Etiologies of Illness among Patients Meeting Integrated Management of Adolescent and Adult Illness District Clinician Manual Criteria for Severe Infections in Northern Tanzania: Implications for Empiric Antimicrobial Therapy

Matthew P. Rubach, Venance P. Maro, John A. Bartlett, and John A. Crump*

Division of Infectious Diseases and International Health, Department of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina; Department of Medicine, Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre, Moshi, Tanzania; Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University College, Tumaini University, Moshi, Tanzania; Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina; Centre for International Health, Dunedin School of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Abstract. We describe the laboratory-confirmed etiologies of illness among participants in a hospital-based febrile illness cohort study in northern Tanzania who retrospectively met Integrated Management of Adolescent and Adult Illness District Clinician Manual (IMAI) criteria for septic shock, severe respiratory distress without shock, and severe pneumonia, and compare these etiologies against commonly used antimicrobials, including IMAI recommendations for emergency antibacterials (ceftriaxone or ampicillin plus gentamicin) and IMAI first-line recommendations for severe pneumonia (ceftriaxone and a macrolide). Among 423 participants hospitalized with febrile illness, there were 25 septic shock, 37 severe respiratory distress without shock, and 109 severe pneumonia cases. Ceftriaxone had the highest potential utility of all antimicrobials assessed, with responsive etiologies in 12 (48%) septic shock, 5 (14%) severe respiratory distress without shock, and 19 (17%) severe pneumonia illnesses. For each syndrome 17–27% of participants had etiologic diagnoses that would be non-responsive to ceftriaxone, but responsive to other available antimicrobial regimens including amphotericin for cryptococcosis and histoplasmosis; anti-tuberculosis therapy for bacillary disseminated tuberculosis; or tetracycline therapy for rickettsioses and Q fever. We conclude that although empiric ceftriaxone is appropriate in our setting, etiologies not explicitly addressed in IMAI guidance for these syndromes, such as cryptococcosis, histoplasmosis, and tetracycline-responsive bacterial infections, were common.

INTRODUCTION

In resource-limited settings that often lack laboratory capacity for the diagnostic evaluation of illnesses, algorithmic patient management by syndrome classification is an important tool for healthcare workers. Such syndromic management approaches were first widely promulgated for children in the Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI).1–3 In 2011, the World Health Organization (WHO) issued Integrated Management of Adolescent and Adult Illness District Clinician Manual (IMAI).4 Although multiple studies have assessed the performance and impact of IMCI at the district and referral hospital level,5–8 studies are needed to assess the performance and impact of IMAI recommendations.

The IMAI recommended antibacterial therapy for emergency situations is ceftriaxone, or ampicillin plus gentamicin if ceftriaxone is not available. For septic shock and severe respiratory distress (SRD) without shock, IMAI recommends empiric antibacterials. Although substantial guidance is given on the broad differential diagnosis of septic shock, one can assume most providers at district hospitals in resource-limited settings would generally have recourse to the endorsed emergency antibacterial agents, ceftriaxone or ampicillin plus gentamicin. In malaria-endemic areas or in patients who have traveled to malaria-endemic areas, empiric antimalarials are also advised while awaiting the results of a malaria diagnostic test. For severe pneumonia, IMAI recommends empiric ceftriaxone plus one of three recommended macrolides (i.e., azithromycin, clarithromycin, or erythromycin), with ampicillin plus gentamicin offered as an alternative to ceftriaxone and doxycycline or a respiratory fluoroquinolone offered as alternatives to a macrolide. For those suspected or confirmed to have human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection who present with severe pneumonia, IMAI also advises empiric treatment of Pneumocystis pneumonia and consideration of pulmonary tuberculosis.

