



Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2014 June ; 61(6): 1126–1128. doi:10.1002/pbc.24918.

OUTCOME OF TRANSPLANTATION FOR ACUTE LYMPHOBLASTIC LEUKEMIA IN CHILDREN WITH DOWN SYNDROME

Johann K. Hitzler, MD¹, Wensheng He, PhD, MS², John Doyle, MD¹, Mitchell Cairo, MD⁴, Bruce M. Camitta, MD⁵, Ka Wah Chan, MD⁶, Miguel A. Diaz Perez, MD, PhD⁷, Christopher Fraser, MD⁸, Thomas G. Gross, MD, PhD⁹, John T. Horan, MD, MPH¹⁰, Alana A. Kennedy-Nasser, MD¹¹, Carrie Kitko, MD¹², Joanne Kurtzberg, MD¹³, Leslie Lehmann, MD¹⁴, Tracey O'Brien, MBChB, BSc¹⁵, Michael A. Pulsipher, MD¹⁶, Franklin O. Smith, MD¹⁷, Mei-Jie Zhang, PhD², Mary Eapen, MBBS, MS², and Paul A. Carpenter, MD¹⁸ On behalf of the CIBMTR Pediatric Cancer Working Committee

¹The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto ON, Canada

²Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research, Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

⁴New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY, USA

⁵Midwest Center for Cancer and Blood Disorders, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

⁶Texas Transplant Institute, San Antonio, TX, USA

⁷Hospital Niño Jesús, Madrid, Spain

⁸Royal Children's Hospital, Herston, QLD, Australia

⁹Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, USA

¹⁰Children's Healthcare of Atlanta at Egleston, Atlanta, GA, USA

¹¹Baylor College of Medicine/Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX, USA

¹²University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

¹³Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

¹⁴Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA

¹⁵Sydney Children's Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia

¹⁶Primary Children's Medical Center, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

¹⁷University of Cincinnati Cancer Institute, Cincinnati, OH, USA

¹⁸Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA

Abstract

We report on 27 patients with Down syndrome (DS) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia [ALL] who received allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) between 2000 and 2009. 78% of patients received myeloablative conditioning and 52% underwent transplantation in second remission. Disease-free survival (DFS) was 24% at a median of 3 years. Post-transplant leukemic relapse was more frequent than expected for children with DS-ALL (54%) than for non-DS ALL. These data suggest leukemic relapse rather than transplant toxicity is the most important cause of treatment failure. Advancements in leukemia control are especially needed for improvement in HCT outcomes for DS-ALL.

Keywords

hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; Down syndrome; trisomy 21; acute lymphoblastic leukemia; relapse; pediatric

HCT is used as treatment for high risk forms of relapsed ALL¹⁻³ and rare subgroups of children and young adults with primary ALL at very high risk of relapse⁴, achieving a DFS between 40 and 50%^{5,6}. In contrast, the role of HCT as treatment for ALL in children with DS is unclear. Increased treatment-related mortality (TRM), mainly due to infection, has been reported for children with DS-ALL undergoing primary⁷ and relapse therapy⁸ as well as HCT⁹. In contrast, two recent reports suggest leukemic relapse rather than TRM is the main barrier to successful HCT in children with DS^{10,11}. To clarify the optimal strategy to improve outcomes (reducing treatment intensity to lower TRM vs. intensification to lower relapse), we reviewed survival and causes of treatment failure after HCT in a contemporary, mainly pediatric cohort of patients with DS-ALL.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Data were obtained from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR), a working group of more than 400 transplant centers worldwide that provide detailed patient, disease, transplant characteristics and outcomes for consecutive transplantations to a statistical center at the Medical College of Wisconsin (MCW) or a data-coordinating center at the National Marrow Donor Program (NMDP). Patients or guardians provide written informed consent for data submission and research participation. The Institutional Review Boards of the MCW and the NMDP approved this study. All patients with ALL and DS who received allogeneic HCT from an HLA-matched sibling, or a matched or mismatched unrelated donor between 2000 and 2009 were eligible. ALL with t(12;21), *ETV6-RUNX1* fusion transcript, or trisomy of chromosomes 4 and 10 were considered low risk; those with t(9;22), *BCR-ABL1* fusion transcript, *MLL* gene rearrangements (11q23) or hypodiploidy (<44 chromosomes) high risk and all others standard risk. Neutrophil recovery was defined as absolute neutrophil count (ANC) $>0.5 \times 10^9/l$ for three consecutive measurements; platelet recovery as a platelet count $>20 \times 10^9/l$ for seven days without transfusion. TRM was defined as any death during remission. Relapse was defined as morphological recurrence of leukemia at any site. DFS was defined as survival in continuous complete remission.

