



An epigenome-wide association study of child appetitive traits and DNA methylation

Holly A. Harris^{a,b,c,*}, Chloe Friedman^{d,e}, Anne P. Starling^{d,e,f}, Dana Dabelea^{d,e,g},
Susan L. Johnson^h, Bernard F. Fuemmelerⁱ, Dereje Jima^{j,k}, Susan K. Murphy^l, Cathrine Hoyo^m,
Pauline W. Jansen^{a,b,c}, Janine F. Felix^{b,n}, Rosa H. Mulder^{b,n}

^a Department of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry/Psychology, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

^b The Generation R Study Group, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

^c Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Psychology, Education & Child Studies, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

^d Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

^e Lifecourse Epidemiology of Adiposity and Diabetes (LEAD) Center, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

^f Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

^g Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

^h Department of Pediatrics, Section of Nutrition, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

ⁱ Virginia Commonwealth University, Massey Comprehensive Cancer Center, Richmond, VA, USA

^j Bioinformatics Research Center, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

^k Center for Human Health and the Environment, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

^l Duke University Medical Center, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Reproductive Sciences, Durham, NC, USA

^m Department of Biological Sciences, Center for Human Health and the Environment, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

ⁿ Department of Pediatrics, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Appetitive traits
Childhood
DNA methylation
Epigenome-wide association study
Epigenetics
Eating behaviors

ABSTRACT

The etiology of childhood appetitive traits is poorly understood. Early-life epigenetic processes may be involved in the developmental programming of appetite regulation in childhood. One such process is DNA methylation (DNAm), whereby a methyl group is added to a specific part of DNA, where a cytosine base is next to a guanine base, a CpG site. We meta-analyzed epigenome-wide association studies (EWASs) of cord blood DNAm and early-childhood appetitive traits. Data were from two independent cohorts: the Generation R Study ($n = 1,086$, Rotterdam, the Netherlands) and the Healthy Start study ($n = 236$, Colorado, USA). DNAm at autosomal methylation sites in cord blood was measured using the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip. Parents reported on their child's food responsiveness, emotional undereating, satiety responsiveness and food fussiness using the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire at age 4–5 years. Multiple regression models were used to examine the association of DNAm (predictor) at the individual site- and regional-level (using DMRff) with each appetitive trait (outcome), adjusting for covariates. Bonferroni-correction was applied to adjust for multiple testing. There were no associations of DNAm and any appetitive trait when examining individual CpG-sites. However, when examining multiple CpGs jointly in so-called differentially methylated regions, we identified 45 associations of DNAm with food responsiveness, 7 associations of DNAm with emotional undereating, 13 associations of DNAm with satiety responsiveness, and 9 associations of DNAm with food fussiness. This study shows that DNAm in the newborn may partially explain variation in appetitive traits expressed in early childhood and provides preliminary support for early programming of child appetitive traits through DNAm. Investigating

Abbreviations: CEBQ, Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire; DNAm, DNA methylation; EWAS, Epigenome-wide association study.

* Corresponding author. Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Psychology, Education & Child Studies, P.O. Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

E-mail addresses: harris@essb.eur.nl (H.A. Harris), chloe.friedman@cuanschutz.edu (C. Friedman), anne.starling@unc.edu (A.P. Starling), Dana.dabelea@cuanschutz.edu (D. Dabelea), susan.johnson@cuanschutz.edu (S.L. Johnson), Bernard.fuemmeler@vcuhealth.org (B.F. Fuemmeler), ddjima@ncsu.edu (D. Jima), susan.murphy@duke.edu (S.K. Murphy), choyo@ncsu.edu (C. Hoyo), p.w.jansen@erasmusmc.nl (P.W. Jansen), j.felix@erasmusmc.nl (J.F. Felix), r.mulder@erasmusmc.nl (R.H. Mulder).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2023.107086>

Received 19 June 2023; Received in revised form 9 October 2023; Accepted 10 October 2023

Available online 14 October 2023

0195-6663/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

differential DNAm associated with appetitive traits could be an important first step in identifying biological pathways underlying the development of these behaviors.

1. Introduction

Appetitive traits, which broadly describe individuals' phenotypic predispositions towards food-related stimuli, are involved in the development of obesity (Russell & Russell, 2019). The 'behavioral susceptibility theory' (BST) suggests that variation in appetite, observed from early infancy (Llewellyn et al., 2011), mediates the interaction between genetic vulnerability and environmental risk exposure on obesity (Llewellyn & Fildes, 2017). Appetitive traits encompass multiple dimensions, including 'food approach' traits, which are indicative of a heartier appetite and a heightened responsiveness to environmental food cues, and 'food avoidant' traits, which reflect a lower appetite or disinterest in eating. While appetitive traits may serve as the behaviorally-mediated mechanism linking nutrition, the food environment, and weight, a significant gap remains in understanding the early developmental origins of these traits.