Using patient-level data from an etiology of febrile illness cohort study undertaken among hospitalized adolescents and adults in the Kilimanjaro Region of northern Tanzania,9–11 we sought to describe the laboratory-confirmed infectious etiologies among participants who met IMAI criteria for septic shock, SRD without shock, and severe pneumonia. We then assessed the potential utility of selected antimicrobial agents, including antimicrobials that feature prominently in IMAI guidance, for treatment of these syndromes. In addition, for those enrolled who died in-hospital, we similarly described the laboratory-confirmed etiologies and assessed the potential use of selected antimicrobial agents.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Setting. Moshi (population 184,000) is the administrative center of the Kilimanjaro Region (population 1.6 million) in northern Tanzania.12 It lies 890 m above mean sea level. The annual weather pattern is characterized by a long rainy period March–May and a short rainy period November–December.13 Malaria transmission intensity is low.14 In 2007–2008, the estimated prevalence of HIV infection in the Northern Zone of Tanzania among men and women 15–45 years of age were 1.8% and 3.2%, respectively.15 Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre (KCMC) is a consultant referral hospital with 458 inpatient beds serving the Northern Zone of Tanzania. Mawenzi Regional Hospital (MRH) is Kilimanjaro’s regional hospital, and has 300 inpatient beds. In 2008, KCMC admitted...
22,099 patients and MRH admitted 21,763 patients. Both hospitals are located in Moshi.

**Participants and procedures.** Participants were febrile adolescent and adult inpatients at KCMC and MRH who were prospectively enrolled into the fever cohort study from 17 September 2007 through 31 August 2008. The IMAI syndrome definitions were retrospectively applied to identify participants within this cohort who met criteria for septic shock, SRD without shock, and severe pneumonia (see below in “Syndrome criteria”). Adolescent and adult were defined according to IMAI guidance as persons >10 years of age. All patients with oral temperatures at the time of screening ≥ 38.0°C were eligible to participate in the study. Details of study procedures have been previously described. In brief, after obtaining informed consent, the following information and procedures were recorded on standardized study case report forms by trained study team clinical officers: the study clinical officers’ history and physical examination, including vital signs at time of enrollment; sterile venipuncture; chest radiograph; urine collection; recording of provisional and discharge diagnoses given by hospital clinical team, as classified by the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision (ICD-10). The venous blood was apportioned to aerobic blood culture (10 mL for participants ≥ 13 years; 4 mL for participants < 13 years), mycobacterial blood culture (5 mL), complete blood count, examination for blood parasites, HIV antibody testing, and archived aliquots of plasma and serum for further investigations at reference laboratories. Adequate blood volume for blood cultures was defined as the recommended goal volume ± 20%. For those found to be HIV seropositive, CD4-positive T-lymphocyte count and serum cryptococcal antigen titer were measured.

**Laboratory methods and case definitions for etiologic diagnoses.** Laboratory methods and the case definitions used to define etiologic diagnoses have been previously described. In brief, a confirmed etiologic diagnosis required detection of *Plasmodium* spp. on peripheral blood smear (≥ 500 trophozoites/µL), nucleic acid detection in serum (dengue, chikungunya, or flaviviruses), serum antigen detection (Cryptococcus neoformans > 1.8), urine antigen detection (Histoplasma capsulatum, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1), 4-fold rise between acute and convalescent serology (microscopic agglutination tests [MAT] for Brucella spp., and Leptospira spp., and immunofluorescent antibody [IFA] for *Coxiella burnetii, Rickettsia conorii, and Rickettsia typhi*), or isolation of clinically relevant bacteria, mycobacteria, or fungi from blood culture. Illness was attributed to tuberculosis based solely on isolation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex from blood culture (i.e., bacteremic disseminated tuberculosis), and not based on a clinical diagnosis of tuberculosis or positive acid-fast bacilli smear or culture of respiratory specimens, which were not collected as part of the febrile illness cohort study.

For those who died in-hospital without one of the previous laboratory-confirmed diagnoses, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) definitions for probable cases of the following bacterial infections were used, as these participants had typically died before the convalescent serum collection required to meet the confirmed CDC case definition: brucellosis, acute reciprocal antibody titer to *Brucella* spp. ≥ 160; Q fever, acute reciprocal antibody titer ≥ 128 to *C. burnetii* phase II antigen; spotted fever group rickettsioses, acute reciprocal antibody titer to *R. conorii* ≥ 64. Probable leptospirosis was defined as an acute MAT reciprocal antibody titer to *Leptospira* spp. ≥ 800.