Statistical analysis

The probabilities of neutrophil and platelet recovery, acute and chronic GVHD, TRM and relapse were calculated using the cumulative incidence function estimator¹². For neutrophil and platelet recovery and GVHD, death without the event was the competing risk. For TRM, relapse was the competing event and for relapse, TRM was the competing event. DFS and overall survival (OS) were calculated using the Kaplan Meier estimator¹². 95% confidence intervals were calculated using log transformation. For OS, death from any cause was considered an event and patients surviving at last follow up were censored. For DFS, relapse and death were considered events and patients surviving in remission were censored at last follow up. All p-values are two-sided and 0.05 was considered significant. Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.1 (Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Between 2000 and 2009, a total of 5753 allogeneic HCT procedures were reported to CIBMTR for non-DS-ALL, compared to 27 for DS-ALL (<1% of all HCT). Patient, disease and transplant characteristics are shown in Table I. Fifty-five percent of patients were under 10 years of age at the time of transplantation and five older than 18 years. Approximately half of all transplantations occurred in second remission, less than 20% in relapse or refractory disease. Approximately equal numbers of transplantations occurred within and after 3 years from the initial ALL diagnosis. For patients transplanted beyond first remission all patients, except one with an isolated central nervous system relapse, had a bone marrow relapse. Seventy-eight percent of recipients received myeloablative conditioning which included total body irradiation (TBI) in all but two cases. Bone marrow from an HLA-matched sibling was the predominant graft source. All patients received cyclosporine or tacrolimus containing GVHD prophylaxis and about a third received methotrexate (data not shown). The median follow-up was 3 years (Supplemental Tables 1, 2).

Outcomes

In univariate analysis, probabilities of hematopoietic recovery, GVHD and TRM were in keeping with those reported for patients without DS⁶ (Table II). Grade 2-4 acute GVHD¹³ developed in 31% of patients by 180 days. Of 8 patients with acute GVHD, 3 had grade 2, 3 had grade 3 and 2 had grade 4. Seven patients developed chronic GVHD (6 limited and 1 extensive). The 3-year probability of chronic GVHD¹⁴ was 27%. The 3-year cumulative incidence of TRM was 22%. Of the 6 patients with TRM, 3 patients died from infection, 1 from GVHD, 1 from organ failure and the remaining patient from a secondary neoplasm. The probability of relapse was 54% at 3 years. Consequently, DFS and OS were low and only 9 of 27 patients with DS-ALL remained alive and disease-free after HCT. Leukemic relapse was the most frequent cause of death (11/17, 65%), followed by infection (4/17, 24%) and organ failure (1/17, 6%). Outcomes of patients limited to those aged 18 years or younger were consistent with the main analysis (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Available data on the impact of DS on HCT outcomes for ALL are derived from small case numbers¹⁵⁻¹⁷, reflect prior treatment periods¹⁸ and have resulted in conflicting conclusions^{9,11}. In 1996, TRM of HCT in 27 children with DS, including 12 with ALL, was 39%⁹ compared to 80% in an earlier report¹⁸. Although the feasibility of HCT for children with DS was stated⁹, use of HCT in this group consistently remained lower than in the non-DS population^{8,11,18}. Recently, a report including 8 children with DS-ALL, questioned earlier conclusions by highlighting that leukemic relapse (5/11, 45%) rather than TRM (2/11, 18%) was the main barrier to successful HCT in children with DS¹¹. Our analysis of HCT for DS-ALL extends and confirms the findings of the small case series¹¹ and is consistent with HCT outcomes for DS-AML¹⁰. Relapse is the predominant cause of treatment failure after HCT in children with DS limiting DFS and OS (Table II).

Although this report describes the largest cohort to date of children with DS-ALL undergoing HCT, it is retrospective, registry-based and thus subject to biases inherent in this form of patient ascertainment. Like all reports on this topic, ours did not escape the limits of sample size. Subgroup analyses to assess the contribution of conditioning regimens and GVHD prophylaxis to relapse and TRM were not feasible. Despite these limitations our observations lead us to three suggestions.