Understanding the etiology of appetitive traits requires a robust conceptualization and assessment these complex phenotypes. Evaluation in early appetitive traits has predominantly relied on the widely-validated, parent-reported Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (CEBQ), initially developed to test the BST (Wardle et al., 2001). Despite relying on parent-report, and thus, parent perceptions, the CEBQ is a feasible tool to assess appetitive traits in large epidemiological studies. Within the CEBQ, distinct subscales correspond to constructs within the food approach (food responsiveness, enjoyment of food, emotional overeating) and food avoidant (satiety responsiveness, slowness in eating, food fussiness, and emotional undereating) domains. Recent meta-analytic evidence shows support for the BST, with CEBQ-assessed food approach and food avoidant traits conferring a higher and lower obesity risk, respectively (Kininmonth et al., 2021). This may in part be explained through observable eating behaviors (i.e., dietary intake, food preferences, eating speed), to which some of the CEBQ-subcales are consistently associated. For example, children scoring higher in food responsiveness tend to have an increased preference for energy-dense and nutrient-poor foods (Fildes et al., 2015), increased eating frequency and higher energy intake (Carnell & Wardle, 2007; Syrad et al., 2016); those children who are more satiety responsive are sensitive to feelings of fullness, and tend to eat slower and eat smaller portions (Carnell & Wardle, 2007; Syrad et al., 2016); those with elevated food fussiness tend to consume a limited variety of foods (Tharner et al., 2014) and dislike core food groups such as fruits and vegetables (Fildes et al., 2015); and those high in emotional undereating eat less when negative moods are evoked (Blissett et al., 2019). Although not diametrically opposed, food approach and avoidant traits appear to be somewhat inversely associated (Tharner et al., 2014). Children who score higher in food fussiness, for instance, tend to also be slower eaters and relatively less food responsive. Considerable evidence from twin studies suggests that appetitive traits are both genetically- and environmentally-influenced (Carnell et al., 2008; Fildes et al., 2016; Llewellyn et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2017). However, little attention has been paid to how the genetics and the environment together influence the early biological mechanisms that may underlie the development of appetitive traits.

The Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) hypothesis proposes that environmental exposures in early life are associated with later-life health outcomes (Barker, 2004; Levitan & Wendland, 2013). In line with this theory, the prenatal environment has also been hypothesized to contribute to obesity-risk, partly through modulating appetite regulation systems (Boswell et al., 2018; Desai & Ross, 2020). For example, experimental research from rodent studies suggest that a maternal high-fat, high-sugar diet may promote

hyperphagic eating in offspring, potentially indicative of food responsiveness (Ong & Muhlhausler, 2011). In humans, maternal obesity risk factors during pregnancy (such as high fasting plasma glucose and pre-pregnancy overweight) have been shown to predict eating behaviors such as self-serving larger portions, faster eating rate and higher energy intake, as well as increased child body mass index (BMI), at 6 years (Fogel et al., 2020). Observational evidence from a longitudinal birth cohort showed that excessive gestational weight gain was associated with increased food responsiveness in children aged 1 year old (Costa et al., 2022). Another study showed that mothers who gained less weight than recommended during pregnancy rated their male (but not female) offspring lower in satiety responsiveness at approximately 4 years of age (Boone-Heinonen et al., 2019). Furthermore, ultra-processed food consumption during pregnancy has been also linked to lower satiety responsiveness in infants at 6-months of age (Cummings et al., 2022). The prenatal environment may be linked to children's appetitive traits through biological processes that could modify the regulation or expression of genes during fetal development, such as epigenetic processes.

DNA methylation (DNAm) is an epigenetic mechanism whereby methyl groups are added to cytosines at cytosine-guanine dinucleotides (CpG sites) in the DNA, which may affect gene expression (Ruiz-Arenas et al., 2022). Exposures during fetal development, such as maternal smoking (Joubert et al., 2016), maternal BMI at the start of pregnancy (Sharp et al., 2017) and maternal diet (Kupers et al., 2022) have been associated with newborn DNAm. A limited number of candidate studies have linked DNAm to appetitive traits in childhood. For example, one study ($n = 317$) showed that DNAm at the insulin-like growth factor-2 (*IGF2*) gene in cord blood was associated with satiety responsiveness in children aged 1–6 years old (Do et al., 2019). In a small study of 32 girls aged 5–6 years, lower levels of DNAm in the promoter of the *BDNF* (brain-derived neurotrophic factor) gene were found to be associated with lower satiety responsiveness (Gardner et al., 2015). While such studies implicate differential DNAm as a potentially relevant pathway underlying appetitive traits, so far interest has been in one or a few candidate genes, thereby precluding the possibility to uncover novel epigenetic pathways.

Epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) of DNAm markers at birth that predict childhood appetitive traits have not yet been performed. Hypothesis-free testing of epigenome-wide DNAm signals may present new leads to piece together the puzzle of appetitive trait etiology. Thus, in the current study, we aimed to investigate associations of genome-wide DNAm in newborn cord blood with appetitive traits in early childhood. We studied DNAm both at the site level (per CpG), and at the regional level (inter-related, nearby CpG sites). To maximize statistical power, we meta-analyzed EWASs for appetitive traits from two independent cohorts (total $n = 1322$).

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and participants

Two cohorts participating in the worldwide Pregnancy And Childhood Epigenetics Consortium (PACE) (Felix et al., 2018) with newborn umbilical cord blood DNAm and child appetitive traits measured before age 6 years were included in the current analysis: the Generation R Study (Generation R) and Healthy Start.

2.1.1. Generation R

Generation R is a population-based cohort focused on health and development from fetal life onwards (Jaddoe et al., 2006). All pregnant

women living in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, with an expected delivery date between April 2002 and January 2006 were invited to participate ($N = 9778$; participation rate: 61%). Cord blood DNAm data were available for 1396 children, who were selected from the full population to be a homogeneous subgroup of children with both parents born in the Netherlands (based on self-report, European ancestry was confirmed for all children in our sample with genetic data available [99%]), and with high completeness of follow-up. Of these children, 1098 had information available on appetitive traits. Twelve sibling pairs were present in this set; one sibling of each pair was removed based on data availability or otherwise randomly, leaving a final sample size of $n = 1086$ children. The Generation R Study has been approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam. Written informed consent was obtained from parents of all children.

2.1.2. Healthy Start

The Healthy Start study (Healthy Start) is an ongoing, pre-birth cohort study based in Colorado, USA (Starling et al., 2015). Pregnant women were recruited between 2009 and 2014 at the University of Colorado obstetrics clinics. Women were eligible for the Healthy Start study if they were ≥ 16 years, expecting a singleton birth, had a gestational age < 24 weeks at enrolment, and had no serious chronic medical conditions or history of stillbirth. A total of 1410 pregnancies were enrolled, and umbilical cord blood DNAm was analyzed in a subset of these ($n = 600$), based on availability of cord blood, maternal blood, and urine samples during pregnancy. For this analysis of cord blood DNAm and child appetitive traits, further exclusions were as follows: 6 participants had discordance between reported sex and sex predicted by the DNAm data (see 2.2. DNA methylation measurement), 180 had missing child appetitive traits data, 7 were siblings of other participants in the cohort, and 171 had a race/ethnicity other than non-Hispanic white (54% Hispanic ethnicity and 33% black, 7% Asian, 1% American Indian/Alaska Native and 9% Multiracial), resulting in a final sample size of $n = 236$. The Healthy Start study protocol was approved by the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board, and all women provided written informed consent before the first study visit.

2.2. DNA methylation measurement

Each cohort performed sample processing, quality control and normalization based on their own protocols. In Generation R, DNA was extracted from cord blood samples taken at birth. Five-hundred nanograms of DNA per sample underwent bisulfite conversion using the EZ-96 DNA Methylation kit (Shallow) (Zymo Research Corporation, Irvine, USA) and further processed with the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Illumina Inc., San Diego, USA). Preparation and normalization of the HumanMethylation450 BeadChip array data was performed following the CPACOR workflow using the software package R (Lehne et al., 2015; R Core Team, 2013). In detail, the .idat files were read using the minfi package (Aryee et al., 2014). Probes with a detection p -value $> 1e-16$ were set to missing per array. Intensity values were stratified by autosomal and non-autosomal probes and quantile normalized for each of the six probe type categories separately: type II red or green, type I methylated red or green and type I unmethylated red or green (Illumina Inc., 2013; Lehne et al., 2015). Beta values were computed as the ratio of the methylated to the methylated + unmethylated signal. Arrays with observed technical problems such as failed bisulfite conversion, hybridization or extension, as well as arrays with a mismatch between sex of the proband and sex determined by the chromosome X and Y probe intensities were removed from subsequent analyses. Lastly, only arrays with a call rate (i.e. successfully measured samples) $> 95\%$ per sample were processed further. Outlying values on the probes were excluded using the Tukey method, i.e. values $< (25\text{th percentile} - 3 \times \text{interquartile range})$ and values $> (75\text{th percentile} + 3 \times \text{interquartile range})$ were excluded (Tukey, 1977).