**Syndrome criteria.** We used IMAI criteria for the three clinical syndromes and retrospectively applied them to participants from the febrile illness cohort study using information that was prospectively collected on the standardized study case report forms at the time of enrollment.

**Septic shock.** The IMAI defines septic shock as a patient in whom infection is suspected who has systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg, and one or more of the following: pulse > 100/minute, respiratory rate > 24/minute, temperature < 36.0°C or > 38.0°C.

**Severe respiratory distress without shock.** The IMAI defines SRD without shock as a patient with a respiratory rate > 30/minute or oxygen saturation < 90%, systolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, and suspected pneumonia or acute lung injury in the absence of heart failure. We defined participants with abnormal opacities on chest radiograph as suspected pneumonia or acute lung injury.

**Severe pneumonia.** The IMAI advises to suspect the clinical diagnosis of severe pneumonia if a patient has the following: fever or suspected infection, cough, respiratory rate > 30/minute, severe respiratory distress, oxygen saturation < 90%. As the severe pneumonia guidance does not contain composite “and/or” parameters to further define this syndrome, we defined severe pneumonia as fever or suspected infection and cough plus one of the following severity signs—respiratory rate > 30/minute or oxygen saturation < 90% or signs of respiratory distress. The IMAI gives the following guidance on signs of respiratory distress: very fast or very slow respiratory rates; use of accessory muscles to breathe (neck, intercostal, or abdominal muscles); inability to speak in complete sentences; cyanosis; depressed level of consciousness. Applying this guidance to the data systematically collected in our cohort study, we defined signs of respiratory distress as the presence of central cyanosis, chest recession, loss of consciousness, Glasgow Coma Score ≤ 12 for those > 13 years of age, and Blantyre Coma Score ≤ 4 or report of lethargy for those < 13 years of age.

**In-hospital deaths.** In-hospital deaths were defined as participants who died at KCMC or MRH during the same hospitalization in which they were enrolled in the febrile illness cohort study.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and regimen effectiveness.** Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (Wayne, PA) guideline, and interpretative criteria for susceptibility to ampicillin, ceftriaxone, erythromycin, and gentamicin were based on CLSI M100-S23, January 2013. Effectiveness of macrolides for severe pneumonia was only undertaken for severe pneumonia cases caused by *S. pneumoniae*, as erythromycin susceptibility testing was performed on *S. pneumoniae* isolates. Susceptibility testing to azithromycin, doxycycline, and respiratory fluoroquinolones was not performed. For illnesses attributable to *C. neoformans* or *H. capsulatum*, susceptibility to amphotericin was presumed. For illnesses attributable to *Leptospira* spp., ampicillin and ceftriaxone susceptibility were presumed. Susceptibility to a tetracycline was presumed for *R. conorii*.
and *C. burnetii*. The potential use of the three IMAI-recommended macrolides was not assessed for severe pneumonia cases associated with *R. conorii* or *C. burnetii* because of heterogeneous or limited data on macrolide therapy for these organisms. Analysis of respiratory fluoroquinolones for participants with severe pneumonia was not undertaken as our laboratory did not perform antimicrobial susceptibility testing for these agents. In cases of *S. pneumoniae* infection detected by urine antigen testing, susceptibility to ceftriaxone, ampicillin, gentamicin, and erythromycin were extrapolated from antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *S. pneumoniae* bloodstream isolates from study participants.

