Hypoallergenic Legume Crops and Food Allergy: Factors Affecting Feasibility and Risk
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Currently, the sole strategy for managing food hypersensitivity involves strict avoidance of the trigger. Several alternate strategies for the treatment of food allergies are currently under study. Also being explored is the process of eliminating allergenic proteins from crop plants. Legumes are a rich source of protein and are an essential component of the human diet. Unfortunately, legumes, including soybean and peanut, are also common sources of food allergens. Four protein families and superfamilies account for the majority of legume allergens, which include storage proteins of seeds (cupins and prolamins), profilins, and the larger group of pathogenesis-related proteins. Two strategies have been used to produce hypoallergenic legume crops: (1) germplasm lines are screened for the absence or reduced content of specific allergenic proteins and (2) genetic transformation is used to silence native genes encoding allergenic proteins. Both approaches have been successful in producing cultivars of soybeans and peanuts with reduced allergenic proteins. However, it is unknown whether the cultivars are actually hypoallergenic to those with sensitivity. This review describes efforts to produce hypoallergenic cultivars of soybean and peanut and discusses the challenges that need to be overcome before such products could be available in the marketplace.
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INTRODUCTION

The Fabaceae or legume family of plants accounts for 27% of primary crop production worldwide and is second in importance only to the cereal grasses (1). Legume seeds are a rich source of protein and an important source of vegetable oil. In most species, seed protein content varies from 20 to 30% of total dry weight. Oil content is more variable, ranging from 1% (e.g., lentil) to approximately 50% (e.g., peanut) (2). Legumes are an essential constituent of human diets, contributing a third of both dietary protein and processed vegetable oil for human consumption (1). Additionally, legumes are important sources of animal feeds and industrial oils (3).

Despite their nutritional value, legumes are also an important source of food allergens. Food allergies affect approximately 6% of children and 3–4% of adults in the United States (4). Legumes contribute significantly to these numbers, with peanut allergy affecting > 1% of children and 0.6% of adults (4, 5) and soybean allergy affecting approximately 0.4% of children in the United States (5). In addition, allergenic food proteins have been identified in nearly all of the most important legume crops, including lentil (Lens culinaris), common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris), mungbean (Vigna radiata), chickpea (Cicer arietinum), and pea (Pisum sativum) (Table 1). Unfortunately, there are no estimates of the prevalence of other legume allergies.

Most of the food allergens characterized to date range in molecular mass from 10 to 70 kDa (6), and no single characteristic has been identified as determining allergenicity. Importantly, characteristics such as structural stability and overall abundance are often considered when allergenic potential is predicted (7). A protein that is stable under different conditions (e.g., resistant to heat denaturation or protease degradation) is more likely to go through mucosal barriers and induce allergic reaction than one that is degraded in the digestive tract (7, 8). In general, the abundance of a particular protein is positively correlated with prompt elicitation of allergic response. This observation is supported by the fact that many important food allergens, such as some seed storage proteins (e.g., 7S and 11S globulins), are highly abundant proteins. Despite these characteristics contributing to allergenic potential, it is not possible to predict allergenicity of a protein based on these two criteria (7).

Because food allergies can be life threatening, a variety of strategies to abrogate or minimize allergic episodes are currently under study. Significant advances have been achieved with novel forms of immunotherapy, which include homologous protein immunotherapy (9), DNA plasmid immunizations (10), sublingual and oral immunotherapy, (11, 12), and anti-IgE immunotherapy (13). However, none of these treatments has yet advanced to clinical practice.
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legume Crops</th>
<th>Allergenic Proteins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>7S and 11S globulins, cupins, prolamins, PRPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peanut</td>
<td>7S and 11S globulins, cupins, prolamins, PRPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Bean</td>
<td>7S and 11S globulins, cupins, prolamins, PRPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentil</td>
<td>7S and 11S globulins, cupins, prolamins, PRPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickpea</td>
<td>7S and 11S globulins, cupins, prolamins, PRPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungbean</td>
<td>7S and 11S globulins, cupins, prolamins, PRPs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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An alternative strategy to minimize allergic reactions, often caused by accidental contact with the offending food source, involves eliminating allergenic proteins both from leguminous crop plants and from processed foods prepared from legumes. The development of genetic stocks with a reduced or “null" content of allergenic proteins is a strategy that has been intensified during the past 10 years and is considered to be promising for management of food allergies (14, 15). However, this approach is limited by several factors, including the potential risk of sensitization to newly overexpressed proteins and questions regarding the long-term stability of transgenic suppression of allergenic proteins. Consumer acceptance and regulatory difficulties, often associated with genetically modified organisms (GMOs), may also limit this strategy.

