed to provide a T4 level in 84% of preterm women.
174 The latter group required supplemental epidural local anesthetic. Preterm women who developed
175 adequate sensory block were at the upper end of the preterm gestational age limit.⁹ The authors
176 also found a strong linear correlation between increasing gestational age and block height.

177 Previous studies²⁻⁴ reported that pregnant women require less local anesthetic than non-
178 pregnant women and suggested that this is related to the physiological and hormonal changes in
179 pregnancy. Uterine enlargement and inferior vena cava obstruction result in distention of the
180 epidural veins leading to a decrease in volume of both subarachnoid and epidural spaces.⁶ The
181 enlargement of the epidural veins also may lead to compression of the theca displacing CSF from
182 the subarachnoid space.⁶ It has been proposed that these changes alter the distribution of drugs
183 injected into the CSF and subarachnoid dose requirements.¹¹ Increased prostaglandin
184 concentrations in both blood and CSF during pregnancy may also alter susceptibility of nerves to
185 local anesthetics and may contribute to the reduction of local anesthetic requirement seen in
186 pregnancy.¹² Changes in spinal curvature during pregnancy such as the caudal shift of the apex of
187 lumbar lordosis and reduction of the typical thoracic kyphosis may influence the spread of local
188 anesthetic solutions, leading to higher sensory level in the pregnant patient.⁵ Preterm women
189 have a smaller uterus than women at term and presumably have less vena caval compression, less
190 engorgement of epidural veins and therefore larger epidural and subarachnoid space volumes,
191 which could serve as an explanation for our findings. In fact, when we controlled for potential
192 confounders in our multivariable model, low birth weight was significantly associated with
193 increased odds of inadequate blocks, and this was consistent in all the three sensitivity analyses
194 performed, whereas gestational age was associated with a smaller effect size compared with low
195 birth weight in the main model and was no longer significantly associated with failure in the
196 sensitivity analyses. While it may be assumed that the global increase in intra-abdominal pressure
197 is a potential mechanism for increased intrathecal drug spread during pregnancy, a recent study
198 challenges this theory.¹³ In that study, intra-abdominal pressure was measured via a bladder
199 catheter after establishing a T4 sensory block and at the end of the surgery in parturients having

200 elective cesarean delivery with single-shot spinal anesthesia; the authors found no association
201 between maximum sensory block level and pre-incisional intra-abdominal pressure.¹³

202 We found that the use of CSE anesthesia was associated with an increased risk of failure
203 compared with the single-shot spinal technique, despite the use of larger intrathecal doses with
204 CSE. This effect was still present in the sensitivity analysis when cases that were classified as
205 failure based on epidural administration of lidocaine were excluded. The reason for this is
206 unclear, but might be related to a delay in moving the patient from the sitting to the supine
207 position when using hyperbaric bupivacaine. While previous studies suggested that there is no
208 difference in block level,¹⁴ or even higher levels with CSE compared with single-shot spinal
209 anesthesia,¹⁵ in those studies blocks were performed with patients in the lateral position, whereas
210 the sitting position is routinely used in our practice. However, other factors must have contributed
211 to the failures since topping up the epidural catheter with lidocaine in some of those cases was
212 not successful in achieving adequate anesthesia and other interventions were needed. We also
213 found that ethnicity was significantly associated with failure in our multivariable model. In a
214 study examining mode of anesthesia for preterm cesarean delivery, Butwick et al. reported that
215 non-Caucasian race was associated with greater use of general anesthesia than neuraxial
216 anesthesia,¹⁶ although they but did not examine the failure rate of neuraxial anesthesia by
217 ethnicity. The reason for this association of ethnicity with failure in our study is unclear, but
218 ethnic differences in neuraxial anatomy have been previously reported¹⁷ and might be a
219 contributing factor.

220 There are some limitations to our study. It was a single center retrospective study.
221 Because it was a retrospective analysis, we cannot ascertain from the patient charts the reasons
222 for augmentation with epidural lidocaine when a CSE technique was used. In some cases the
223 lidocaine bolus was administered before or shortly after the onset of surgery. While we assumed
224 this was because of an inadequate block, the exact reasons are not clear. It is also possible that in
225 some of these cases, a lower dose of hyperbaric bupivacaine was used with the intention to
226 augment the block with epidural lidocaine if needed. We therefore performed a sensitivity
227 analysis excluding cases in which failure was assumed based only on topping-up the epidural
228 catheter with lidocaine and this analysis showed comparable results to the main analysis.
229 Similarly, the reason and threshold for administration of supplemental analgesics was not
230 available and could have varied among different providers. We tried to address this limitation by