An antimicrobial agent was considered to have potential utility for an etiologic pathogen based on antimicrobial susceptibility testing or presumed susceptibility as outlined previously. At times we also refer to potential utility as an etiologic pathogen that would be responsive to that agent. Empiric IMAI therapies evaluated included IMAI emergency antibacterials (ceftriaxone, or ampicillin plus gentamicin) for septic shock and SRD without shock, and ceftriaxone plus a macrolide for severe pneumonia. Potential utility of amphotericin refers to etiologic diagnoses of cryptococcosis or histoplasmosis. Potential utility of tetracylines refers to bacterial zoonoses that would not respond to ceftriaxone or ampicillin plus gentamicin and for which a tetracycline is considered first-line therapy; namely, Q fever, typhus group rickettsioses, and spotted fever group rickettsioses. Potential utility of anti-tuberculosis therapy refers to standard initial four-drug regimen of isoniazid, rifampin, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide for the treatment of tuberculosis.

**Statistical analysis.** Data analysis was descriptive, mainly presented in the form of proportions. For these proportions, the denominator used was the number of participants who met the criteria for the syndrome of interest, not the number of participants within each syndrome who had a diagnostic result.

**Ethics statement.** This study was approved by the KCMC Research Ethics Committee, the Tanzania National Institute for Medical Research National Research Ethics Coordinating Committee, and an Institutional Review Board of Duke University Medical Center. Informed consent was obtained from all participants or from the participant’s

---

**Figure 1.** Study flow diagram for etiologies of illness among patients meeting Integrated Management of Adolescent and Adult Illness District Clinician Manual criteria for severe infections. KCMC = Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre; MRH = Mawenzi Regional Hospital.
Table 1
Clinical characteristics among participants meeting Integrated Management of Adolescent and Adult Illness criteria for septic shock, severe respiratory distress without shock, severe pneumonia, and among those who died in-hospital of febrile illness, Moshi, Tanzania 2007–2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Septic shock (N = 25)</th>
<th>Severe respiratory distress without shock (N = 37)</th>
<th>Severe pneumonia (N = 109)</th>
<th>In-hospital death (N = 44)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>38.4 [30.7–45.7]</td>
<td>35.9 [31.2–46.5]</td>
<td>34.4 [25.2–44.3]</td>
<td>39.0 [33.4–46.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>18 (48)</td>
<td>55 (50)</td>
<td>22 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died in-hospital (%)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
<td>20 (18)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 T-cells &lt; 200 cells/µL</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
<td>16 (43)</td>
<td>50 (45)</td>
<td>24 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 T-cells &lt; 350 cells/µL</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiretroviral therapy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, g/dL</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>17 (46)</td>
<td>48 (44)</td>
<td>21 (48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Among those who met criteria for severe respiratory distress without shock and those who met criteria for severe pneumonia, 31 of 37 and 74 of 109, respectively, received a clinical diagnosis of lower respiratory tract infection by the non-study staff clinical providers. Median [inter-quartile range] unless otherwise indicated.

*The in-hospital death group includes 24 deaths among participants with septic shock, severe respiratory distress without shock, and severe pneumonia, plus an additional 20 in-hospital deaths among study participants who did not meet criteria for these three IMAI syndromes.

Parent/guardian. The United States Department of Health and Human Services human subjects research guidelines were followed.

RESULTS

Participant screening, enrollment, and the number who met criteria for the three syndromes of interest, and the number who died in-hospital are shown in Figure 1. Of the 423 participants >10 years of age, 133 (31%) met the IMAI criteria for ≥ 1 of the three clinical syndromes. Among these 133, the median age was 34 (range 10–95) years, 84 (65%) were female and 66 (50%) were HIV-infected, 26 (39%) of which were newly diagnosed at the time of enrollment. Thirty-eight (29%) of 133 met criteria for more than one of the three syndromes (Figure 1), for a total number of 171 syndrome cases: 25 septic shock, 37 SRD without shock, and 109 severe pneumonia cases. Forty-four (10%) of the 423 participants > 10 years of age died in-hospital. Thirty-one (70%) of the 44 deaths occurred among HIV-infected persons, and 24 of the deaths occurred in participants who met criteria for ≥ 1 of the three syndromes. Clinical characteristics of participants with these three syndromes and those who died in-hospital are presented in Table 1. Breadth of diagnostic evaluation achieved among participants in these groups is presented in Table 2.