Here, we present an overview of the current literature regarding allergenic proteins of legumes. We subsequently discuss the issues associated with the development, deployment, production, and safety of hypoallergenic crops, as well as their potential role in managing legume allergy.

**CLASSIFICATION OF LEGUME ALLEGENS**

On the basis of the list of allergens in the allergome database (16–18) and an extensive literature review, we identified 13 protein families among legume species that contain allergenic proteins (Table 1). As is the case for most allergenic plant foods (19), only four protein families and superfamilies account for the majority of legume allergens: the cupins (including the 7S and 11S globulins); the cereal prolamin superfamily (composed of nonspecific lipid transfer proteins, nsLTPs, and the 2S storage albumins); profilins; and the larger group of pathogenesis-related proteins (mostly composed of homologues of the major birch pollen allergen, Bet v 1). Other protein families, such as oleosins, cysteine proteases, Kunitz trypsin inhibitors, calcium-binding proteins, and the family of seed biotinylated proteins, account for the remaining allergenic proteins in leguminous crops (Table 1). In addition to being a common source of food allergens, legume species can also cause some respiratory allergies through cross-sensitization with proteins from other species. The protein families most often associated with this phenomenon include profilins, homologues of the Bet v 1 allergen (PR-10), glucanases (PR-2), and calcium-binding proteins.

In the United States, peanut and soybean are the legumes that most frequently trigger hypersensitivity in patients with food allergies (20), and thus most of the characterized legume allergens belong to these crops. Allergic reactions to peanut are often more severe than those to soybean (20) and more commonly cause anaphylaxis (21). However, soy protein is often used as an additive in commercial foods and is thus very difficult to avoid. Given their importance as sources of food allergens, peanut and soy have been the focus of most attempts to produce hypoallergenic legumes.

**HYPOALLERGENIC CROPS**

Currently, no hypoallergenic crops are commercially available, although several attempts have been made to produce germplasm with reduced allergenic content. In nonleguminous crops, such as rice, tomato, and apples, several allergens have been targeted for reduction, including RAP (α-amylase inhibitor) (22), Lyc e 1 (23) and Lyc e 3 (24) (profilin and nsLTP, respectively), and Mal d 1 (PR-10) (25). In legume crops, most efforts have been focused on obtaining varieties with reduced content of P34 Gly m Bd 30K (a cysteine protease) in soybean (26–28) and Ara h 2 (a 2S albumin) in peanut (29, 30). There have been no reports of attempts to produce other hypoallergenic legume crops.

### Table 1. Legume Allergens and Protein Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cupins</th>
<th>Peanuts</th>
<th>Soybean</th>
<th>Lentils</th>
<th>Mung beans</th>
<th>Common beans</th>
<th>Chickpeas</th>
<th>Peas</th>
<th>White lupin</th>
<th>Broad bean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ara h 1/10kDa (58)</td>
<td>Gly m B4.28K (57)</td>
<td>Gly m 5 (41, 59–62)</td>
<td>Len c 1 (74)</td>
<td>Lyc e 1 (79)</td>
<td>Lyc e 3 (79)</td>
<td>Lup a 1S globulin (80)</td>
<td>Lup a vicilin (81)</td>
<td>Lup a γ-conglutin (80)</td>
<td>Lup a β-conglycin (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ara h 5 (52)</td>
<td>Gly m 4 (41, 59–62)</td>
<td>Gly m 6 (59)</td>
<td>Ps s 1 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 2 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 4 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 2 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 4 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 2 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 2 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ara h 6 (54)</td>
<td>Gly m 1 (64)</td>
<td>Gly m 2S albumin (69)</td>
<td>Lyc e 1 (79)</td>
<td>Lyc e 3 (79)</td>
<td>Lyc e 3 (79)</td>
<td>Lyc e 3 (79)</td>
<td>Lyc e 3 (79)</td>
<td>Lyc e 3 (79)</td>
<td>Lyc e 3 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ara h 7 (52)</td>
<td>Gly m 3 (41, 59–62)</td>
<td>Gly m 3, 96 (67)</td>
<td>Ps s 1 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 2 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 4 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 2 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 4 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 2 (79)</td>
<td>Ps s 2 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ara h 8 (PR-10) (85)</td>
<td>Gly m 4 (41, 59–62)</td>
<td>Gly m 5 (41, 59–62)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ara h 9 (PR-10) (85)</td>
<td>Gly m 4 (41, 59–62)</td>
<td>Gly m 5 (41, 59–62)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ara h 10/oleosin (56)</td>
<td>Gly m 3, 96 (67)</td>
<td>Gly m 3, 96 (67)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
<td>Vg u PR-10 (79)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Allergens listed do not include isoallergens. *No specific biological function has been assigned to these allergens.
Two primary strategies have been used to obtain hypoallergenic legume crops. In the first, germplasm lines are screened for the absence or reduced content of specific allergenic protein(s) (28, 30–33). In the second, genetic transformation is used to silence native genes encoding allergenic proteins (15, 27, 29).

Germplasm screenings have been developed at the protein and DNA level. Protein screens have been performed using specific antibodies (monoclonal or polyclonal) that recognize a specific allergen (28, 31) or stained gels that evaluate the overall protein profile of the varieties of interest (32, 33). In both of these cases the objective has been to identify cultivars with “null” or reduced contents of the target allergen. DNA screens have been developed by means of strategies such as EcoTILLING (30). This strategy relies on the amplification of the gene of interest from a pool of template DNAs that could carry natural mutations (34), and the main objective has been to identify cultivars carrying natural hypoallergenic variants of known allergens.

All varieties produced through genetic transformation have been developed using RNA interference (RNAi) (15, 29) or related approaches such as cosuppression (27). Both of these approaches share mechanistic similarities associated with the generation of aberrant double-stranded mRNAs (dsRNAs) that trigger post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) (35, 36). In the case of cosuppression, PTGS is induced by a sense transgene that can suppress expression of the transgene as well as the endogenous homologous genes, hence the name cosuppression (35, 36). RNAi, on the other hand, conventionally uses antisense transgenes to induce PTGS (35, 36). Although other mechanistic differences could be associated with RNAi and cosuppression, a discussion on these intricate differences is outside the scope of this review, and readers are advised to consult other sources (35, 36).

It is also important to note that varieties devoid of specific allergenic proteins have been produced using transgenic and nontransgenic approaches and for purposes different other than producing hypoallergenic crops (37–39).

Soybean. Reductions in β-conglycinin and Glycinin. β-Conglycinin (Gly m 5) is a trimeric soybean protein composed of α-, α′-, and β-subunits (Gly m 5.01, Gly m 5.02, and Gly m 5.03). β-Conglycinin has low methionine content and undesirable processing properties in the preparation of derived foods such as tofu, which has prompted efforts to reduce it in commercial soybean varieties in Japan (37). The identification of the α-subunit as an important allergen also increased interest in decreasing β-conglycinin from commercial soybean varieties (26).

Japanese germplasm collections contain the soybean cultivars ‘Mo-shi-dou’ (Gong 503) and ‘Keburi’, which have reduced levels of β-conglycinin (40). ‘Mo-shi-dou’ contains low levels of both the α- and β-subunits of β-conglycinin, whereas ‘Keburi’ is null for the α′ subunit. γ-Irradiation of a breeding line derived from ‘Mo-shi-dou’ and ‘Keburi’ produced a cultivar deficient in the α- and α′-subunits of β-conglycinin (37). The cultivar, named ‘Tohoku 124’, has low levels of the β-subunit of β-conglycinin, but it has increased levels of glycinin, which is also an allergen (Gly m 6) (Table 1). Higher levels of glycinin also have been observed in the parental lines ‘Mo-shi-dou’ and ‘Keburi’.