First, identification of relapse as main barrier to successful transplantation cautions against a primary focus on reducing TRM by choosing minimally intense conditioning. Second, better leukemia control prior to HCT needs to be achieved while avoiding the excessive toxicity of conventional ALL chemotherapy in this group by pursuing agents that target ALL blasts (e.g. via expression of CD19 and CD22) but lack toxicities of conventional chemotherapy. Finally, given sample size limitations an international collaborative study may be the best option to optimize HCT protocols for children with DS. In the interim, families and treating physicians of children with DS-ALL are advised to take into account realistic estimates of DFS and OS rates after HCT and to explore new ways of reducing leukemic cell burden prior to HCT to combat the excess risk of leukemia recurrence.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Supported by a Public Health Service grant (U24-CA76518) from the National Cancer Institute, the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and Health Resources and Services Administration (HSH234200637015C).

REFERENCES

1. Borgmann A, von Stackelberg A, Hartmann R, et al. Unrelated donor stem cell transplantation compared with chemotherapy for children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in a second remission: a matched-pair analysis. *Blood*. 2003; 101:3835–9. [PubMed: 12732501]

2. Parker C, Waters R, Leighton C, et al. Effect of mitoxantrone on outcome of children with first relapse of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL R3): an open-label randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2010; 376:2009–17. [PubMed: 21131038]
3. Tallen G, Ratei R, Mann G, et al. Long-Term Outcome in Children With Relapsed Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia After Time-Point and Site-of-Relapse Stratification and Intensified Short-Course Multidrug Chemotherapy: Results of Trial ALL-REZ BFM 90. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2011; 28:2339–47. [PubMed: 20385996]
4. Schrappe M, Hunger SP, Pui CH, et al. Outcomes after induction failure in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *The new england Journal of Medicine*. 2012; 366:1371–81. [PubMed: 22494120]
5. Dini G, Zecca M, Balduzzi A, et al. No difference in outcome between children and adolescents transplanted for acute lymphoblastic leukemia in second remission. *Blood*. 2011; 118:6683–90. [PubMed: 22010101]
6. Shaw PJ, Kan F, Woo Ahn K, et al. Outcomes of pediatric bone marrow transplantation for leukemia and myelodysplasia using matched sibling, mismatched related, or matched unrelated donors. *Blood*. 2010; 116:4007–15. [PubMed: 20671124]
7. Maloney KW. Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children with Down syndrome: an updated review. *British Journal of Haematology*. 2011; 155:420–5. [PubMed: 21933171]
8. Meyr F, Escherich G, Mann G, et al. Outcomes of treatment for relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children with Down syndrome. *British Journal of Haematology*. 2013
9. Rubin CM, Mick R, Johnson FL. Bone marrow transplantation for the treatment of haematological disorders in Down's syndrome: toxicity and outcome. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1996; 18:533–40. [PubMed: 8879614]
10. Hitzler JK, He W, Doyle J, et al. Outcome of Transplantation for Acute Myelogenous Leukemia in Children with Down Syndrome. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation: journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2013
11. Meissner B, Borkhardt A, Dilloo D, et al. Relapse, not regimen-related toxicity, was the major cause of treatment failure in 11 children with Down syndrome undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation for acute leukaemia. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2007; 40:945–9. [PubMed: 17768387]
12. Klein, J.; Moeschberger, M. *Survival analysis: Techniques of censored and truncated data*. 2nd ed.. Springer Verlag; New York, NY: 2003.
13. Przepiorka D, Weisdorf D, Martin P, et al. 1994 Consensus Conference on Acute GVHD Grading. *Bone Marrow Transplantation*. 1995; 15:825–8. [PubMed: 7581076]
14. Flowers ME, Kansu E, Sullivan KM. Pathophysiology and treatment of graft-versus-host disease. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am*. 1999; 13:1091–112. viii-ix. [PubMed: 10553263]
15. Conter V, D'Angelo P, Rizzari C, et al. High-dose cytosine arabinoside and fractionated total body irradiation as a preparative regimen for the treatment of children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and Down syndrome by allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1996; 17:287–9. [PubMed: 8640182]
16. Goleta-Dy A, Dalla Pozza L, Shaw PJ, Stevens MM. Acute myeloid leukaemia in patients with trisomy 21 (Down syndrome) treated by bone marrow transplantation. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 1994; 30:275–7. [PubMed: 8074917]
17. Takagi K, Yoshida A, Kinoshita K, et al. Unrelated donor bone marrow transplantation for acute mixed lineage (myeloid and B-lymphoid lineage) leukemia in an adult with Down syndrome. *Ann Hematol*. 2003; 82:236–40. [PubMed: 12707727]
18. Arenson EB Jr, Forde MD. Bone marrow transplantation for acute leukemia and Down syndrome: report of a successful case and results of a national survey. *J Pediatr*. 1989; 114:69–72. [PubMed: 2521248]