231 including only patients who received at least two supplemental analgesics intraoperatively. The
232 dose of hyperbaric bupivacaine was not strictly controlled among groups, however 62% of
233 patients received hyperbaric bupivacaine 12 mg. The narrow range of doses, with only 6 % of
234 patients receiving doses higher than 12 mg, might also account for the fact that the dose of local
235 anesthetic was not found to be a factor associated with failure in our analysis. While we collected
236 information on the highest recorded block level, we cannot ascertain that this was the level
237 recorded after the initial failed block or after repeating or supplementing the block. Furthermore,
238 these data were missing in 6 % of cases. While we categorized cases into scheduled and
239 unscheduled, the degree of urgency of unscheduled cases was not included in the analysis. Data
240 about the experience of the provider performing the block were missing in a number of cases,
241 which prevented us from including this variable in the main model. However, the results of the
242 sensitivity analysis including this variable yielded comparable results to the main model.

243 In conclusion, at standard doses of spinal bupivacaine for cesarean delivery used in our
244 practice, the rate of inadequate spinal block was higher in preterm compared with term patients.
245 Our analysis suggests that low birth weight is the major factor responsible for the increased risk
246 of failure.

247

248 **Disclosure**

249 This study received no external funding and the authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

250

251 **References**

- 252 1. Hirabayashi Y, Shimizu R, Saitoh K, Fukuda H. Spread of subarachnoid hyperbaric
253 amethocaine in pregnant women. *Br J Anaesth* 1995; 74: 384-6.
- 254 2. Fassoulaki A, Gatzou V, Petropoulos G, Siafaka I. Spread of subarachnoid block,
255 intraoperative local anaesthetic requirements and postoperative analgesic requirements in
256 Caesarean section and total abdominal hysterectomy. *Br J Anaesth* 2004; 93: 678-82.
- 257 3. Zhan Q, Huang S, Geng G, Xie Y. Comparison of relative potency of intrathecal
258 bupivacaine for motor block in pregnant versus non-pregnant women. *Int J Obstet Anesth* 2011;
259 20: 219-23.
- 260 4. Greene NM. Distribution of local anesthetic solutions within the subarachnoid space.
261 *Anesth Analg* 1985; 64: 715-30.

- 262 5. Hirabayashi Y, Shimizu R, Fukuda H, Saitoh K, Furuse M. Anatomical configuration of
263 the spinal column in the supine position. II. Comparison of pregnant and non-pregnant women.
264 *Br J Anaesth* 1995; 75: 6-8.
- 265 6. Barclay DL, Renegar OJ, Nelson EW, Jr. The influence of inferior vena cava compression
266 on the level of spinal anesthesia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1968; 101: 792-800.
- 267 7. Datta S, Lambert DH, Gregus J, Gissen AJ, Covino BG. Differential sensitivities of
268 mammalian nerve fibers during pregnancy. *Anesth Analg* 1983; 62: 1070-2.
- 269 8. Flanagan HL, Datta S, Lambert DH, Gissen AJ, Covino BG. Effect of pregnancy on
270 bupivacaine-induced conduction blockade in the isolated rabbit vagus nerve. *Anesth Analg* 1987;
271 66: 123-6.
- 272 9. James KS, McGrady E, Patrick A. Combined spinal-extradural anaesthesia for preterm
273 and term caesarean section: is there a difference in local anaesthetic requirements? *Br J Anaesth*
274 1997; 78: 498-501.
- 275 10. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Oestergaard MZ, et al. National, regional, and worldwide
276 estimates of preterm birth rates in the year 2010 with time trends since 1990 for selected
277 countries: a systematic analysis and implications. *Lancet* 2012; 379: 2162-72.
- 278 11. Takiguchi T, Yamaguchi S, Tezuka M, Furukawa N, Kitajima T. Compression of the
279 subarachnoid space by the engorged epidural venous plexus in pregnant women. *Anesthesiology*
280 2006; 105: 848-51.
- 281 12. Datta S, Hurley RJ, Naulty JS, et al. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid progesterone
282 concentrations in pregnant and nonpregnant women. *Anesth Analg* 1986; 65: 950-4.
- 283 13. Ozkan Seyhan T, Orhan-Sungur M, Basaran B, et al. The effect of intra-abdominal
284 pressure on sensory block level of single-shot spinal anesthesia for cesarean section: an
285 observational study. *Int J Obstet Anesth* 2015; 24: 35-40.
- 286 14. Horstman DJ, Riley ET, Carvalho B. A randomized trial of maximum cephalad sensory
287 blockade with single-shot spinal compared with combined spinal-epidural techniques for
288 cesarean delivery. *Anesth Analg* 2009; 108: 240-5.
- 289 15. Ithnin F, Lim Y, Sia AT, Ocampo CE. Combined spinal epidural causes higher level of
290 block than equivalent single-shot spinal anesthesia in elective cesarean patients. *Anesth Analg*
291 2006; 102: 577-80.