Table 2
Laboratory evaluations completed among participants meeting Integrated Management of Adolescent and Adult Illness criteria for septic shock, severe respiratory distress without shock, severe pneumonia, and among those who died in-hospital of febrile illness, Moshi, Tanzania 2007–2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory evaluations</th>
<th>Septic shock (N = 25)</th>
<th>Severe respiratory distress without shock (N = 37)</th>
<th>Severe pneumonia (N = 109)</th>
<th>In-hospital death (N = 44)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microscopy</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>37 (100)</td>
<td>108 (99)</td>
<td>43 (98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria blood film (%)</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>34 (92)</td>
<td>98 (90)</td>
<td>41 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleic acid detection</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>34 (92)</td>
<td>99 (90)</td>
<td>41 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chikungunya PCR (%)</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>34 (92)</td>
<td>99 (90)</td>
<td>41 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dengue PCR (%)</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>34 (92)</td>
<td>99 (90)</td>
<td>41 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaviviruses PCR (%)</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>34 (92)</td>
<td>99 (90)</td>
<td>41 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen detection</td>
<td>14 (100)</td>
<td>25 (96)</td>
<td>53 (98)</td>
<td>29 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. neoformans (%)</td>
<td>20 (80)</td>
<td>26 (70)</td>
<td>84 (77)</td>
<td>30 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. pneumophila (%)</td>
<td>20 (80)</td>
<td>26 (70)</td>
<td>84 (77)</td>
<td>30 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. pneumoniae (%)</td>
<td>20 (80)</td>
<td>26 (70)</td>
<td>72 (66)</td>
<td>29 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute and convalescent antibody detection</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
<td>16 (43)</td>
<td>51 (47)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brucella spp. MAT (%)</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
<td>16 (43)</td>
<td>51 (47)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. burnetii IFA (%)</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
<td>16 (43)</td>
<td>53 (49)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. conorii IFA (%)</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
<td>16 (43)</td>
<td>53 (49)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. typhi IFA (%)</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
<td>16 (43)</td>
<td>53 (49)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood culture isolation†</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>31 (84)</td>
<td>85 (78)</td>
<td>36 (82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate blood culture volume (%)</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>17 (46)</td>
<td>48 (44)</td>
<td>23 (48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For C. neoformans antigen testing, percentage was derived using number of HIV-infected within each group as the denominator.
† Adequate blood culture volume defined as recommended volume ≥ 20%. PCR = polymerase chain reaction; MAT = microscopic agglutination test; IFA = immunofluorescent antibody.
The confirmed etiologies of febrile illness for each of the three syndromes and for in-hospital deaths are shown in Table 3. The proportion of participants without a diagnosis was 36%, 62%, 63%, and 59% for septic shock, SRD without shock, severe pneumonia, and in-hospital death, respectively. Only one participant had laboratory-confirmed malaria; this participant had criteria for severe pneumonia. No confirmed cases of dengue, flavivirus, brucellosis, legionellosis were found among participants with these three syndromes or among those who died in-hospital. Among the in-hospital deaths, six participants who had no confirmed cause of death identified met criteria for probable acute spotted fever group rickettsioses, and two met criteria for probable leptospirosis.

For participants who met criteria for the three syndromes or who died in-hospital, 29 had their etiologic diagnosis established by isolation of a bacterial, mycobacterial, or fungal isolate from blood culture (Table 3). Of the 20 bacteria isolated from the blood of these participants, all were susceptible to ceftriaxone. Six were susceptible to ampicillin, five were susceptible to gentamicin, and accordingly 11 (55%) of 20 invasive bacterial isolates were susceptible to the combined regimen of ampicillin plus gentamicin. Upon including those with a positive urine antigen for *S. pneumoniae* (N = 9), susceptibility to ampicillin plus gentamicin (based on extrapolation from antimicrobial susceptibilities of *S. pneumoniae*) was 16 (55%) of 29 invasive bacterial infections. Five (83%) of the six *S. pneumoniae* isolates were susceptible to erythromycin; and by extrapolation from antimicrobial susceptibilities 12 (80%) of 15 *S. pneumoniae* infections were susceptible to erythromycin.

Table 4 groups the confirmed etiologic diagnoses by potential utility of selected empiric therapies. The potential utility of selected empiric therapies among the subset of HIV-infected persons within each group is shown in Table 5.

**DISCUSSION**

Among adolescents and adults enrolled in a fever etiology cohort study in northern Tanzania who met IMAI criteria for...
septic shock, SRD without shock, or severe pneumonia, we found that ceftriaxone would provide appropriate empiric coverage for a substantial proportion of etiologic diagnoses. Yet many of the etiologic diagnoses from our febrile illness cohort study would not be responsive to IMAI emergency antimicrobials such as ceftriaxone, but responsive to additional agents that are readily available in most resource-limited settings, such as anti-cryptococcal therapies, anti-tuberculosis therapies, and tetracyclines. Although our analysis was retrospective and our study small, to our knowledge, it is the first analysis to use patient-level data from a resource-limited setting to describe the etiologies of illness for these IMAI-defined severe infectious syndromes and assess the implications for treatment of septic shock, SRD without shock, and severe pneumonia.

For septic shock, SRD without shock, and severe pneumonia we found that 5 (20%) of 25, 10 (27%) of 37, and 19 (17%) of 109 participants, respectively, would have benefitted from additional antimicrobial therapy directed against C. neoformans, H. capsulatum, M. tuberculosis complex, and tetracycline-responsive bacterial pathogens, such as Rickettsia spp. and C. burnetii. Among those who died in-hospital, 7 (16%) of 44 would have likewise benefitted from amphotericin, anti-tuberculosis, or tetracycline therapy. The IMAI encourages providers to consider tuberculosis early in all three clinical syndromes we analyzed. Furthermore, IMAI lists doxycycline as an alternative agent to macrolide therapy in the treatment of severe pneumonia. Yet considerations for tetracycline-responsive conditions in patients with septic shock and SRD without shock are not explicitly provided in the algorithms for these two syndromes. Similarly, although detailed consideration and algorithmic approaches are given for cryptococcal disease in patients presenting with signs or symptoms of meningitis, empiric therapy for C. neoformans is not discussed for meningitis and C. neoformans, a common pathogen in the three syndromes we analyzed, is not addressed in the septic shock, SRD without shock, or severe pneumonia sections of IMAI.

Our analysis indicates that HIV-infected persons stand to benefit the most from expanded empiric therapy early in their management, and our findings support IMAI recommendations for early HIV testing as part of most management algorithms. Our results are also hypothesis-generating for potential clinical interventions that may warrant evaluation in controlled trials in resource-limited settings, specifically whether empiric tetracyclines would improve outcomes for patients admitted with these severe syndromes or whether empiric amphotericin or anti-tuberculosis therapy would impact outcomes for HIV-infected persons admitted with these severe syndromes. Our finding of a substantial proportion of severe disease caused by cryptococcosis and histoplasmosis highlights the importance of amphotericin, an agent not currently available in our setting despite being the IMAI-recommended first-line therapy for these infections.

Although expanded empiric therapy for severe infections among adults and adolescents in resource-limited settings represents an important area for further study, our results also highlight the need for accessible, high-performing diagnostics to inform early diagnosis and treatment of bacteremic disseminated tuberculosis, endemic mycoses, and bacterial zoonoses. Laboratory-confirmed diagnosis of leptospirosis, Q fever, and spotted fever group rickettsioses still relies upon acute and convalescent serologic evaluation, often performed only at regional, national, or supranational laboratories. Six participants who died in the hospital without a confirmed etiology met the definition for probable spotted fever group rickettsioses and two met the definition for probable leptospirosis, indicating the urgent need for sensitive and specific assays capable of diagnosing these infections in the acute phase of illness. An additional diagnostic consideration arising from our analysis is the high number of participants without a laboratory-confirmed diagnosis—36%, 62%, 63%, and 59% of those with septic shock, SRD without shock, severe pneumonia, and in-hospital death, respectively. Our findings add to the work of others highlighting the knowledge gap on the causes of severe infectious syndromes in resource-limited settings.