TABLE I
Patient, disease and transplant characteristics

	Number (%)
Number of patients	27
Number of centers	21
Age, median (range), years	9 (4-31)
5 years	4
6-10 years	11
11-18 years	7
18 - 31years	5
Performance score prior to transplantation	
100	11
90	5
80	6
50	1
Not reported	4
Disease status prior to transplantation	
First complete remission	4
Second complete remission	14
Third complete remission	4
Relapse	4
Primary induction failure	1
Cytogenetics risk group	
Intermediate risk	23
Poor risk	3
Not reported	1
Time from diagnosis to HCT, median (range), months	36 (3-128)
12 months	4
13-36 months	10
>36 months	13
Conditioning regimen	
<u>Non-myeloablative/Reduced intensity</u>	
TBI + cyclophosphamide + fludarabine (TBI dose: 200 cGy)	2
TBI + fludarabine+ alemtuzumab (TBI dose: 600 cGy, fractionated)	1
Busulfan + fludarabine + anti-thymocyte globulin	2
Melphalan + fludarabine	1
<u>Myeloablative</u>	
TBI + cyclophosphamide + anti-thymocyte globulin (TBI dose: 1,320 cGy)	1
TBI + cyclophosphamide + cytarabine (TBI dose 1,200 cGy)	2
TBI + cyclophosphamide + fludarabine (TBI dose: 1,320 cGy)	1

	Number (%)
TBI + cyclophosphamide + etoposide (TBI dose: 1,200 cGy)	1
TBI + cyclophosphamide + thiotepa (TBI dose: 1,200 cGy)	1
TBI + cyclophosphamide (TBI dose: 550 single fraction N=3 and >1,000 cGy N=5),	8
TBI + busulfan + fludarabine + anti-thymocyte globulin (TBI 400cGy, Bu > 9.0 mg/kg)	3
TBI + etoposide + anti-thymocyte globulin (TBI dose: 1,200 cGy)	1
TBI + etoposide (TBI dose: 1,200 cGy)	1
Busulphan + cyclophosphamide	1
Busulphan + fludarabine + anti-thymocyte globulin	1
Donor type	
HLA-matched sibling	13
Other related	1
8/8 HLA-matched unrelated	6
>1 HLA-loci mismatched unrelated	7
Graft type	
Bone marrow	12
Peripheral blood progenitor cells	7
Umbilical cord blood	8
Year of transplant	
2000-2005	11
2006-2009	16
Graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis	
Cyclosporine-containing	18
Tacrolimus-containing	9
Median (range) follow-up, months	37 (12 - 120)

Abbreviations: TBI = total body irradiation; HLA = human leukocyte antigen; 8/8 = matched at HLA-A, -B, -C and -DRB1 at the allele-level.

TABLE II
Results of univariate analysis

Outcomes	Number events/evaluable	Probability (95% confidence interval)
Neutrophil recovery	24/27	
28 days		81% (65-93)
Platelet recovery	22/26	
100 days		85% (64 - 94)
Grade 2-4 acute graft vs. host disease	8/26	
100 days		31% (15-49)
180 days		31% (15-49)
Chronic graft vs. host disease	7/26	
3 years		27% (12-45)
Transplant-related mortality	6/27	
100 days		19% (6-35)
3 years		22% (9-39)
Relapse	12/27	
3 years		54% (33-74)
Disease-free survival	18/27	
3 years		24% (8-45)
Overall survival	17/27	
3 years		29% (12-50)