- 292 16. Butwick AJ, El-Sayed YY, Blumenfeld YJ, Osmundson SS, Weiniger CF. Mode of
293 anaesthesia for preterm Caesarean delivery: secondary analysis from the Maternal-Fetal Medicine
294 Units Network Caesarean Registry. *Br J Anaesth* 2015; 115: 267-74.
- 295 17. D'Alonzo RC, White WD, Schultz JR, Jaklitsch PM, Habib AS. Ethnicity and the distance
296 to the epidural space in parturients. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2008; 33: 24-9.
- 297
- 298

299 **Table 1 Patient demographics**

	No Failure (n=4737)	Failure (n=278)	P value
Age (years)	30 [24–34]	31 [26–35]	0.10
Ethnicity			<0.0001
Caucasian	1976 (41.7%)	110 (39.6%)	
African American	1634 (34.5%)	133 (47.8%)	
Other	1127 (23.8%)	35 (12.6%)	
Body mass index(kg/m ²)	32.0 [27.9–37.2]	32.5 [27.9–40.3]	0.05
Gestational age (weeks)			<0.0001
<28 weeks	249 (5.3%)	30 (10.8%)	
28 to <32 weeks	337 (7.1%)	28 (10.1%)	
32 to <37 weeks	934 (19.7%)	50 (18.0%)	
≥37 weeks	3217 (67.9%)	170 (61.2%)	
Bupivacaine dose(mg)	11.77 ± 0.6	11.84 ± 0.8	0.33
Unscheduled cesarean delivery	2149 (45.4%)	134 (48.2%)	0.37
Birth weight (g)*	3292.5 [2770–3755]	3095 [2315–3515]	<0.0001
Low birth weight (<2500 g)*	851 (18.3%)	87 (32.2%)	<0.0001
Anesthetic method (spinal)	3941 (84.8%)	114 (41.0%)	<0.0001
Highest recorded block level [†]			<0.0001
≥T1	26 (0.6%)	1 (0.4%)	
T6-T2	3922 (88.0%)	183 (76.6%)	
T10-T7	510 (11.4%)	55 (23.0%)	
Provider level [#]			<0.0001
Attending	419 (10.7%)	38 (16.6%)	
Fellow	28 (0.7%)	4 (1.8%)	
Resident	2733 (69.6%)	169 (73.8%)	
CRNA	746 (19.0%)	18 (7.9%)	

300 Data presented as median [IQR], mean ± SD, or number (%).

301 *Missing for 95 (1.9%), [†]Missing for 318 (6.3%), [#]Missing for 860 (17%).

302 CRNA: certified registered nurse anesthetist

303 **Table 2 Distribution of failure by gestational age, type of neuraxial block and birth weight**

Management of Failure	According to gestational age			
	<28 weeks (n=30)	28 to <32 weeks (n=28)	32 to <37 weeks (n=52)	≥37 weeks (n=172)
Block repeated	6 (20.0%)	5 (17.9%)	3 (6.0%)	27 (15.9%)
Converted to GA	7 (23.3%)	6 (21.4%)	12 (24.0%)	16 (9.4%)
Spinal with IV adjuvants/N ₂ O	8 (26.7%)	8 (28.6%)	11 (22.0%)	23 (13.5%)
CSE with epidural lidocaine and IV adjuvants/ N ₂ O	3 (10%)	1 (3.6%)	3 (6.0%)	9 (5.3%)
CSE with epidural lidocaine	6 (20.0%)	8 (28.6%)	21 (42.0%)	95 (55.9%)
	According to type of neuraxial block			
	Spinal (n=114)	CSE (n=164)		
Block repeated	35 (30.7%)	6 (3.7%)		
Converted to GA	29 (25.4%)	12 (7.3%)		
Spinal with IV adjuvants/N ₂ O	50 (43.9%)	-		
CSE with epidural lidocaine and IV adjuvants/ N ₂ O	-	16 (9.8%)		
CSE with epidural lidocaine	-	130 (79.3%)		
	According to birth weight			
	Low birth weight (n=87)	Normal birth weight (n=183)		
Block repeated	12 (13.8%)	26 (14.2%)		
Converted to GA	23 (26.4%)	17 (9.3%)		
Spinal with IV adjuvants/N ₂ O	22 (25.3%)	27 (14.8%)		
CSE with epidural lidocaine and IV adjuvants/ N ₂ O	5 (5.8%)	11 (6.9%)		
CSE with epidural lidocaine	25 (28.7%)	102 (55.7%)		