Given the increased levels of glycinin, it is not clear that soybean varieties with reduced β-conglycinin are actually hypoallergenic. Yaklich et al. (31) have suggested that accessions of Glycine max containing elevated levels of both glycinin and β-conglycinin are not necessarily more allergenic than varieties with normal levels of these proteins. However, this observation was based on IgE-binding profiles, and no other allergy related data were generated. Taking into consideration that glycinin is an allergen recognized by the majority of patients with severe soy allergy (41), it remains a question if an increase in this protein represents a higher risk for soy allergic patients with increased sensitivity or if abundance increase could result in a more rapid sensitization in people predisposed to food allergies but who have not yet developed symptoms.

Takahashi et al. (39) has produced a soybean variety that lacked all glycinins and all β-conglycinin subunits. This variety, QF2, resulted from a cross between a natural mutant lacking β-conglycinin (QY2) (42) and an inbred line (EnB1) in which glycinin was absent (43). Despite lacking the two major seed storage proteins, QF2 grew, flowered, and reproduced without any obvious abnormalities, suggesting that glycinins and β-conglycinins are not essential components of soybean seeds. Protein compensation was also observed in QF2; the levels of lipoxygenase, sucrose-binding proteins, lectin, P34, and other proteins were higher than those in the progenitor lines. QF2 contained increased levels of free amino acids, especially arginine, a nitrogen-rich compound. The overproduction may have been stimulated by the reduction of nitrogen associated with the loss of glycinin and β-conglycinin. Because QF2 has increased P34, it is unlikely that it could be used as a hypoallergenic variety.

In the investigation developed by Takahashi et al. (39), an additional inbred line, EnF2, was also created. This line was devoid of the α- and α′-subunits of β-conglycinin, as well as all of the subunits of glycinin, but did express low levels of the β-subunit of β-conglycinin. EnF2’s vegetative and reproductive developments were healthy, and its overall amino acid composition was similar to that of QF2. No data were reported, however, on the overall protein composition and possible compensation of other proteins in this mutant.

As with nontransgenic lines, transgenic soybean varieties with reduced β-conglycinin levels compensate by synthesizing increased amounts of other proteins. Kinney et al. (38) found that when both the α- and α′-subunits of β-conglycinin were eliminated in transgenic soybeans by cosuppression, the resulting lines accumulated increased amounts of glycinin, its precursor form, proglycinin, and P34.

Reductions in P34 (Gly m Bd 30K). After P34 was characterized as a major soybean allergen (44), soybean varieties deficient in this protein were bred. Jung and Kinney (26) patented hypoallergenic transgenic soybeans with decreased levels of several allergens, including P34. The patent claims that soybean protein products made from these hypoallergenic soybeans should be substantially free of P34, Gly m Bd 28 K, the α-subunit of β-conglycinin, the soybean Kunitz trypsin inhibitor, Gly m 2, Gly m 1.0101, Gly m 1.0102, ρGly m 3, and glycinin G1. There are no additional papers addressing these claims.

Herman and collaborators have used cosuppression to reduce P34 expression in soybean cotyledons (Figure 1) (27). Soybean somatic embryos were transformed with a vector containing the entire open reading frame of P34 driven by a seed-specific promoter. In transgenic somatic embryos, P34 was completely eliminated, and it was subsequently absent from the third generation of homozygous plants. The transgenic lines exhibited growth, development, reproduction, seed set, and seed maturaion essentially identical to those of wild type controls. The proteomes of wild type and genetically modified soybeans were compared by two-dimensional electrophoresis and mass spectroscoopy. In the proteome of the transgenic line in which P34 was absent, no compensatory protein overexpression was observed. Proteins from the transgenic and wild type soybeans were subjected to IgE immunoblot analysis with a serum pool from six soy-allergic individuals, and no differences in binding were observed, apart from P34’s absence in the transgenic line. These results indicate that no additional allergenic proteins were...
proteins such as RISC and DICER. Two possible effector mechanisms of PTGS involves processing of the dsRNAs by proteins such as RISC and DICER. Two possible effector mechanisms of PTGS include translation inhibition (d) and mRNA degradation (e) (36).

produced as a result of the genetic modification to eliminate P34. In vivo experiments in which allergic neonatal pigs are fed foods prepared from transgenic soybeans devoid of P34 were reported to be initiated (45); however, no recent publications describing these results were found in the literature. Although there is evidence that major allergens can be reduced or eliminated by genetic engineering without associated overexpression of other allergenic proteins, the success of this approach will depend on the long-term stability of the gene suppressing expression of P34.

