

A Randomized Phase II Crossover Study of Imatinib or Rituximab for Cutaneous Sclerosis after Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation

Sally Arai¹, Joseph Pidala², Iskra Pusic³, Xiaoyu Chai⁴, Samantha Jaglowski⁵, Nandita Khera⁶, Jeanne Palmer⁶, George L. Chen⁷, Madan H. Jagasia⁸, Sebastian A. Mayer⁹, William A. Wood¹⁰, Michael Green¹¹, Teresa S. Hyun⁴, Yoshihiro Inamoto⁴, Barry E. Storer⁴, David B. Miklos¹, Howard M. Shulman⁴, Paul J. Martin⁴, Stefanie Sarantopoulos¹¹, Stephanie J. Lee⁴, and Mary E.D. Flowers⁴

Abstract

Purpose: Cutaneous sclerosis occurs in 20% of patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) and can compromise mobility and quality of life.

Experimental design: We conducted a prospective, multicenter, randomized, two-arm phase II crossover trial of imatinib (200 mg daily) or rituximab (375 mg/m² i.v. weekly × 4 doses, repeatable after 3 months) for treatment of cutaneous sclerosis diagnosed within 18 months (NCT01309997). The primary endpoint was significant clinical response (SCR) at 6 months, defined as quantitative improvement in skin sclerosis or joint range of motion. Treatment success was defined as SCR at 6 months without crossover, recurrent malignancy or death. Secondary endpoints included changes of B-cell profiles in blood (BAFF levels and cellular subsets), patient-reported outcomes, and histopathology between responders and nonresponders with each therapy.

Results: SCR was observed in 9 of 35 [26%; 95% confidence interval (CI); 13%–43%] participants randomized to imatinib and 10 of 37 (27%; 95% CI, 14%–44%) randomized to rituximab. Six (17%; 95% CI, 7%–34%) patients in the imatinib arm and 5 (14%; 95% CI, 5%–29%) in the rituximab arm had treatment success. Higher percentages of activated B cells (CD27⁺) were seen at enrollment in rituximab-treated patients who had treatment success ($P = 0.01$), but not in imatinib-treated patients.

Conclusions: These results support the need for more effective therapies for cutaneous sclerosis and suggest that activated B cells define a subgroup of patients with cutaneous sclerosis who are more likely to respond to rituximab. *Clin Cancer Res*; 22(2): 319–27. ©2015 AACR.

Introduction

Cutaneous sclerosis associated with chronic graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) can severely affect mobility and quality of life

and is a major cause of disability and morbidity after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). A recent multicenter prospective study of 909 HCT recipients reported a 10% 2-year cumulative incidence of cutaneous sclerosis after HCT (1). The 3-year cumulative incidence of cutaneous sclerosis was 20% among the largest reported retrospective study of 977 patients with chronic GVHD (2). Cutaneous sclerosis is often refractory to immunosuppressive therapy. Advanced cutaneous sclerosis causes joint contractures, chronic skin ulcers, pulmonary insufficiency due to thoracic encasement, and other disabilities. Risk factors for cutaneous sclerosis among patients with chronic GVHD and the potential impact of cutaneous sclerosis on transplant outcomes have been reported (2–4). Use of a mobilized peripheral blood graft and total body irradiation in the transplant conditioning regimen were associated with an increased risk of cutaneous sclerosis (2, 3). No increased risk of overall mortality, nonrelapse mortality, or recurrent malignancy has been found in patients with cutaneous sclerosis compared with chronic GVHD patients without cutaneous sclerosis, but the development of cutaneous sclerosis was associated with longer time to withdrawal of immunosuppressive treatment for chronic GVHD (2).

The pathogenesis of cutaneous sclerosis is not understood. Although cutaneous sclerosis has some clinical and histopathologic similarities with systemic sclerosis (SSc), some differences are noted. For instance, cutaneous sclerosis begins in the upper

¹Department of Medicine/Division of Blood and Marrow Transplant, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California. ²Blood and Marrow Transplantation, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, Florida. ³Division of Oncology, Section of Bone Marrow Transplantation, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri. ⁴Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington. ⁵Division of Hematology, Internal Medicine, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio. ⁶Division of Hematology/Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, Arizona. ⁷Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York. ⁸Department of Hematology and Oncology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee. ⁹Mayer Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York. ¹⁰Department of Hematology/Oncology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina. ¹¹Division of Hematologic Malignancies and Cellular Therapy, Department of Medicine, Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Clinical Cancer Research Online (<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/>).

Corresponding Author: Mary E.D. Flowers, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, 1100 Fairview D5-290, Seattle, WA 98109-1024; Phone: 206-667-5160; Fax: 206-667-1034; E-mail: mflowers@fhccr.org

doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1443

©2015 American Association for Cancer Research.

Translational Relevance

Chronic graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) is a syndrome in which the contributions of inflammation, innate and adaptive cell-mediated immunity, humoral immunity, abnormal immune regulation, and fibrosis vary from one patient to the next. Cutaneous sclerosis is a form of chronic GVHD where fibrosis of skin and fascia predominate. In this multicenter, randomized, two-arm, phase II crossover trial of imatinib or rituximab for cutaneous sclerosis, there was a statistically significant ($P = 0.01$) higher percentage of activated B cells (CD27⁺) before treatment in the rituximab patients who had treatment success compared with those who did not, suggesting that activated B cells may be a good marker for patients with cutaneous sclerosis who will respond to rituximab. This relationship was not seen in imatinib-treated patients. Although the number of analyzed cases is small, this finding adds further evidence for the role of B cells in the pathogenesis of sclerosis in chronic GVHD.

dermal layers and then extends more deeply, whereas SSc begins in the deeper skin layer and then extends toward the surface (5). Intimal hyperplasia is seen in both chronic GVHD and SSc, but capillary rarefaction and loss of endothelial-specific markers were not seen in chronic GVHD as they are in SSc (6). Still, the molecular stimuli for fibrosis could be similar in the two diseases.

Stimulatory antibodies against the platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) have been identified in patients with SSc and cutaneous sclerosis in chronic GVHD (7, 8). This observation has served as the rationale for testing imatinib, an inhibitor of signaling through PDGFR, as a treatment for cutaneous sclerosis. Imatinib has been reported to have clinical activity against sclerotic chronic GVHD (9–11). Another hypothesis is that dysregulated donor B-cell responses result in the sclerotic phenotype. Accumulating data suggest high levels of B-cell activating factor (BAFF) after allogeneic HCT promote the survival of allo- and autoreactive B cells and cause persistent activation of B-cell signaling pathways in chronic GVHD (12, 13). In patient B cells and in murine models, inhibition of B-cell signaling can prevent or reverse tissue injury caused by chronic GVHD (14, 15). Rituximab has broad immunoregulatory effects and has shown promising activity in patients with chronic GVHD as a B-cell-depleting therapy (16–19).

In this prospective clinical trial targeting cutaneous sclerosis associated with chronic GVHD, we tested whether imatinib or rituximab could improve the clinical manifestations of cutaneous sclerosis.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Participants were enrolled at 11 institutions within the Chronic GVHD Consortium (NCT01309997). The protocol was IRB-approved at each site. Informed consent was obtained in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Participants were enrolled in the study between March 2011 and June 2014, and the data were analyzed as of January 31, 2015.

Eligible patients were children or adults diagnosed within the past 18 months with cutaneous sclerosis after allogeneic HCT, with no medication added for the treatment of GVHD within the

past 4 weeks. Participants were receiving corticosteroids at a dose greater than required for treatment of adrenal insufficiency unless the physician documented why steroids were contraindicated, but documentation of steroid dependence or refractoriness was not required. Cutaneous sclerosis was defined as sclerotic skin, morphea-like involvement, myofascial involvement, or joint contractures [a Vienna Skin Score (VSS) ≥ 2 in any area (ref. 20), or Photographic Range of Motion (P-ROM) score of 5 or less at the shoulders, elbows, or wrists, or a score of 3 or less at the ankles; ref. 21]. Exclusion criteria included treatment with imatinib within the previous 6 months for any indication, treatment with any monoclonal B-cell antibody therapy (e.g., rituximab, ofatumumab) within the previous 12 months for any indication, and concomitant treatment with extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP). Concomitant treatment with sirolimus was also not permitted initially because of potential interactions with imatinib, but this study exclusion was removed later.

Study design

The study was designed as a prospective, multicenter, open-label, randomized phase II trial of imatinib (200 mg daily by mouth, provided by Novartis) or rituximab (375 mg/m² intravenously weekly \times 4 doses, repeatable after 3 months, provided by Genentech) for the treatment of cutaneous sclerosis. Randomization was stratified by center and baseline steroid dose (<30 mg/d vs. >30 mg/d).

The primary objective of the trial was to determine the clinical response rate of cutaneous sclerosis after 6 months of initial therapy with either imatinib or rituximab. The primary endpoint was the significant clinical response (SCR) rate at 6 months, defined as a 2 or more point improvement on the VSS without worsening elsewhere or at least a 1-point improvement in the 4-level P-ROM scale or a 2-point improvement in the 7-level scale without worsening elsewhere. Crossover to the other study arm was allowed at 6 months if cutaneous sclerosis did not improve, or earlier for cutaneous sclerosis progression or drug intolerance. Cutaneous sclerosis progression was defined as a 2-point or more worsening on the VSS or a 1-point worsening in the 4-level P-ROM scale or a 2-point worsening in the 7-level scale, although crossover was also allowed for clinical worsening not fulfilling these criteria. Treatment success was defined as SCR at 6 months without crossover to the other arm, recurrent malignancy or death.

Secondary endpoints of the study included in this report are the following: (i) the cumulative incidence of treatment failure defined as failure to achieve an SCR at the 6 month assessment, crossover to the other arm, or stopping initial treatment due to toxicity, (ii) the proportion of patients able to decrease their daily corticosteroid dose to $<50\%$ of their enrollment dose, (iii) the proportion of patients with any body surface area (BSA) percentage decline in sclerosis without BSA increase in the percentage of higher grades of sclerosis elsewhere according to the VSS, (iv) correlation of changes in patient-reported outcomes with response, and (v) correlation of changes in skin biopsy histology and B-cell profiles in blood (cytokine and cellular subsets) between responders (SCR) and nonresponders with each therapeutic agent.

Clinician assessments using the VSS (Supplementary Fig. S1), P-ROM (Supplementary Fig. S2), and NIH chronic GVHD consensus conference scoring system (22) and patient self-reported outcomes (SHAQ; refs. 23, 24), FACT-BMT, Short Form 36 (SF36; ref. 25), Lee symptom scale (26), and health activity profile (HAP;

ref. 27) were performed at study enrollment and months 3, 6, 9, 12, and 18. Clinicians were also asked to qualitatively rate patients' response in skin and joint chronic GVHD at 6 months on an 8-point scale of resolved/very much better/moderately better (better), a little better/stable/a little worse (stable), and moderately worse/very much worse (worse).

Laboratory correlates

Whole blood samples were drawn into ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and heparin-containing tubes at study enrollment and at 6 months after initial randomization to each treatment arm or at time of cross over, whichever occurred first. Plasma was separated from whole blood cells by centrifugation at 600 g and stored at -80°C until first thaw and batch testing. Soluble BAFF was measured using a commercially available ELISA as previously described (28). Fresh blood in EDTA was shipped to the Sarantopoulos laboratory from the study sites and analyzed within 36 hours. Whole blood was processed for flow cytometry as previously described using antibodies directed at CD3, CD19, and CD27. Lymphocytes were gated by size using forward and side scatter criteria. A minimum of 50,000 lymphocytes were collected for all samples to ensure adequate subset analysis. Cells were analyzed using BD Canto and FlowJo 10 analysis software.

Histopathology correlates

Two 3-mm skin biopsies were obtained from participants at a leading edge of sclerosis at study enrollment and at 6 months after initial randomization to each treatment arm or at time of cross over, whichever occurred first. The sites were the same unless there was a clinical contraindication. All skin biopsy slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Two pathologists (T.S. Hyun and H.M. Shulman) concurrently reviewed the slides with a double-headed microscope blinded to all clinical details, including treatment for GVHD, to reach a consensus about the sclerosis grade from 0 to 5 according to a previously published scale used to assess regression of sclerosis after autologous HCT for systemic sclerosis (29).

Statistical design and analysis

When the study was designed, no preliminary data were available to estimate the response rate of cutaneous sclerosis associated with chronic GVHD using the NIH Consensus Diagnosis Criteria (22). Thus, a target enrollment of 74 patients was proposed so that 70 patients could be evaluated for the primary endpoint (35 per arm). With 35 patients, the proportion of SCR could be estimated within approximately 15% of the actual response rate at 6 months (primary endpoint) after treatment with each agent, based on a 95% confidence interval. Improvement would not be expected in the absence of effective therapy. All participants who received treatment with imatinib for at least 1 week or at least one dose of rituximab were evaluable for the primary endpoint.

Overall responses of cutaneous sclerosis were assessed by the medical provider using semiquantitative measures (see Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2) and by patients using the SHAQ, a validated instrument for patients with SSc (23, 24). The response endpoint was calculated at 6 months by comparison of baseline and 6-month assessments. True discordance in response (improvement in one measure while worsening in the other) was considered progression. Cumulative incidences of treatment failure were estimated by standard methods.

Baseline and change scores in patient-reported outcomes, laboratory markers, and histopathologic grades in skin biopsy samples were compared between treatment arms and between subgroups achieving treatment success versus those that did not have treatment success in each treatment arm.

Results

Of 72 patients enrolled in this study between March 2011 and June 2014, 35 were randomized to imatinib and 37 to rituximab. The patient flow diagram is shown in Fig. 1. Table 1 displays study participant characteristics. The median age was 56 years (range, 19–77), 56% were male, and all had organs other than skin involved with chronic GVHD at study enrollment. The median time from chronic GVHD onset to study enrollment was 1 year (range, 0–3.8 years). The median follow-up among 54 surviving participants is 19.5 months (range, 5.3–47.5 months) from study enrollment.

Safety and adverse events/infections

Adverse events observed for treatment with imatinib or rituximab were similar to those reported for treatment of patients with chronic GVHD. The grade 3 to 5 toxicities reported to be possibly, probably, or definitely attributed to imatinib or rituximab are shown in the Supplementary Table. Most events were infectious in nature, primarily respiratory or skin infections, with 2 deaths each in the imatinib and rituximab arms potentially attributable to the study drug. All 4 deaths were due to respiratory complications. In the imatinib arm, the deaths were caused by aspergillus pneumonia and parainfluenza pneumonia. In the rituximab arm, the deaths were caused by *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia in a patient who was receiving Bactrim prophylaxis, and aspergillus pneumonia. One patient in the rituximab arm had a grade 3 infusion toxicity that resolved with additional medication. As expected, grade 3 to 4 neutropenia occurred more frequently in the rituximab arm.

Clinical responses after initial treatment

Disposition of study participants is shown in Fig. 1. Of 72 participants, 61 were fully evaluable for the primary endpoint after initial randomization (30 in the imatinib arm and 31 in rituximab treatment arm) based on enrollment and 6-month clinician-reported data. Eleven patients did not have 6-month data available for the reasons detailed in Fig. 1.

Clinical responses and other outcomes after initial randomization to imatinib or rituximab are summarized in Table 2. SCR was observed in 9/35 (26%, 95% CI 13%–43%) participants randomized to imatinib and 10/37 (27%, 95% CI 14%–44%) randomized to rituximab. Among patients with SCR, 3 in the imatinib arm and 5 in the rituximab arm crossed over due to clinician-perceived lack of adequate response despite SCR. In 7 of these cases, improvement in one or more areas was recognized, but overall the response of the sclerosis was not deemed sufficient to continue on initial treatment. In one case, the patient was thought to have an SCR at 6 months but crossed over shortly thereafter when sclerosis worsened.

Six (17%; 95% CI, 7%–34%) patients in the imatinib arm and 5 (14%; 95% CI, 5%–29%) in the rituximab arm had treatment success defined as attaining an SCR without crossover, relapse or death at 6 months. Of the 35 participants randomized to imatinib, 7 completed at least 6 months of treatment with imatinib, did not cross over to rituximab and remain alive; of these, two patients are




Figure 1. Disposition of trial participants.

continuing treatment with imatinib. Of the 37 participants randomized to rituximab, 10 completed one or two courses of treatment with rituximab, never crossed over to imatinib, and remain alive.

The cumulative incidence of treatment failure defined as less than an SCR at the 6-month assessment or discontinuation of randomized treatment due to chronic GVHD progression or treatment intolerance within 6 months after initial randomization was 65% (95% CI, 51%–83%) for patients in the imatinib arm and 58% (95% CI, 44%–77%) for the rituximab arm (Figure 2). Eleven patients (5 imatinib and 6 rituximab) could not be confirmed as either treatment success or treatment failure due to either early withdrawal for reasons other than cutaneous sclerosis progression or treatment intolerance, or lack of 6-month clinician-reported endpoint data.

The proportion of patients at the 6-month visit able to decrease daily corticosteroids dose to 50% or less than the baseline dose was 26% (7/27) and 29% (9/32) among patients who could be evaluated in the imatinib and rituximab arms, respectively. The proportion of all patients at the 6-month visit with any percentage BSA decline (improvement) in total movable or nonmovable sclerosis without increase in the percentage of nonmovable sclerosis was 47% (14/30) in the imatinib arm and 29% (9/31) in the rituximab arm. The proportion of patients at 6-months with increase (improvement) in the P-ROM in any joint without decreased (worsening) in other joints was 13% (4/30 evaluable patients) with imatinib and was 32% (10/31 evaluable patients) with rituximab.

Clinicians' qualitative assessments of skin response at 6 months was 26% better, 52% stable, 11% worse, and 11% missing in the

imatinib arm and 16% better, 54% stable, 16% worse, and 14% missing in the rituximab arm. For joints, clinicians reported 17% better, 54% stable, 6% worse, and 23% missing in the imatinib arm and 3% better, 73% stable, 3% worse and 21% missing in the rituximab arm.

Clinical responses after crossover

Among 18 patients who crossed over to the rituximab arm, 5 experienced an SCR by 6 months after crossover, 2 have not yet been followed for 6 months, and 11 others either withdrew without response (n = 2), died (n = 1), or did not have an SCR (n = 8), for a treatment success rate of 5 of 16 (31%) among those with at least 6 months of follow-up after crossover. Among 17 patients who are alive and crossed over to the rituximab treatment arm, 10 patients have not required new treatment for cutaneous sclerosis at the time of this analysis. Among 23 patients who crossed over to the imatinib arm, 4 experienced an SCR by 6 months after crossover, 2 have not been followed for 6 months, and 15 did not (4 withdrew without response, 2 withdrew due to toxicity, 3 died, 6 did not have an SCR), for a treatment success rate of 4/21 (19%) among those with at least 6 months of follow up after crossover. Among 14 patients who are alive and crossed over to the imatinib arm, 8 have not required new treatment for cutaneous sclerosis and 2 patients continue this treatment at the time of this analysis.

Patient self-reported outcomes

We evaluated whether sclerosis-related symptoms measured by the SHAQ standard disability index correlated with severity of

Table 1. Participant characteristics according to randomization

Characteristic	All patients (n = 72)	Initial treatment	
		Imatinib (n = 35)	Rituximab (n = 37)
Patient age, median (range)	56 (19–77)	56 (19–72)	56 (21–78)
Male patient, n (%)	40 (56)	18 (51)	22 (59)
Female donor to male recipient, n (%)	15 (21)	7 (20)	8 (22)
Advanced (high-risk) disease at transplantation, n (%)	16 (22)	9(26)	7(19)
Conditioning regimen, n (%)			
Myeloablative	41 (57)	26 (74)	15 (41)
Reduced intensity or non-myeloablative	31 (43)	9(26)	22 (59)
Graft source, n (%)			
Mobilized blood cells	67 (94)	32 (94)	35 (95)
Bone marrow	3 (4)	1 (3)	2 (5)
Cord blood	1 (1)	1 (3)	0 (0)
Donor type, n (%)			
HLA fully matched related	24 (33)	15 (43)	9 (24)
HLA fully matched unrelated	36 (50)	12 (34)	24 (65)
HLA mismatched related or unrelated	12 (17)	8 (23)	4 (11)
Time from transplantation to chronic GVHD, median (range), months	11 (0.4–82)	11 (0.7–82)	11 (0.4–44)
Time from transplant to study enrollment, median (range), months	29 (8–87)	31 (8–87)	27 (14–61)
Presence of GVHD sites involved at enrollment, n (%)			
Skin	70 (99)	34 (100)	36 (97)
Eyes	47 (65)	21 (60)	26 (70)
Mouth	39 (54)	20 (57)	19 (51)
Liver	23 (44)	11 (46)	12 (43)
Gastrointestinal tract	19 (26)	10 (29)	9 (24)
Lung	26 (37)	11 (31)	15 (42)
Joint or fascia	65 (90)	31 (89)	34 (92)
Genital tract	11 (16)	7 (20)	4 (11)
NIH global score at study enrollment, n (%)			
Moderate	19 (26)	11 (31)	8 (22)
Severe	53 (74)	24 (69)	29 (78)
Subcategory of chronic GVHD at enrollment, n (%)			
Classic	14 (19)	8 (23)	6 (16)
Overlap	58 (81)	27 (77)	31 (84)
Karnofsky score <80% at study enrollment, n (%)	30 (44)	14 (42)	16 (46)
Prior grades II–IV acute GVHD, n (%)	31 (46)	17 (55)	14 (39)
Prednisone dose at study enrollment, n (%)			
None	8 (12)	6 (19)	2 (6)
<0.5 mg/kg daily	43 (64)	18 (58)	25 (69)
≥0.5 mg/kg daily	16 (24)	7 (23)	9 (25)
Other treatment of chronic GVHD at enrollment, n (%)			
Calcineurin inhibitor	36 (50)	19 (54)	17 (46)
Sirolimus	7 (10)	2 (6)	5 (14)
Mycophenolate mofetil	6 (8)	4 (11)	2 (5)
Others	29 (40)	14 (40)	15 (41)
Number of agents plus initial randomized agent, n (%)			
2	65 (90)	30 (86)	35 (95)
≥3	7 (10)	5 (14)	2 (5)
Time from onset of sclerosis to enrollment, median months (interquartile range)	1.8 (0.5–5.7)	1.6 (0.2–6.1)	2.3 (0.6–4.1)

cutaneous sclerosis by clinical findings and response to study treatment. The SHAQ score did not correlate with the percentage of total body surface with movable or nonmovable sclerosis using the VSS, but did correlate with total P-ROM (Spearman correlation coefficient $-0.41, P = 0.001$). Compared with enrollment, 11 evaluable patients had their SHAQ standard disability index decrease by at least 0.2 U, which is considered a clinically meaningful difference, but improvement in the SHAQ was not correlated with treatment success in either arm.

Changes in other patient-reported outcomes were correlated with treatment arms and treatment success. The only significant difference at $P < 0.01$ was a median 10-point decrease (range -55 to $+25$) to $P = 0.001$ for the Lee skin symptom scale for the imatinib arm. There were no differences in the other Lee subscale scores, the SF-36, FACT-BMT, or HAP for the imatinib arm, and no statistically significant changes for any of these scales in the

rituximab arm. The correlation of changes in patient-reported outcomes and treatment success were evaluated for 28 patients in the imatinib arm (6 treatment successes) and 23 in the rituximab

Table 2. Summary of overall clinical results

Outcome	Initial randomization	
	Imatinib n = 35	Rituximab n = 37
Significant clinical response (SCR), n (%)	9 (26)	10 (27)
Treatment success: SCR without crossover, relapse or death at 6 months	6 (17)	5 (14)
Treatment failure at 6 months, n	29	32
No SCR ^a , n	21	21
Crossover to other arm ^a , n	18	23
Not evaluable ^b , n	5	6

^aTotals >100% because reasons are not mutually exclusive.

^bSee disposition of study participants shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 2. Cumulative incidence of treatment failure defined as discontinuation of randomized treatment due to chronic GVHD progression or treatment intolerance within 6 months after initial randomization or less than an SCR at the 6-month assessment according to treatment arm.

arm (3 treatment successes) with 6-month patient-reported outcomes. Treatment success with imatinib was associated with improvement in the SF-36 vitality score (6.2 points vs. -3.1 points, $P = 0.01$) and the Lee lung symptom score (5.0 point improvement vs. 5.0 point worsening, $P = 0.005$). There were no differences in the other patient-reported measures, including skin bother, and no differences correlated with rituximab treatment successes.

Laboratory and histopathology correlates

B cells play a role in the development of chronic GVHD, and CD27⁺ B cells are constitutively activated in patients with this disease (13, 30). For this study, a detailed analysis of plasma BAFF levels and B-cell phenotype was carried out at time of enrollment and 6 months after the initiation of therapy or crossover. Figure 3A shows activated B-cell percentages (CD27⁺) at enrollment stratified by patients who had treatment success compared with those who did not in the imatinib and rituximab study arms. There was a

statistically significant ($P = 0.01$) higher percentage of CD27⁺ B cells at the time of enrollment in the rituximab patients who had treatment success ($n = 3$) compared with those who did not. The absolute number of B cells was not statistically different among all of the treatment arms, but the power of the analysis in the rituximab arm was very limited due to sample size (Supplementary Fig. S3). Figure 3B provides representative flow-cytometry analyses for the rituximab arm responders and nonresponders. Cells were initially gated for CD19 positivity, and from this population CD27-positive cells were plotted by granularity (side scatter). BAFF levels at time of enrollment were similar among the treatment arms (Supplementary Fig. S4), and were not correlated with steroid use in univariate analysis.

At enrollment, the median histopathologic sclerosis score was 2 (range, 0-5) in both the imatinib arm ($n = 32$) and the rituximab arm ($n = 31$) participants with evaluable biopsies. Histopathologic grading of sclerosis at enrollment was higher in the 6 patients who had treatment success with imatinib compared with the 22 who did not (3.5 vs. 1.4, $P = 0.001$). There was no difference in sclerosis histopathologic grade at enrollment in the 4 patients with treatment success on rituximab arm compared with the 22 who did not. Treatment success was not correlated with change in the sclerosis grade on the posttreatment biopsies in either treatment arm, although numbers for analysis are very limited because of missing post treatment biopsies.

Discussion

Management of cutaneous sclerosis chronic GVHD is difficult (31, 32), with evidence limited to reports from uncontrolled single-arm studies of second-line or subsequent treatments (10, 11, 17, 33, 34), retrospective studies (19, 35), or reviews (36, 37). In the absence of a definitive understanding of the pathophysiologic mechanisms for development of cutaneous sclerosis, new treatments have relied on empirical testing of agents approved for other indications where inflammation, abnormal immune regulation, or fibrosis have been implicated as pathogenic mechanisms.

We embarked on this study to estimate the efficacy of imatinib and rituximab in parallel arms in a multicenter study. This study applied stringent, semiquantitative metrics for the assessments of skin thickness and range of motion to evaluate success of either treatment at 6 months. The rates of SCR and treatment success were low ($\leq 27\%$ and $\leq 17\%$, respectively) at 6 months after initial treatment of cutaneous sclerosis with either imatinib or rituximab. Our definitions of SCR were purposefully conservative to try to minimize observer bias and increase reliability, but we




Figure 3. Proportion of CD27⁺ B cells at enrollment across treatment groups. A, percentage of CD27⁺ cells of the CD19⁺ parent gate in patients who later had treatment success (responder) or did not (nonresponder) to either imatinib or rituximab. B, representative flow cytometry showing baseline CD27 expression of CD19⁺ (CD3⁻) B cells.

acknowledge that the clinical meaningfulness of these changes has not been established. It is possible that assessments after a longer duration of treatment could have shown higher response rates. The study was not designed to make direct comparisons between the study arms.

The rates of SCR and treatment success observed in this study are certainly lower than reported for these individual agents in the treatment of steroid-refractory chronic GVHD in other studies (9, 10, 17, 33, 34), and reviewed in refs. (36, 37). Response measures for cutaneous sclerosis chronic GVHD have not been established (38). The 2005 NIH composite 0 to 3 skin score and the Lee skin symptom score are sensitive measures for clinical monitoring of patients with any cutaneous manifestations of chronic GVHD, but these measures were not designed to assess response specifically for cutaneous sclerosis chronic GVHD (39). Thus, the use of more stringent response measures could have, in part, accounted for the low response and success rates in our study, although response rates measured by clinicians' qualitative assessments were also low.

Reported overall responses (complete plus/or partial responses) at 6 months with imatinib for treatment of cutaneous sclerosis were 79%, 36%, and 33% in three single-arm prospective studies (10, 11, 33), and a 50% (95% CI 24%–76%) response at an unspecified time point in one retrospective study (35). The 79% response rate among the 19 total patients in the study by Olivieri and colleagues (10) included 11 patients who received or continued to receive treatment with ECP. In contrast, in our study, patients were not treated with ECP. The wide variation of overall response rates with imatinib between prior reports and our study may also be explained by the semiquantitative assessment tools used in our study to evaluate SC (VSS scale and the P-ROM scale) compared with other tools used in previous reports (i.e., Hopkins score; ref. 40), NIH diagnostic score (22), and quantitative range of motion measures (11).

Several retrospective and relatively small prospective studies have reported benefits of treatment with rituximab in steroid-refractory chronic GVHD (17, 19, 41). Overall response rates in the skin, including cutaneous sclerosis, during treatment with rituximab have ranged from 13% to 100% as reported in a large prospective study of 37 patients (34) and from a meta-analysis (36) that included 111 patients from 3 prospective and 4 retrospective studies. Time and criteria for responses varied or were not clearly defined in most studies, making it impossible to compare results with our study.

Reporting of results in chronic GVHD treatment studies has been plagued by poor definition of sustainable responses. Results are often interpreted under the premise that no response would have occurred in the absence of the treatment being tested, when in fact, other factors that might have affected outcomes (31, 38), such as steroid dosing, which was not controlled. In our study, it is possible that initial therapy may have contributed to eventual treatment success after crossover, so these results should be interpreted with caution. Although the same definitions were applied in assessing responses after the crossover, we noted a trend of less treatment failure, suggesting that responses other than SCR at 6 months can evolve to be successes with longer follow-up. No widely accepted gold standard is currently available for determining activity of chronic GVHD or the response to treatment.

We administered a variety of patient-reported measures to evaluate outcomes and found that only the Lee skin symptom score improved in the 29 patients who completed the 6 months of

therapy in the imatinib arm. In particular, the SHAQ, a scleroderma-specific measure that focuses on functional abilities, did not correlate with severity of sclerosis as measured by the VSS, but it did with the P-ROM. The Lee Symptom Scale does include a question about how bothered the patient is by thickened skin. Our results suggest that better patient-reported measures are needed to capture the impact of cutaneous sclerosis on quality of life and functioning.

The correlation between high initial CD27⁺ B-cell proportions and treatment success observed in the rituximab treatment arm in our study but not in the imatinib arm, suggests that rituximab affects activated B cells in cutaneous sclerosis. Although the number of analyzed cases is small, this finding adds further evidence for the role of B cells in the pathogenesis of sclerosis in chronic GVHD.

Our results highlight the importance of conducting larger multicenter studies to evaluate promising results from smaller studies. Although our different study design, including the potential crossover and the use of more stringent response measures, may explain the low rates of SCR and treatment success in this study, our results were not nearly as encouraging as previous studies. Participants in our trial had significant cutaneous sclerosis, did not receive concomitant treatment with ECP during the study, and most had already been treated with frequently used chronic GVHD treatments. Longer-term follow-up is necessary to determine whether success rates increase with treatment continued beyond 6 months, because changes in sclerotic GVHD reverse slowly. However, in this prospective, multicenter study, the treatment success rates were low enough to show that more effective therapies for cutaneous sclerosis are clearly needed.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: S. Arai, J. Pidala, B.E. Storer, D.B. Miklos, P.J. Martin, S.J. Lee, M.E.D. Flowers

Development of methodology: S. Arai, J. Pidala, D.B. Miklos, H.M. Shulman, S.J. Lee, M.E.D. Flowers

Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): S. Arai, J. Pidala, I. Pusic, S. Jaglowski, N. Khera, J. Palmer, G.L. Chen, M.H. Jagasia, S.A. Mayer, T.S. Hyun, D.B. Miklos, H.M. Shulman, S.J. Lee, M.E.D. Flowers

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): S. Arai, J. Pidala, X. Chai, N. Khera, G.L. Chen, M.H. Jagasia, M. Green, T.S. Hyun, B.E. Storer, D.B. Miklos, P.J. Martin, S. Sarantopoulos, S.J. Lee, M.E.D. Flowers

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: S. Arai, J. Pidala, I. Pusic, X. Chai, S. Jaglowski, N. Khera, J. Palmer, G.L. Chen, M.H. Jagasia, S.A. Mayer, W.A. Wood, M. Green, T.S. Hyun, Y. Inamoto, B.E. Storer, D.B. Miklos, P.J. Martin, S. Sarantopoulos, S.J. Lee, M.E.D. Flowers

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): M. Green, S.J. Lee

Study supervision: N. Khera, M.E.D. Flowers

Other (coded histologic review of skin biopsies to grade sclerosis): H.M. Shulman

Acknowledgments

The authors thank patients for participating in this clinical trial and the study coordinators for their contributions. The authors also thank Novartis for providing the imatinib and Genentech for providing the rituximab given to participants of this study.

Grant Support

This study was conducted by the Chronic GVHD Consortium (U54 CA163438), which is part of the NCATS Rare Diseases Clinical Research Network (RDCRN). RDCRN is an initiative of the Office of Rare Disease Research (ORDR). NCATS, funded through collaboration between NCATS and the National Cancer Institute.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received June 18, 2015; revised August 3, 2015; accepted August 21, 2015; published OnlineFirst September 16, 2015.