304 Data are number (%)

305 GA: general anesthesia; IV: intravenous; N₂O: nitrous oxide; CSE: combined spinal-epidural.

306

307 **Table 3 Multivariable logistic regression model**

Covariable	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P value
Main Model		
Gestational age (weeks, reference group: ≥ 37 weeks)		0.03
<28 weeks	1.56 (0.89, 2.71)	0.12
28 to <32 weeks	0.93 (0.52, 1.62)	0.80
32 to <37 weeks	0.71 (0.47, 1.04)	0.08
Age (years)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.31
Body mass index (kg/m^2)	1.00 (0.98, 1.01)	0.61
Ethnicity (reference group: Caucasian)		0.02
African American	1.08 (0.80, 1.46)	0.61
Other	0.60 (0.40, 0.89)	0.01
Hyperbaric bupivacaine dose (mg)	0.92 (0.75, 1.12)	0.41
Priority: scheduled vs. unscheduled	0.93 (0.69, 1.26)	0.63
Anesthetic technique: CSE vs. spinal	9.36 (7.05, 12.47)	<0.0001
Low birth weight (<2500 g)	2.46 (1.65, 3.64)	<0.0001
Sensitivity analysis excluding cases where failure was accounted for by epidural lidocaine		
Gestational age (weeks, reference group: ≥ 37 weeks)		0.06
<28 weeks	1.81 (0.92, 3.49)	0.08
28 to <32 weeks	1.06 (0.53, 2.07)	0.86
32 to <37 weeks	0.79 (0.46, 1.31)	0.37
Age (years)	1.01 (0.98, 1.02)	0.59
Body mass index (kg/m^2)	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.78
Ethnicity (reference group: Caucasian)		0.73
African American	0.97 (0.65, 1.44)	0.88
Other	0.82 (0.73, 1.25)	0.43
Hyperbaric bupivacaine dose (mg)	0.92 (0.70, 1.18)	0.51
Priority: scheduled vs. unscheduled	0.93 (0.62, 1.41)	0.74
Anesthetic technique: CSE vs. spinal	2.08 (1.34, 3.16)	0.0008
Low birth weight (<2500 g)	2.97 (1.79, 4.92)	<0.0001
Sensitivity analysis including provider level for subset where data were available		
Gestational age (weeks, reference group: ≥ 37 weeks)		0.17
<28 weeks	1.18 (0.62, 2.24)	0.62
28 to <32 weeks	0.78 (0.41, 1.49)	0.45
32 to <37 weeks	0.68 (0.44, 1.05)	0.08
Age (years)	1.01 (0.99, 1.04)	0.28
Body mass index (kg/m^2)	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.87
Ethnicity (reference group: Caucasian)		0.03
African American	1.02 (0.73, 1.41)	0.91
Other	0.56 (0.36, 0.89)	0.01
Hyperbaric bupivacaine dose (mg)	0.92 (0.72, 1.16)	0.46
Priority: scheduled vs. unscheduled	1.08 (0.77, 1.52)	0.65
Anesthetic technique: CSE vs. spinal	8.51 (6.22, 11.64)	<0.0001
Low birth weight (<2500 g)	2.53 (1.64, 3.88)	<0.0001
Provider level (reference group: Resident)		0.004

Attending	1.18 (0.79, 1.75)	0.42
Fellow	1.46 (0.46, 4.63)	0.52
CRNA	0.42 (0.25, 0.69)	0.0008

308 CI: confidence interval; CSE: combined spinal epidural; CRNA: certified registered nurse anesthetist

309

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

310

311 **IJOA 15-00176**

312 **Highlights**

313 • We retrospectively reviewed our perioperative cesarean delivery database

314 • Spinal anesthesia failure rate was higher in preterm versus term patients

315 • The highest risk of failure at gestational age <28 weeks of gestation

316 • Low birth weight was the main factor associated with failure

317

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT