The Impact of the Developmental Timing of Trauma Exposure on PTSD Symptoms and Psychosocial Functioning Among Older Adults

Christin M. Ogle  
Duke University

David C. Rubin  
Duke University and Aarhus University

Ilene C. Siegler  
Duke University and Duke University School of Medicine

The present study examined the impact of the developmental timing of trauma exposure on posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms and psychosocial functioning in a large sample of community-dwelling older adults \( (N = 1,995) \). Specifically, we investigated whether the negative consequences of exposure to traumatic events were greater for traumas experienced during childhood, adolescence, young adulthood, midlife, or older adulthood. Each of these developmental periods is characterized by age-related changes in cognitive and social processes that may influence psychological adjustment following trauma exposure. Results revealed that older adults who experienced their currently most distressing traumatic event during childhood exhibited more severe symptoms of PTSD and lower subjective happiness compared with older adults who experienced their most distressing trauma after the transition to adulthood. Similar findings emerged for measures of social support and coping ability. The differential effects of childhood compared with later life traumas were not fully explained by differences in cumulative trauma exposure or by differences in the objective and subjective characteristics of the events. Our findings demonstrate the enduring nature of traumatic events encountered early in the life course and underscore the importance of examining the developmental context of trauma exposure in investigations of the long-term consequences of traumatic experiences.
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Research concerning the long-term consequences of trauma exposure has shown that the deleterious effects of traumatic experiences can persist for decades (e.g., Hiskey, Luckie, Davies, & Brewin, 2008). However, the majority of such research with older adults has focused on traumatic events encountered relatively early in life and has primarily included special populations of older individuals, chiefly military veterans and Holocaust survivors (e.g., Solomon & Mikulincer, 2006; Yehuda et al., 2009). Less is known about the long-term consequences of traumas that occur during other stages of the life course among community-dwelling older adults. A comparison of the impact of traumas experienced during developmental periods throughout the life course is needed to advance our understanding of factors that increase the development and persistence of negative posttraumatic outcomes during older adulthood. In the present study, we addressed this issue by examining whether the negative impact of trauma exposure is greater for events experienced during childhood, adolescence, young adulthood, midlife, or older adulthood in a large nonclinical sample of older adults with a broad range of trauma histories.

The Developmental Timing of Trauma Exposure

Results from a growing number of studies indicate that the impact of trauma exposure may depend on the individual’s age at the time of the event. However, discrepant findings have emerged concerning the developmental period that most increases individuals’ vulnerability to negative posttraumatic outcomes. Although relatively few of these studies have examined the long-term impact of age at exposure to trauma in relation to outcomes measured in older adulthood, the existing research on community-dwelling older adults indicates that cumulative trauma exposure during young adulthood and middle age more strongly predicts late-life depression (Dulin & Passmore, 2010), poor physical health (Krause, Shaw, & Cairney, 2004), and a diminished sense of meaning in life (Krause, 2005) compared with cumulative trauma endured during earlier and later periods of the life course. In
contrasted, among Israeli older adults, many of whom likely experienced highly stressful events related to the Holocaust and immigration, negative life events encountered after the age of 50 were more strongly associated with late-life depressive symptoms compared with trauma encountered during childhood-adolescence and early to middle adulthood (Shrira, Shmotkin, & Litwin, 2012). Finally, other studies with older adults have shown that the detrimental effects of trauma are greater for events encountered during earlier stages of development (Colbert & Krause, 2009; Krause, 1993).

Given the inconsistencies in the literature, more detailed information concerning the differential impact of traumatic events encountered at different points throughout the life course is needed to advance our understanding of factors that influence the risk of negative posttraumatic outcomes among older adults. The developmental periods of childhood, adolescence, young adulthood, middlelife, and older adulthood are marked by age-related changes in cognitive, emotional, and social processes that may influence the likelihood of negative outcomes following trauma exposure above and beyond the role of sociodemographic factors. Next we describe research concerning the importance of each of these developmental periods in relation to potential negative consequences of trauma exposure.

**Childhood**

A growing body of research has documented the detrimental effects of exposure to adversity and trauma early in development. Childhood abuse and other adversities (e.g., domestic violence, psychiatrically disturbed parents) have been shown to be associated with impairments in numerous developmental processes, including emotion regulation, attachment formation, and autobiographical memory development, which has been linked to the ability to form a coherent sense of self (Goodman, Quas, & Ogle, 2010). Early adverse experiences have also been shown to initiate long-term changes in neurobiology (Champagne, 2010; Fox, Levitt, & Nelson, 2010), which may further increase vulnerability to psychological disturbances following subsequent stress. According to the stress-sensitization hypothesis (Hammen, Henry, & Daley, 2000; see also Rutter, 1989), early exposure to adversity alters the sensitivity of stress-response systems (e.g., hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis), which in turn enhances the risk of negative outcomes, including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), following later stressors (McLaughlin, Conron, Koenen, & Gilman, 2010).

Exposure to chronic or repeated interpersonal trauma early in the life course may result in a complex constellation of symptoms that is more severe and qualitatively different than the sequelae of single-incident childhood trauma. A new diagnostic category of posttraumatic stress called developmental trauma disorder (DTD; van der Kolk, 2005) has been proposed to account for the pervasive detrimental impact of such trauma. Existing research on DTD and its adulthood corollary Complex PTSD suggests that individuals exposed to interpersonal childhood trauma, such as abuse perpetrated by a caregiver, exhibit disturbances in more diverse domains of functioning (e.g., affective, self-regulatory, relational, somatic, identity formation) compared with individuals exposed to single-incident noninterpersonal traumas (e.g., Cloitre et al., 2009; van der Kolk, Roth, Pelcovitz, Sunday, & Spinazzola, 2005).

**Adolescence**

Adolescence is marked by the emergence of the ability to construct a coherent life story (Habermas & Black, 2000) as well as the nascent development of an adult identity (Erikson, 1963), two cognitive and social developments that may be important to psychological adjustment following trauma exposure. As a result, compared with traumas that occur at different points during the life course, traumatic events encountered during adolescence may be more central to one’s identity. Greater perceived centrality of a traumatic event to one’s life story and identity has been shown to be a strong predictor of posttraumatic outcomes, including PTSD and depression (Bernstien & Rubin, 2006, 2007). Greater event centrality is thought to increase the severity of PTSD symptoms by enhancing the encoding and rehearsal of trauma memories, which in turn increases their completeness, coherence, as well as their retention and emotional impact over time.

**Young Adulthood**

Life events that occur during young adulthood have been shown to have a strong impact on identity formation and to play a central role in culturally shared representations of the life script (Bernsten & Rubin, 2004). Accordingly, individuals tend to recall a disproportionately large number of personal events from young adulthood compared with events from other developmental periods (Rubin, Rahhal, & Poon, 1998). When traumatic events occur during this period, the disproportionate representation and enhanced accessibility of the memory may serve to organize autobiographical memories of other life events, thereby structuring life narratives temporally and thematically by providing reference points for the timing and meaning of other life events and further shaping an individual’s identity (Conway & Pleydell-Pearce, 2000). Moreover, the centrality of traumatic events from young adulthood may undermine the influence of positive schemas, such as achievement in the domains of education, career, and family, that typically dominate life scripts during young adulthood and that serve as a buffer against emotional stress (Bernsten, Rubin, & Siegler, 2011). Given the importance of events that occur during young adulthood to the life story and identity formation, trauma experienced during this period compared with other periods of life may have a more enduring impact.

**Midlife**

In contrast to earlier developmental periods, cognitive and social changes that occur in middle adulthood may serve to bolster psychological adaptation following midlife trauma exposure. For instance, studies concerning personality development indicate that neuroticism declines throughout middle adulthood (McCrae et al., 1999). Given that neurotic tendencies tend to have a reduced likelihood of developing PTSD (Rubin, Bernsten, & Bohni, 2008), declines in this trait may be related to a reduced likelihood of developing PTSD. Age-related improvements in emotion regulation are also observed throughout middle adulthood (Gross et al., 1997), which may promote psychological adjustment following trauma expo-
sure. Finally, individuals’ perceptions of social support may benefit from the increase in social engagement that typically characterizes middle adulthood. Greater social support may help individuals cope with stressful events by assisting them in reconceptualizing the event, which in turn decreases the likelihood of developing stress-related psychopathology (Cohen & Wills, 1985).

Collectively, these changes may buffer individuals from the onset of PTSD and psychosocial impairments following midlife trauma.

Older Adulthood

Several factors may contribute to the risk of negative outcomes following traumas experienced in older adulthood. Although negative events can occur at any point in the life cycle, memories nominated by individuals as their saddest or most traumatic tend to be recent events (Bernsten & Rubin, 2002). Furthermore, older adults may be more likely to experience certain types of negative events, in particular, the sudden death of close others, which has been linked to greater severity of posttraumatic stress (Breslau et al., 1998). The unexpected loss of a loved one may have the secondary effect of diminishing social support networks, which may further increase the likelihood of developing PTSD symptoms (Brewin, Andrews, & Valentine, 2000). Given the importance of social connectedness for the well-being of older adults (Antonucci, 1991; Carstensen, 1992), the negative impact of trauma exposure on social support during older adulthood may be especially detrimental. Specific to PTSD, negative health changes that are common among older adults, such as reduced mobility and sleep disturbances, can exacerbate or trigger symptoms (Hiskey et al., 2008). Certain normative life events that occur during older adulthood, such as retirement, have also been linked to the resurgence of PTSD symptoms (Kaup, Ruskin, & Nyman, 1994). Collectively, several lines of research suggest that individuals who are exposed to traumatic events in older adulthood may be more vulnerable to negative posttraumatic outcomes compared with those who experience trauma earlier in life.

Traumatic Event Types

In addition to the development timing of trauma, differences in the objective and subjective nature of traumatic events have been shown to play an important role in the development and persistence of adverse posttraumatic outcomes (e.g., Breslau et al., 1998; Strauss, Dapp, Anders, von Renteln-Kruse, & Schmidt, 2011). We next review four key differences examined in the literature. The first distinction concerns whether or not the event met the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed., text revision; DSM–IV–TR; American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2004) A1 stressor criterion for a diagnosis of PTSD, according to which a person must have “experienced, witnessed, or been confronted with an event or events that involve actual or threatened death or serious injury, or a threat to the physical integrity of the self or others” (p. 463). The A1 criterion is included in the current diagnostic requirements based on research suggesting that events that meet the criterion are associated with greater PTSD symptoms than non-A1 events (Kilpatrick et al., 1998). The second distinction concerns whether the event is primarily directed at the self (i.e., self-oriented) or at another person (i.e., other-oriented). Self-oriented events, such as abuse, have been associated with greater depressive symptoms (Shmotkin & Litwin, 2009) and more persistent and severe PTSD (Anders, Frazier, & Frankfurt, 2011; Breslau et al., 1998) compared with other-oriented events, such as learning about traumas to loved ones. A third distinction concerns interpersonal versus noninterpersonal events. Interpersonal traumas, such as assaults and other acts of violence, have been associated with worse outcomes than traumatic events that are noninterpersonal in nature, such as life-threatening illness and disasters (Breslau, Chilcoat, Kessler, Peterson, & Lucia, 1999; van der Kolk et al., 2005). Finally, a fourth characteristic of traumatic events shown to influence the severity of posttraumatic outcomes concerns individuals’ subjective emotional response to a trauma. Individuals who experience intense levels of fear, helplessness, or horror during or immediately after the event (DSM–IV–TR A2 criterion; APA, 2000) have been shown to be more likely to develop PTSD symptoms than those who do not report having a strong emotional response during a traumatic event (e.g., Brewin, Andrews, & Rose, 2000; Rubin, Dennis, & Beckham, 2011). Taken together, these findings suggest that the consequences of trauma exposure among older adults may vary as a function of objective and subjective characteristics of the trauma.

The Present Study

The purpose of our study was to compare the effects of trauma exposure experienced during different periods throughout the life course on psychological health and psychosocial functioning in older adults. Participants were asked to identify the traumatic life event that currently caused them the most distress. A measure of PTSD symptom severity was completed in relation to the event, in addition to several indices of psychosocial functioning that have been examined in previous studies concerning trauma exposure, including subjective happiness (Draper et al., 2008; Koenen, Stellman, Sommer, & Stellman, 2008), social support (Brewin, Andrews, & Valentine, 2000; Krause, 2005), and coping ability (e.g., Benight, Cieslak, Molton, & Johnson, 2008). The impact of the developmental timing of trauma exposure was also examined as a function of objective and subjective differences across traumatic event types. Finally, the role of cumulative or lifetime trauma exposure was examined on the basis of research indicating that the accumulation of traumatic experiences over the life course can exert a greater influence on psychological health compared with single-incident traumas (Breslau, Peterson, & Schultz, 2008; Schnurr, Spiro, Vielhauer, Findler, & Hamblen, 2002). Given that the majority of individuals exposed to trauma experience more than one traumatic event (Breslau et al., 1998), understanding the impact of exposure to multiple traumas is critical and may have important treatment and policy implications.

Method

Participants

Data were drawn from the 12th wave (2008–2010) of the University of North Carolina Alumni Heart Study (UNCAHS), an ongoing longitudinal study of students who entered the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill (UNC) in 1964–1966 and their spouses (for details, see Hooker, Hoppmann, & Siegler, 2010; Siegler et al., 1992). The response rate of eligible participants was...
82%. Our analyses were limited to individuals born in 1940–1949 (primarily the 1946–1948 birth cohorts) who reported their lifetime exposure to traumatic events (N = 1,995). The mean age was 60.83 (SD = 1.57). Participants were predominantly male (69.42%) and Caucasian (99.30%). This ethnicity and gender distribution reflected the sociodemographic characteristics of the UNC student population in the 1960s. Approximately 8% of respondents had less than a college degree, 18% had a bachelor’s degree, 26% had a college degree and additional training, 26% had a master’s degree, and 22% had a doctorate or professional degree. The median household income reported in 2001–2002 was in the $70,000–$99,999 range.

The sample was divided into five groups according to each participant’s age when their currently most distressing life event occurred: childhood (3–12 years of age, n = 159), adolescence (13–19 years of age, n = 125), young adulthood (20–34 years of age; n = 516), midlife (35–54 years of age, n = 699), and the young–old phase of older adulthood (55 years of age and older, n = 496). Given the extensive literature on childhood amnesia (e.g., Rubin, 2000), events that occurred prior to age 3 were not included.

Measures

Traumatic events. The Traumatic Life Events Questionnaire (TLEQ; Kubany et al., 2000) assessed the prevalence of potentially traumatic events over the life course. Questions evaluated whether or not the DSM–IV text revision (DSM–IV–TR; APA, 2000) A1 and A2 criteria were met for a possible diagnosis of PTSD for a total of 19 events (see Table 1), as well as the participant’s age at the most severe occurrence of each event type. Cumulative trauma exposure was calculated for each participant by summing the number of items endorsed on the TLEQ. Compared with other traumatic event inventories, the TLEQ assesses a broader spectrum of events capable of producing symptoms of PTSD. The TLEQ has strong psychometric properties, including high convergent validity with structured clinical interviews (Kubany et al., 2000).

Similar to epidemiological studies of trauma exposure in which mail surveys were used (e.g., Kessler, Sonnega, Bromet, Hughes, & Nelson, 1995), modifications were made to the TLEQ to reduce redundancy. Specifically, the standard TLEQ items regarding miscarriage and abortion were combined to form a single question, and four TLEQ items concerning being touched or fondled against one’s will were combined to form one question concerning sexual assaults. In addition, an item (“Have you had any experiences like these that you feel you can’t tell us about?”) was added to provide respondents extra privacy in reporting.

TLEQ items were classified as self-oriented (i.e., events in which the primary infliction was directed toward the self) or other-oriented (i.e., events in which the primary infliction was directed toward another person). Self-oriented events included natural disasters, motor vehicle accidents, warfare or combat, life-threatening illness or accidents, physical assault by a stranger, death threats, childhood physical punishment, physical assault by a partner, sexual assault, being stalked, and non-live birth pregnancy. All other items were classified as other-oriented events, with the exception of other life-threatening accident to self or other, other life-threatening event, nondisclosed events, warzone exposure, and robbery to self or other, which were not included in this dichotomy because the subject of the event was unspecified. TLEQ events were also classified as interpersonal or noninterpersonal according to whether or not they involved intentional, personal assaultive acts or violations perpetrated by another human. Two TLEQ items (other life-threatening and nondisclosed events) were not included in this dichotomy because the nature of the event was unspecified. Finally, events were classified as A1 or non-A1 events and as A2 or non-A2 events according to whether or not the participant endorsed the event as life threatening and reported experiencing fear, helplessness, or horror during the event, respectively. Participants who did not provide data concern-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Prevalence of Most Distressing Traumatic Events as a Function of the Developmental Period of Exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic event</td>
<td>Childhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural disaster</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle accident</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other life-threatening accident</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfare or combat</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexpected death of a loved one</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life-threatening illness or accident of a loved one</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal life-threatening illness or accident</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armed robbery</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical assault by stranger</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witnessed an attack or murder</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death threat</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood physical punishment</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witnessed childhood family violence</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical assault by partner</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual assault</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalked</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-live birth pregnancy</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other life-threatening event</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondisclosed events</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PTSD symptom severity. The PTSD Check List-20 (PCL-S; Weathers, Litz, Huska, & Keane, 1994) is a 17-item PTSD screening instrument that yields a measure of symptom severity. Using 5-point scales (1 = not at all, 5 = extremely), respondents indicate the extent to which a specific traumatic event produced each of the 17 B, C, and D symptoms of PTSD included in the DSM–IV–TR (APA, 2000) during the previous month. The PCL has strong psychometric properties and high diagnostic agreement with clinical interviews (r = .93; Blanchard, Jones-Alexander, Buckley, & Forneris, 1996). UNCAHS respondents completed the PCL-S in reference to the TLEQ item that currently bothered them most.

Subjective happiness. The Subjective Happiness Scale (SHS; Lyubomirsky & Lepper, 1999) is a four-item measure of global subjective happiness. Using 7-point scales (1 = not a very happy person; 7 = a very happy person), respondents rate how happy they are in comparison to others. Average scores are reported with higher scores indicating greater subjective happiness. The SHS has strong psychometric properties, including good to excellent internal consistency (Cronbach’s α = .84–.94), moderate to high test–retest reliability (r = .55–.90), and high convergent validity (r = .52–.72; Lyubomirsky & Lepper, 1999).

Social support and coping ability. Social support and coping ability were assessed using items from a measure of health and well-being in late and middle age (Siegler, 2004). Items were measured using 4-point scales (1 = poor, 4 = excellent). Social support was assessed by asking respondents to rate “the support they receive from persons close” to them. For coping ability, respondents rated their “current ability to cope with stress.”

Demographic control measures. Gender and annual household income were statistically controlled in the main analyses based on research showing that female gender (Breslau et al., 1999; Kessler et al., 1995) and low socioeconomic status (Breinin, Andrews, & Valentine, 2000) are risk factors for PTSD.

Procedure

Respondents first received instructions to complete the questionnaire online. Individuals who did not respond were mailed an identical paper version up to three times. Participants first answered demographic questions, questions concerning social support and coping ability, and the SHS. Next, participants completed the TLEQ, the Centrality of Events Scale (see Bernsten et al., 2011), and the PCL.

Statistical Analyses

Analysis of covariance tests (ANCOVAs) were conducted to examine group differences for each dependent variable, with gender and income entered as covariates followed by pairwise comparison post hoc tests. Results are plotted in Figure 1. Additional ANCOVAs were performed to test for group differences by traumatic event type as well as to determine the independent contribution of cumulative trauma to each dependent variable. Given the number of comparisons, the significance level was adjusted to p < .01 for the pairwise comparison results. Thirteen participants had missing data for social support and coping ability, and 19 participants had missing data for SHS scores. Missing values were replaced with the sample mean.

Results

Preliminary Analyses

A series of one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) confirmed there were no significant differences among the developmental timing groups for gender or income, F(4, 1990) ≤ 1.53. Table 2 presents the intercorrelations among dependent measures and demographic control variables. Several significant associations emerged. Specifically, greater PTSD symptom severity was related to female gender and lower income. Lower social support and lower coping ability were both related to female gender and lower income. Finally, lower SHS scores were related to lower income.

Prevalence and developmental timing of most distressing traumas. Table 1 contains participants’ currently most distressing traumas as a function of the developmental period of exposure. The most common event from childhood was witnessing family violence (n = 61) followed by sexual assault (n = 33). The most common event for the adolescence, young adulthood, midlife, and older adulthood groups was the unexpected death of a loved one (ns = 42, 164, 294, and 178, respectively).

Main Analyses

PTSD symptom severity. A one-way ANCOVA revealed a significant main effect of developmental period for PCL scores, F(4, 1988) = 10.80, p < .001, η² = .02. Participants who experienced their most distressing trauma during childhood reported greater PTSD symptom severity (M = 25.70, SD = 12.21) compared with participants whose most distressing event took place during young (M = 21.97, SD = 8.88) and middle (M = 21.69, SD = 7.40) adulthood. The adolescence exposure group also reported more severe PTSD symptoms (M = 23.85, SD = 9.30) than the midlife group. Finally, individuals who experienced their most distressing traumatic event in older adulthood reported higher PTSD symptom severity (M = 23.97, SD = 8.76) than the young and middle adulthood groups.

Subjective happiness. A significant main effect of developmental period also emerged for SHS scores, F(4, 1988) = 4.88, p < .001, η² = .01. Older adults whose most distressing trauma occurred during childhood reported lower subjective happiness (M = 5.11, SD = 1.18) compared with participants whose most distressing trauma occurred in young adulthood (M = 5.44, SD = 1.10), midlife (M = 5.48, SD = 1.08), and older adulthood (M = 5.51, SD = 1.00). The mean (SD) for the adolescence exposure group was 5.27 (1.17).

Social support. The main effect of developmental period was also significant for social support, F(4, 1988) = 3.05, p < .05, η² = .01. Participants whose most distressing trauma occurred during childhood reported less social support (M = 3.32, SD = 0.75) compared with those whose traumas took place in older adulthood (M = 3.54, SD = 0.64). The means (SDs) for the adolescence, young adulthood, and midlife groups were 3.46 (0.70), 3.46 (0.66), and 3.48 (0.68), respectively.

Coping ability. The main effect of developmental period for coping scores was also significant, F(4, 1988) = 3.12, p < .05,
Although participants whose most distressing trauma occurred during childhood ($M = 3.08, SD = 0.65$) and adolescence ($M = 3.11, SD = 0.69$) reported a lower ability to cope with stress compared with the young adulthood ($M = 3.25, SD = 0.66$) and midlife ($M = 3.25, SD = 0.65$) exposure groups, the differences only approached significance when the results were adjusted for multiple comparisons ($p < .02$ unadjusted). The mean ($SD$) for the older adulthood group was $3.20 (0.67)$.

The role of cumulative trauma exposure. One possible interpretation of our results is that, compared with participants whose worst trauma took place during later developmental periods, those who experienced their most distressing trauma early in development encountered more traumatic events throughout their lives, which lead to greater PTSD symptom severity and lower psychosocial functioning in older adulthood. Accordingly, the role of cumulative trauma exposure was explored in a secondary anal-

---

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$M$ ($SD$)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Gender (% male)</td>
<td>69.42</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Income (median)</td>
<td>$70–99,999$</td>
<td>$-.04$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. PCL severity scores</td>
<td>22.78 (8.80)</td>
<td>$-.12^{***}$</td>
<td>$-.12^{***}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Subjective honesty</td>
<td>5.43 (1.09)</td>
<td>$.02$</td>
<td>$-.17^{***}$</td>
<td>$-.32^{***}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Social support</td>
<td>3.48 (0.67)</td>
<td>$-.04^{*}$</td>
<td>$-.17^{***}$</td>
<td>$-.22^{***}$</td>
<td>$.42^{***}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Coping ability</td>
<td>3.22 (0.66)</td>
<td>$-.10^{***}$</td>
<td>$.15^{***}$</td>
<td>$-.30^{***}$</td>
<td>$.47^{***}$</td>
<td>$.36^{***}$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Gender: 0 = male, 1 = female; Income = annual household income; PCL = PTSD Check List. 

* $p < .05$.  ** $p < .01$.  *** $p < .001$. 

---

Figure 1. Current PCL severity scores, subjective happiness scores, perceived social support, and self-rated coping ability as a function of the developmental timing of participants’ most distressing trauma. PCL = PTSD Check List. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error from the mean.
ysis. A one-way ANCOVA was first conducted to examine whether the developmental period groups varied in their cumulative trauma exposure. A significant main effect revealed that both the childhood (M = 3.79, SD = 2.34) and the adolescence (M = 3.99, SD = 2.67) exposure groups reported a greater number of lifetime traumas compared with the midlife (M = 3.14, SD = 1.90) and older adulthood (M = 3.27, SD = 1.79) groups, F(4, 1987) = 8.18, p < .001. The young adulthood group (M = 3.57, SD = 2.15) also reported significantly more lifetime traumas than the middle adulthood group.

Given this group difference in the number of lifetime traumas, cumulative trauma exposure was included as a covariate in a series of ANCOVAs identical to those described previously. A significant effect of cumulative trauma exposure emerged for PCL scores, social support, and coping, indicating that cumulative trauma exposure accounted for unique variance for these outcomes, F(s)(1, 1987) ≥ 3.98, ps < .05, η²ps ≥ .002. Importantly, the main effect of developmental period remained significant in all models when cumulative trauma exposure was added, and results from the pairwise comparisons were unchanged with the following exception: PTSD symptom severity scores for the adolescence and the midlife exposure groups were no longer significantly different. These results suggest that the observed differential effects of early compared with later life trauma on PTSD symptom severity and psychosocial functioning could not be fully explained by differences in cumulative trauma exposure.

Traumatic event types. The distribution of the potentially traumatic events as a function of the developmental period of exposure (see Table 1) indicates that the events were not uniformly distributed across the life course. Some TLEQ items are inherently age-dependent (e.g., childhood physical abuse), whereas others such as sexual assaults were more likely to occur early in life. Furthermore, events to which individuals of all ages are vulnerable (e.g., unexpected death of a loved one) occurred with greater frequency after the transition to adulthood. Given the unequal distribution of events across the life cycle, it is possible that our findings concerning the differential impact of childhood versus later traumas can be attributed to differences in the types of events experienced during the five developmental periods examined.

To investigate this hypothesis, interactions between differences in event type and the developmental timing of exposure were tested. A series of 5 (developmental period) × 2 (event type: self-vs. other-oriented, interpersonal vs. noninterpersonal, A1 vs. non-A1, A2 vs. non-A2) ANCOVAs was conducted for each dependent variable with the latter factor varied between-subjects. Results indicated that the main effects of developmental period remained significant in all models with the interaction term included, F(s)(4, 1672–1910) ≥ 2.33, ps ≤ .05. In addition, significant main effects of event type emerged for PCL, coping, and SHS scores. PTSD symptom severity was significantly higher for interpersonal (M = 24.74, SD = 11.55) compared with noninterpersonal (M = 21.99, SD = 7.58) events, A1 (M = 24.04, SD = 9.84) compared with non-A1 events (M = 20.79, SD = 6.45), and A2 (M = 25.09, SD = 10.52) compared with non-A2 events (M = 20.57, SD = 6.08), F(1, 1983–1910) ≥ 10.96, ps ≤ .001, η²ps ≥ .01. Individuals who endorsed the A1 (M = 3.19, SD = .66) and the A2 (M = 3.13, SD = .68) criteria reported a lower ability to cope with stress compared with those who did not endorse the A1 (M = 3.27, SD = .65) and the A2 criteria (M = 3.30, SD = .63), F(s)(1, 1905–1910) ≥ 4.43, ps ≤ .05, η²ps > .002. Finally, individuals who endorsed the A2 criterion also reported significantly lower subjective happiness (M = 5.34, SD = 1.14) than those who did not (M = 5.54, SD = 1.01), F(1, 1910) = 13.25, p < .01, η²p = .01. However, central to the hypothesis tested, none of the Developmental Period × Event Type interactions were significant, F(s)(4, 1672–1910) ≤ 1.94. (Ms and SDs for the interactions are available in the online Appendix.) Thus, no evidence was found to support the hypothesis that these particular objective and subjective differences in traumatic events moderated the effect of the developmental timing of trauma exposure on PTSD symptom severity and psychosocial functioning.

Discussion

Results from the present study suggest that traumatic events encountered early in the life course exert a greater negative impact on psychological health and psychosocial functioning in older adults compared with trauma experienced later in the life course. Older adults whose most distressing traumas occurred in childhood reported greater current PTSD symptom severity compared with older adults whose worst traumas occurred in young and middle adulthood. Similar results emerged for psychosocial indices associated with successful adaptation following trauma exposure, including subjective happiness, social support, and coping ability. Collectively, our results demonstrate the enduring nature of traumatic events experienced decades earlier and suggest that individuals who encounter traumatic events early in life are at greater risk of adverse outcomes in older adulthood compared with individuals who experience trauma later in the life course.

Our findings are consistent with results from the Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) study in which strong associations have been found between childhood adversity and a wide range of physical and psychological indices in adulthood, including health risk behaviors (e.g., smoking; Anda et al., 2006), disease (Felitti et al., 1998), and depression (Chapman et al., 2004). The majority of findings from the ACE study have focused on the effects of interpersonal childhood trauma on outcomes in young and middle-aged adults. Results from the present study extend this research by examining the extent to which the adverse consequences of early trauma persist beyond middle adulthood into the young–old phase of older adulthood. Research on developmental trauma indicates that exposure to severe interpersonal traumas in childhood leads to dysregulation and disturbance across multiple domains of functioning (Cloitre et al., 2009), which is congruent with the results from the present study in which negative effects of early trauma were observed for several indices of psychological health and psychosocial functioning.

One possible explanation of our results is that posttraumatic symptomology and psychosocial functioning in older adulthood is tied to multiple stressful or negative life events over the life course (Hazel, Hammern, Brennan, & Najman, 2008). For individuals who experienced their most distressing trauma during childhood and adolescence, exposure to trauma early in development may have increased their susceptibility to negative outcomes in older adulthood by intensifying their emotional reactivity to stress, causing subsequent events to be experienced as more traumatic and overwhelming (Glaser, van Os, Portegijs, & Myin-Germeys, 2006). Early traumas may also negatively impact existing social support.
and coping resources, particularly those provided within family structures, which may further magnify vulnerability to adverse outcomes as well as the likelihood of encountering stressful events and misfortunes in adulthood (Hammen, 1991). However, in the present study, differences in cumulative exposure to traumatic events did not fully explain the differential effects of childhood compared with later trauma on PTSD symptom severity and psychosocial functioning. Similarly, differences in the traumatogenicity and the interpersonal nature of the traumatic events did not fully account for our results.

Findings from the psychosocial measures included in our study are congruent with research concerning the persistent effects of negative and traumatic events on psychosocial functioning among older adults. Wilson and colleagues (2006) found that greater adversity experienced before age 18 was related to fewer close relationships and greater perceived social isolation in late adulthood. Similar results concerning reduced life satisfaction have been reported for older adults with histories of childhood trauma (Royse, Rompf, & Dhooper, 1993) and combat exposure (Koenen et al., 2008). Findings from the present study contribute to this body of work by demonstrating that decrements in subjective well-being and depression among older adults. Wilson and colleagues (2006) found that greater negative and traumatic events on psychosocial functioning among older adults. Similarly, differences in the traumatogenicity and coping ability during older adulthood may be linked to traumatic experiences that occurred decades earlier.

Limitations

Our results should be interpreted in the context of several limitations. First, the UNCAHS is composed of relatively well-educated former undergraduates from a single institution in the southeastern United States and is therefore not representative of the general population. The relatively limited ethnocultural and socioeconomic diversity of the sample may restrict the generalizability of our findings to broader community samples. Despite the selective nature of the sample, our results indicate that exposure to traumatic events and related negative outcomes are not uncommon, even among educated older adults for whom cognitive, social, and economic resources that may help protect against the adverse effects of trauma may be more readily available. Second, as with all cross-sectional analyses, the causal nature of the relation between trauma exposure and PTSD symptoms and psychosocial functioning in older adulthood could not be determined. Trauma exposure, PTSD symptoms, and lower psychosocial functioning may have been caused by shared factors that were not examined in this study. Third, it is unknown whether the effects of trauma exposure persisted continuously since the event, increased over time, reappeared after periods of remission, or followed other nonlinear trajectories. Prospective, longitudinal studies of trauma are needed to examine longitudinal changes in the course of posttraumatic outcomes. Fourth, similar to previous investigations of the long-term effects of childhood trauma (Anda et al., 2006), our results may have been influenced by state-dependent reporting biases given the reliance on retrospective reports of events coupled with concurrent assessments of symptoms and functioning. Although such bias may have resulted in underestimation or overestimation of event exposure and symptoms, previous investigations of reporting biases specific to childhood adversity (e.g., Edwards et al., 2001) found no evidence that respondents attributed their current health problems to childhood experiences. Fifth, replication of our findings is needed due to the relatively small effect size of some of our results and the unknown validity of the single-item social support and coping measures. However, as discussed by Diehl and Wahl (2010), other single-item subjective measures (e.g., self-rated health) are well-established predictors of well-being and depression among older adults. Finally, similar to epidemiological studies of PTSD (e.g., Kessler et al., 1995), PTSD symptom severity was assessed for one event per participant, which may have resulted in an underestimation of overall symptoms.

Conclusion and Future Directions

In conclusion, previous research concerning the long-term consequences of trauma exposure is limited by an overemphasis on events that occur relatively early in life and a reliance on special populations of older adults, particularly war veterans. We addressed this limitation in the present study by examining the relative impact of traumatic events experienced during developmental periods throughout the life course on psychological and psychosocial outcomes in a nonclinical sample of older adults with a broad range of trauma histories. Our findings indicate that consideration of the developmental period of trauma exposure is crucial to understanding the long-term psychological consequences of traumatic events. Future prospective research should aim to identify sources of individual differences that lead some older adults to be vulnerable and others resistant to the deleterious consequences of trauma exposure.
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