Although the transgenic approach has produced promising results, public resistance to genetically modified products has motivated the search for naturally occurring soybean lines deficient in P34. By screening with a specific antibody for P34, Joseph et al. (28) found 12 lines, of a total of 16,266 accessions of soybean (G. max), wild annual soybean (Glycine soja Sieb. and Zucc.), and wild perennial Glycine species, that were deficient in P34. The two G. max (PI 567476 and PI 603570A) and the three wild perennial accessions had the lowest levels of P34. Characterization of the G. max accessions revealed that the levels of P34 were <1% of the standard levels observed across the soybean collection (28,31). Furthermore, no increases in the levels of any other basic seed proteins were observed in these lines, suggesting that there was no compensation effect. An initial analysis of the P34 cDNA sequence in PI 567476 and PI 603570A led to the hypothesis that an amino acid change, from serine to cysteine, at position 197 resulted in mismatched disulfide bonds in the protein’s tertiary structure, affecting its stability—and therefore abundance—in mature seeds. However, recent studies (46) at the genomic level have demonstrated that PI 567476 and PI 603570A contain a four base pair nucleotide insertion at the start codon of the gene. This insertion has been proposed to be the main cause for the reduced levels of P34, although the entire molecular mechanism remains elusive. These studies (46) have also pointed out that efforts to incorporate the mutant P34 gene in elite germplasm have been started. It is not clear, however, whether such minimal protein expression would be tolerated by those with soy hypersensitivity.

Peanuts. Peanut allergy is the most common cause of food-induced anaphylaxis, and its prevalence appears to be on the rise (47,48). Food allergy due to peanut protein is primarily attributed to three allergens, Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3, which are recognized by IgE antibodies in the serum of peanut-allergic patients [reviewed in Kang et al. (32)]. Several investigations have focused on obtaining peanut varieties with reduced levels of these proteins.

Koppelman et al. (33) evaluated the protein profiles of 13 individual plants representing the 4 major market types of peanut (Runner, Spanish, Virginia, and Valencia) to determine the presence and abundance of Ara h 1 and Ara h 2. Small differences in abundance of these proteins were observed between the varieties; however, these differences were not statistically significant. In a similar study, Kang et al. (32) examined 60 accessions from the U.S. peanut germplasm collection and 88 lines from the Florida breeding program to evaluate relative amounts of Ara h 1, Ara h 2, and Ara h 3. None of the lines were devoid of any of these allergens, but some varieties had reduced amounts of at least one of them. An accession from India had the lowest level of Ara h 1, but it also had an elevated level of Ara h 3. Similarly, an accession from Zambia had the lowest level of Ara h 3 but the highest level of Ara h 2. These results suggest a possible compensation effect occurs in peanut as in soybean.

Dodo et al. (15) used RNAi technology to generate plants with reduced levels of Ara h 2 (Figure 2). By transforming peanut cv. ‘Georgia Green’ with a construct containing a portion of the Ara h 2 gene (in the form of inverted repeats), accumulation of this protein was reduced a maximum of 25% from its original levels. Importantly, in this study, the possibility of compensatory overexpression of other proteins was not tested. Furthermore, tests were carried out on a single generation; no data were presented regarding the stability of the transgenic phenotype over multiple generations. Gene suppression by RNAi involves post-transcriptional gene silencing, and this phenomenon may not always be stable over generations (35). However, further studies are in progress to evaluate the allergic potential and nutritional quality of subsequent generations of transgenic peanut plants (15).

