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Abstract: Craniofacial clefts are rare entities, with an incidence reported as 1.43 to 4.85 per 100,000 births. The Tessier number 3 cleft, the most medial of the oblique clefts, can manifest as clefting of the lip between the canine and lateral incisors, colobomas of the nasal ala and lower eyelid, and inferior displacement of the medial canthus—frequently disrupting the lacrimal system with extreme variability in expressivity (Eppley).

Literature on cleft lip repair is extensive and has evolved to incorporate anthropometric techniques, based on identifiable landmarks and anthropometric measurements that are compared with contralateral unaffected anatomy or population means and tracked over time to assess impact on growth. Recent focus has been placed on "subunit" repair that repairs "like with like." These approaches have resulted in a remarkable reproducibility of methods and outcomes.

Facial cleft surgery publications are sparse due to the rarity of the disorders, and consensus has yet to develop on standardized landmarks, reference measurements, and principles of repair. The authors describe a method of correcting incomplete unilateral Tessier 3 cleft based on the principles described above. Intraoperative photographs, including secondary revisions, as well as immediate and long-term postoperative results are presented.
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SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

Markings

Two key landmarks, endocanthion (medial canthus) and alare (lateral ala insertion), are marked on the nonleft side. The facial midline plane is identified using the best available midline identifiers such as chin point, lower lip and gingival midlines, and nasion. The ideal location of the endocanthion and alare on the cleft side is identified by symmetrical transposition from the nonleft side relative the midline. Additionally, the nasolabial groove and the eyelid-cheek junction is marked bilaterally (Fig. 1).

Using the transposed alar point, the location of the alar insertion on the affected side is marked to re-create the alar-facial groove. Skin coverage medial to the line is preserved to create a turnover lining flap for the nostril.

The amount of elevation of the medial canthus on the cleft side is measured and the distance between endocanthion-alare (en-al) is measured bilaterally and verified to be identical. On the cleft side, the distance between medial canthus to eyelid-cheek junction is measured, and compared with the nonleft side. The subtracted deficiency in lower eyelid height ("l") is compensated on the cleft side by a hemi-Tripler flap based medially off the upper lid, whose width is set to “1.” The rest of the distance deficiency between alare to eyelid—cheek junction ("c") is determined on the nonleft side. Distance “c” is marked on the cleft side lateral cheek advancement flap as a vertical line extending between nasolabial and eyelid—cheek junction (Fig. 1A).

The edges of the cleft are incised and refreshed, and grossly dysplastic tissue is excised. The alar insertion line is incised on the lateral segment and the skin coverage medial to that is elevated based medially to supplement nostril mucosal lining. The residual alar skin and subcutaneous structures on the medial segment are freed off the medial insertion until fully able to rotate and transpose into place. The junction of eyelid to cheek is incised laterally to allow the medial lower eyelid and canthus to elevate supero-medially to position the medial canthus in its ideal location. The edges of the coloboma on the medial canthus are refreshed and the tarsal strip of the lower lid suspended to the medial canthal confluence (Fig. 1B). The medially based hemi-Tripler flap is elevated and transposed to resurface the resultant defect. The mucosal lining turnover flap is transposed (Fig. 1C). A template of the osseous defect obtained directly from the patient’s anatomy or from preoperative imaging is made (Fig. 2). A 4-cm parietal scalp incision is done and a cranial bone graft is harvested to the desired template. The bone graft is secured to the edges of the cleft. A cheek advancement flap is elevated full-thickness and advanced into the defect until line "c" aligns with the endocanthion-alare axis, thus providing full subunit correction of the defects. The flaps are secured in place, and final tailoring completed (Fig. 1D).

The early and late clinical and radiographic results are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. A mild relapse of the medial canthal position was noted postoperatively, and was observed to worsen after dacryocystorhinostomy, which raises questions about timing.
and sequencing the dacryocystorhinostomy relative to the definitive repair. A repeat medial canthopexy using a retrocaruncular approach was done at age 5 after completion of the rapid phase of interorbital growth and prior to schooling. A small Z-plasty to define the alar-facial groove and an auricular composite graft to reconstruct the soft triangle were also required.

**DISCUSSION**

Tessier-type craniofacial clefts are rare, and the number 3 cleft is one of the more infrequently seen clefting patterns. Most arise sporadically and are thought to involve failure of fusion between the medial nasal prominence and the maxillary and lateral nasal processes. This cleft extends from the nasal ala to the medial canthus, and variably involves skin and soft tissue, cartilage, bone, and mucosa. Incomplete clefts are restricted to disruption of the lateral nasal and maxillary processes, resulting in sparing of the lip. Because of this variability, thorough preoperative physical examination and proper imaging are critical to appropriate surgical management.

Owing to the rarity and variable presentation, few reconstructive guidelines have been presented. General surgical options, including multiple local flaps with or without tissue expansion, for complex Tessier 3 clefts in combination with a multitude of other craniofacial anomalies are usually presented as case reports or small series. While most report some degree of long-term follow-up, there is often little description of secondary procedures and no standardized discussion of topographic landmarks or reproducible measurements to develop a standardized approach to management. A reconstructive algorithm based on soft tissue and bony involvement has been proposed. Other clinical reports involving unilateral, incomplete clefts have good results over the documented time of follow-up, but have similar criticisms as the above.

In our patient, a combination of a hemi-Triple and a cheek advancement flap was used to recreate the deficient soft tissues, providing “like tissues with like tissues” emphasized by a “subunit” approach. We utilized contralateral reproducible distances “I” and “c” based on external landmarks to guide the operation: from the medial canthus to eyelid–cheek junction and from the eyelid–cheek junction to base of the alar-facial groove. These can

---

**FIGURE 1.** Operative markings and technique. (A) Operative markings: endocanthion (en), medial canthus; Alare (al), lateral alar insertion; lower lid deficiency (l), cheek deficiency (c). (B) Flap elevation and medial canthopexy. (C) Transposition of hemi-Triple flap and mucosal turnover flap. The bed is ready for bone grafting to the deficient maxillary segments. (D) Cranial bone graft secured with overlying cheek myocutaneous flap advancement and inset. Caudal repositioning of ala with definition of alar facial groove.

**FIGURE 2.** Volumetric computed tomography scan reconstructions. (A) Preoperative AP view. Note absence of frontal process of maxilla and medial orbital wall/inferior orbital rim. (B) Preoperative oblique view. (C) One year postoperative. Note incorporation of bone graft into bony orbit but persistence of maxillary osseous cleft. (D) Six years postoperative. Note continued growth of the grafted nasal sidewall/maxilla.

**FIGURE 3.** Clinical photographs. (A) Preoperative AP view. (B) Preoperative oblique view. (C) One week postoperative result. (D) Patient at age 4. Note visible scar from dacryocystorhinostomy and relapse of the medial canthus malposition and coloboma. (E) Intraoperative view of secondary canthal reconstruction, ala Z-plasty and soft triangle reconstruction. (F) Immediate postoperative result after revision. (G) Late results age 8. Note harmonious growth of maxillary elements, nasal sidewall, and absence of medial cheek flatness.
then be used to guide markings for the hemi-Triple and cheek advancement flaps, respectively. This allows for improved replication and symmetry, which can still be individualized based on the severity of tissue deficiency.

This approach is ideally suited to unilateral, isolated clefts, as intact anatomy on the contralateral side is required for the corresponding measurements. Alternatively, anthropometric published references can be used for bilateral patients. The patient had an acceptable long-term outcome with a follow-up of nearly 8 years. Discussion and documentation throughout the patient’s course are presented, including 2 minor revisionary surgeries secondary to late cicatricial scar contracture. Revisions are felt to be inevitable and speak to the difficulty of reconstruction and importance of reconstruction of all involved tissues, especially mucosal coverage.

We present a patient with a good long-term postoperative result based on anthropometric methods to reconstruction. We feel this initial technique along with documentation of subsequent procedures can help provide a more reproducible form of reconstruction of the soft tissues in this rare patient population.
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