Although the etiologic diagnoses elucidated in our cohort indicate a substantial proportion were pathogens that would not be responsive to ceftriaxone, among the agents we assessed for each clinical syndrome no agent would provide better empiric coverage than ceftriaxone. Additionally, as our analysis was retrospective and as none of the diagnostic tests performed is 100% sensitive, our results likely underestimate the proportion of patients with an etiologic diagnosis that was not detected but nonetheless would be responsive to ceftriaxone. In the absence of prospective studies and more complete knowledge on the microbiologic etiologies of septic shock, SRD without shock, and severe pneumonia, empiric therapy with ceftriaxone (the first-line IMAI emergency anti-bacterial) remains highly warranted. It is therefore reassuring that our antimicrobial susceptibility testing of invasive bacterial isolates showed 100% susceptibility to ceftriaxone. By contrast, ampicillin plus gentamicin combined had only 55% susceptibility, rendering this regimen a poor therapeutic choice for these three syndromes in our setting.
Our analysis has a number of limitations. The IMAI is directed to care at the level of district hospitals, while the data we present are from a cohort study conducted in regional and zonal referral hospitals. A similar febrile illness cohort study conducted at the district hospital level might yield different etiologic results and show fewer gaps in IMAI empiric therapy recommendations than our study. As the intensity of malaria transmission is low14 and HIV prevalence among adults < 5%15 in our setting, our findings may not be generalizable to settings in sub-Saharan Africa with a higher burden of malaria or HIV. Since the time of this study, the proportion of Tanzanian persons living with HIV who are receiving antiretroviral therapy has increased 2-fold.32,43 Accordingly, a contemporary study in our two hospitals might yield different etiologic results from those elucidated in 2007–2008, as opportunistic infections and severe immunosuppression may now be less common in our setting. Although we evaluated etiologies in patients meeting IMAI criteria for severe pneumonia, this was not a pneumonia cohort, and we did not collect diagnostic respiratory specimens. Paired acute and convalescent sera for diagnosis of bacterial zoonoses were not available for all participants, especially decedents, so additional cases of bacterial zoonoses may have gone undiagnosed. We were unable to appraise use of respiratory fluoroquinolones for severe pneumonia as antimicrobial susceptibility testing for these agents was not performed as part of our study. Our analysis was retrospective and inclusion into one of the three clinical syndromes was based on vital signs at the time of enrollment such that cases of septic shock, SRD without shock, or severe pneumonia may have been present but resolved prior to enrollment or developed after enrollment in the study. A contemporary prospective study would more accurately capture and categorize patients who meet IMAI criteria for these syndromes. Finally, although our analysis has focused on specific emergency treatment algorithms in Volume 1 of the IMAI District Clinician Manual, IMAI encourages clinicians to supplement the guidance in these algorithms with more detailed and specific information for various syndromes and diseases found in Volume 2. Although our results may indicate certain gaps in IMAI guidance for the emergency treatment of these syndromes in sub-Saharan African settings, the converse argument is that a worldwide guideline such as IMAI cannot be expected to account for every severe infectious etiology in every precint, and the target audience of clinicians should always strive to tailor these guidelines to their local epidemiology and use the IMAI guidelines as one among many tools that inform their clinical decision-making.

Despite the retrospective design and the relatively small number of cases for each group, our analysis has generated important findings that should inform future, larger and prospective investigations of IMAI district hospital guidance for these three syndromes. In conclusion, in this analysis of participants from a febrile illness cohort who met IMAI criteria for septic shock, SRD without shock, and severe pneumonia, of the agents assessed we found that empiric ceftriaxone would be the single-most effective agent for these syndromes. Yet we found a substantial proportion of infectious etiologies that would not respond to IMAI empiric antimicrobial recommendations. Prospective studies are needed to confirm our findings and to inform management guidelines for these three syndromes in resource-limited settings.

REFERENCES