References

- Arora M, Cutler CS, Jagasia MH, Pidala J, Chai XY, Martin PJ, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and prognosis of late immune-mediated disorders after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). *Biol Blood Marrow Tr* 2015;21:S54-S.
- Inamoto Y, Storer BE, Petersdorf EW, Nelson JL, Lee SJ, Carpenter PA, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of sclerosis in patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood* 2013;121:5098-103.
- Martires KJ, Baird K, Steinberg SM, Grkovic L, Joe GO, Williams KM, et al. Sclerotic-type chronic GVHD of the skin: clinical risk factors, laboratory markers, and burden of disease. *Blood* 2011;118:4250-7.
- Skert C, Patriarca F, Sperotto A, Cerno M, Fili C, Zaja F, et al. Sclerodermatous chronic graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: incidence, predictors, and outcome. *Haematologica* 2006;91:258-61.
- Shulman HM, Kleiner D, Lee SJ, Morton T, Pavletic SZ, Farmer E, et al. Histopathologic diagnosis of chronic graft-versus-host disease: national institutes of health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease: ii. pathology working group report. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2006;12:31-47.
- Fleming JN, Shulman HM, Nash RA, Johnson PY, Wight TN, Gown A, et al. Cutaneous chronic graft-versus-host disease does not have the abnormal endothelial phenotype or vascular rarefaction characteristic of systemic sclerosis. *PLoS ONE* 2009;4:e6203.
- Baroni SS, Santillo M, Bevilacqua F, Luchetti M, Spadoni T, Mancini M, et al. Stimulatory autoantibodies to the PDGF receptor in systemic sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:2667-76.
- Svegliati S, Olivieri A, Campelli N, Luchetti M, Poloni A, Trappolini S, et al. Stimulatory autoantibodies to PDGF receptor in patients with extensive chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood* 2007;110:237-41.
- Olivieri A, Cimminiello M, Corradini P, Mordini N, Fedele R, Selleri C, et al. Long-term outcome and prospective validation of NIH response criteria in 39 patients receiving imatinib for steroid-refractory chronic GVHD. *Blood* 2013;122:4111-8.
- Olivieri A, Locatelli F, Zecca M, Sanna A, Cimminiello M, Raimondi R, et al. Imatinib for refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease with fibrotic features. *Blood* 2009;114:709-18.
- Baird K, Comis LE, Joe GO, Steinberg SM, Hakim FT, Rose JJ, et al. Imatinib mesylate for the treatment of steroid-refractory sclerotic-type cutaneous chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2015;21:1083-90.
- Sarantopoulos S, Blazar BR, Cutler C, Ritz J. B cells in chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2015;21:16-23.
- Sarantopoulos S, Stevenson KE, Kim HT, Cutler CS, Bhuiya NS, Schowalter M, et al. Altered B-cell homeostasis and excess BAFF in human chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood* 2009;113:3865-74.
- Flynn R, Du J, Veenstra RG, Reichenbach DK, Panoskaltis-Mortari A, Taylor PA, et al. Increased T follicular helper cells and germinal center B cells are required for cGVHD and bronchiolitis obliterans. *Blood* 2014;123:3988-98.
- Dubovsky JA, Flynn R, Du J, Harrington BK, Zhong Y, Kaffenberger B, et al. Ibrutinib treatment ameliorates murine chronic graft-versus-host disease. *J Clin Invest* 2014;124:4867-76.
- Canninga-van Dijk MR, van der Straaten HM, Fijnheer R, Sanders CJ, van den Tweel JG, Verdonck LF. Anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody treatment in 6 patients with therapy-refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood* 2004;104:2603-6.
- Cutler C, Miklos D, Kim HT, Treister N, Woo SB, Bienfang D, et al. Rituximab for steroid-refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood* 2006;108:756-62.
- Ratanatharathorn V, Ayash L, Reynolds C, Silver S, Reddy P, Becker M, et al. Treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease with anti-CD20 chimeric monoclonal antibody. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2003;9:505-11.
- Zaja F, Bacigalupo A, Patriarca F, Stanzani M, Van Lint MT, Fili C, et al. Treatment of refractory chronic GVHD with rituximab: a GITMO study. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2007;40:273-7.
- Greinix HT, Pohlreich D, Maalouf J, Soukup P, Supper V, Kalhs P, et al. A single-center pilot validation study of a new chronic GVHD skin scoring system. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2007;13:715-23.
- Inamoto Y, Pidala J, Chai X, Kurland BF, Weisdorf D, Flowers ME, et al. Assessment of joint and fascia manifestations in chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Arthritis Rheum* 2014;66:1044-52.
- Filipovich AH, Weisdorf D, Pavletic S, Socie G, Wingard JR, Lee SJ, et al. National Institutes of Health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease: I. Diagnosis and staging working group report. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2005;11:945-56.
- Johnson SR, Hawker GA, Davis AM. The health assessment questionnaire disability index and scleroderma health assessment questionnaire in scleroderma trials: an evaluation of their measurement properties. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;53:256-62.
- Steen VD, Medsger TA Jr. The value of the Health Assessment Questionnaire and special patient-generated scales to demonstrate change in systemic sclerosis patients over time. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40:1984-91.
- Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Medical Care* 1992;30:473-83.
- Lee S, Cook EF, Soiffer R, Antin JH. Development and validation of a scale to measure symptoms of chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2002;8:444-52.
- Herzberg PY, Heussner P, Mumm FH, Horak M, Hilgendorf I, von Harsdorf S, et al. Validation of the human activity profile questionnaire in patients after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2010;16:1707-17.
- Sarantopoulos S, Stevenson KE, Kim HT, Bhuiya NS, Cutler CS, Soiffer RJ, et al. High levels of B-cell activating factor in patients with active chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007;13:6107-14.
- Nash RA, McSweeney PA, Crofford LJ, Abidi M, Chen CS, Godwin JD, et al. High-dose immunosuppressive therapy and autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation for severe systemic sclerosis: long-term follow-up of the US multicenter pilot study. *Blood* 2007;110:1388-96.
- Allen JL, Fore MS, Wooten J, Roehrs PA, Bhuiya NS, Hoffert T, et al. B cells from patients with chronic GVHD are activated and primed for survival via BAFF-mediated pathways. *Blood* 2012;120:2529-36.
- Martin PJ, Inamoto Y, Carpenter PA, Lee SJ, Flowers ME. Treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease: past, present, and future. *Korean J Hematol* 2011;46:153-63.
- Flowers ME, Martin PJ. How we treat chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood* 2015;125:606-15.
- Chen GL, Arai S, Flowers ME, Otani JM, Qiu J, Cheng EC, et al. A phase I study of imatinib for corticosteroid-dependent/refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease: response does not correlate with anti-PDGFRα antibodies. *Blood* 2011;118:4070-8.
- Kim SJ, Lee JW, Jung CW, Min CK, Cho B, Shin HJ, et al. Weekly rituximab followed by monthly rituximab treatment for steroid-refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease: results from a prospective, multicenter, phase II study. *Haematologica* 2010;95:1935-42.
- Magro L, Mohty M, Catteau B, Coiteux V, Chevallier P, Terriou L, et al. Imatinib mesylate as salvage therapy for refractory sclerotic chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood* 2009;114:719-22.

36. Kharfan-Dabaja MA, Mhaskar AR, Djulbegovic B, Cutler C, Mohty M, Kumar A. Efficacy of rituximab in the setting of steroid-refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2009;15:1005–13.
37. Inamoto Y, Flowers ME. Treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease in 2011. *Curr Opin Hematol* 2011;18:414–20.
38. Palmer JM, Lee SJ, Chai X, Storer BE, Flowers ME, Schultz KR, et al. Poor agreement between clinician response ratings and calculated response measures in patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2012;18:1649–55.
39. Jacobsohn DA, Kurland BF, Pidala J, Inamoto Y, Chai X, Palmer JM, et al. Correlation between NIH composite skin score, patient-reported skin score, and outcome: results from the Chronic GVHD Consortium. *Blood* 2012;120:2545–52.
40. Jacobsohn DA, Chen AR, Zahurak M, Piantadosi S, Anders V, Bolanos-Meade J, et al. Phase II study of pentostatin in patients with corticosteroid-refractory chronic graft-versus-host disease. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:4255–61.
41. Clavert A, Chevallier P, Guillaume T, Delaunay J, Le Gouill S, Mahe B, et al. Safety and efficacy of rituximab in steroid-refractory chronic GVHD. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2013;48:734–6.