RNAi technology has also been used by Chu et al. (29) to decrease the levels of Ara h 2 and Ara h 6 in peanut plants. Because of the high degree of similarity between the genes, a vector that targeted both allergens was designed. Transformation was carried out via microprojectile bombardment, and gene silencing was tested in more than one generation (generations T1 and T2). In general, levels of Ara h 2 and Ara h 6 were significantly reduced, and sera from three different patients with peanut allergy exhibited decreased recognition of these proteins. In addition, there were no significant phenotypic differences (seed weight and germination patterns) observed between transgenic and nontransgenic segregants. Although there were no notable changes in the protein composition of other major allergens.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

The characterization and development of soybean and peanut lines deficient in specific allergens have provided insight into factors affecting plant protein composition and suggest ways in which transformation could be used to produce crops with reduced allergenicity. However, several concerns must be addressed before hypoallergenic varieties of any crops can be marketed.

The probability of increased allergenicity due to the over-abundance of compensatory proteins must be better understood. This issue is especially important because some of the compensatory proteins have been characterized as IgE reactive in the same species or in other closely related species. It is also possible that increased exposure to minor allergens could lead to increased sensitivity. This could even occur in people or livestock without sensitivity to the wild type crop. In this respect it is imperative that the scientific community and regulatory agencies work together in designing standard protocols to evaluate the allergenic potential of these varieties. Current strategies (based on IgE binding) are insufficient to evaluate overall allergenicity and sensitizing capacity caused by altered expression of potentially allergenic proteins. Furthermore, other strategies such as those based on animal models have not been satisfactorily correlated with diverse human allergic sensitization (7) to suspect that we have sufficiently reliable tools to evaluate such changes.

Also a concern is the possibility of reversion, or reappearance of the suppressed trait, through silencing of the transgene. This possibility cannot be overemphasized, because the presence of a transgenic hypoallergenic crop could reduce vigilance among people afflicted with serious allergy. Reappearance of a significant allergen could be dangerous even if reversion involves only a portion of crop populations, because exposure to even small amounts of allergen can have serious consequences for those with severe allergy.

Labeling and promotion of hypoallergenic products must also be tightly controlled. The assertion that a product is no longer allergenic may pose a significant danger to individuals whose allergy is due to proteins other than the eliminated allergens. Legumes produce a broad array of known allergenic proteins. Even though some of these are described as major allergens, all known allergens must be either eliminated or reduced for a crop variety to be viewed as safe. Furthermore, there is mounting evidence that not all potentially allergenic proteins have been characterized. These concerns are especially great regarding the hypoallergenic commodities to be used in manufacturing processed foods. It is critical to educate consumers regarding both the nature of allergens and the production of hypoallergenic crops.

Finally, because crop mixture often occurs in the worldwide food market, it may be extremely difficult to partition a crop into “safe” (hypoallergenic) and “unsafe” (conventional) categories with certainty. Deployment strategies for any potentially hypoallergenic crop must clearly include mechanisms for avoiding accidental mixture or misidentification. Measures could include, for example, contract production of hypoallergenic varieties. Although effective, this strategy would significantly increase commodity costs.
For the reasons discussed above, including possible challenges related to increased allergenicity due to compensatory protein synthesis, reversion of silenced genes, incorrect labeling of plant products, and crop mixture, it is unlikely that production of a hypoallergenic plant will occur soon. It will be interesting, however, to test the feasibility of hypoallergenic crops for the purpose of immunotherapy, because this is a scenario that involves a more controlled environment for the patient. It could be speculated that a crop that lacks allergens or contains reduced amounts of allergens could not induce significant or durable tolerance in patients afflicted by food allergies; however, this is a question that needs to be addressed. Even more interesting will be testing the feasibility of crops such as those identified by Joseph et al. (28) and Ramos et al. (30), for the purpose of therapy. In these varieties, hypoallergenicity is due to natural mutations (isomerism) that affect the production of the allergenic protein or its IgE-binding capacity and do not seem to have an effect on the overall seed protein composition. Because no compensatory proteins are expected, these varieties may be more suited for therapy purposes. All of these studies have now become necessary, and only when more clinical data are produced will we understand the extent of the benefits of hypoallergenic crops.
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