In Healthy Start, DNA was extracted from umbilical cord blood

collected at birth using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen) and stored in buffy coats. Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Illumina Inc., San Diego, USA) was used to measure epigenome-wide DNAm (Yang et al., 2017). Additional details of DNA extraction and bisulfite conversion have been described in detail previously (Starling et al., 2020). Quality control checks included assessment of DNA purity, integrity, and quantity. Samples were eligible for inclusion if they met the following criteria: 260/280 ratio > 1.8 indicating DNA purity, DNA integrity score > 7 , and at least 500 ng of DNA available. Probes with high detection p -value (> 0.05) ($n = 587$) and low bead-count < 4 ($n = 664$) were removed. Additionally, samples with inconsistencies between reported and predicted sex were removed ($n = 6$). Stratified quantile normalization was performed using the pre-processQuantile function in minfi (Touleimat & Tost, 2012). ComBat was used for batch correction (Johnson et al., 2007). Extreme methylation outliers were removed, as defined by a value more than three times the interquartile range below the 25th percentile or above the 75th percentile (Hoaglin et al., 1986; Merid et al., 2020).

For both cohorts, only autosomal probes were analyzed and cross-reactive probes were excluded (Chen et al., 2013; Naeem et al., 2014), resulting in 415,786 probes in Generation R and 429,136 probes in Healthy Start. We included the 415,267 probes in the meta-analyses that were present in both cohorts. The R package FDb.InfiniumMethylation.hg19 (Triche, 2014) was used for probe annotation.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Child appetitive traits

In each cohort, child appetitive traits were assessed by parent-report using the widely-implemented Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (CEBQ) (Wardle et al., 2001) at age 4 years in Generation R, and age 5 years in Healthy Start. The CEBQ has good psychometric properties (Wardle et al., 2001), and has demonstrated ecological validity in behavioural tests (Blissett et al., 2019; Carnell & Wardle, 2007). Four subscales that were available in both Generation R and Healthy Start were included in the EWAS meta-analyses: food responsiveness (5 items, e.g. "My child is always asking for food"), emotional under-eating (4 items, e.g. "My child eats less when (s)he is upset"), satiety responsiveness (5 items, e.g. "My child gets full before his/her meal is finished") and food fussiness (6 items, e.g. "My child is difficult to please with meals"). Items were assessed on a 5-point Likert scale from "1" (never) to "5" (always) and summed to produce a subscale sum score. The subscales showed acceptable to good internal reliability in Generation R ($\alpha = 0.74-0.91$) and Healthy Start ($\alpha = 0.70-0.93$). Where normally distributed, sum scores were standardized (z-scores) for comparison between the models. The food responsiveness subscale was skewed in both cohorts and, therefore, square-root transformed to approach normality, and all scales were z-score transformed.

2.3.2. Covariates

In Generation R, maternal age at delivery was calculated based on maternal age assessed upon enrolment, gestational age at enrolment and gestational age at birth. Maternal smoking during pregnancy was assessed with three questionnaires in early (< 18 weeks), mid- (18–25 weeks), and late (> 25 weeks) pregnancy and categorized into 0 = never smoked during pregnancy/1 = quit when pregnancy was known/2 = sustained smoking during pregnancy. Maternal education was used as an indicator of socio-economic status (SES) and was obtained via questionnaire at enrolment. The information was dichotomized (0 = did not complete university studies/1 = completed university studies). Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI; kg/m^2) was computed based on pre-pregnancy weight, which was collected at enrolment using a questionnaire, and height. Self-reported pre-pregnancy weight correlated highly ($r = 0.96$) with measured weight at enrolment around 13 weeks of pregnancy (Tielemans et al., 2015). Child sex and birth weight were obtained from midwife and hospital registries. Sample plate was

included in the models to correct for batch effects. White blood cell proportions (CD4⁺ T-lymphocytes, CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes, natural killer cells, B-lymphocytes, monocytes, granulocytes, and nucleated red blood cells) were estimated with a cord blood-specific reference panel (Gervin et al., 2019). Gestational age at birth was determined using fetal ultrasound examinations or last menstrual period (LMP) in case of a regular menstrual cycle and a certain first day of LMP (Jaddoe et al., 2006).

In the Healthy Start study, child sex, birthweight, and gestational age at birth were obtained from medical records. Maternal age and education were self-reported at time of enrolment. Education was dichotomized (0 = high school education or less/1 = more than high school education). Mothers also self-reported their race (1 = white, 2 = black, 3 = Asian, 4 = American Indian/Alaska Native, 5 = Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 6 = Multiracial) and ethnicity (0 = non-Hispanic, 1 = Hispanic). Maternal smoking status was self-reported at three study visits: twice during pregnancy and once at delivery, and was operationalized as a 3-level categorical variable (0 = never smoked/quit early in pregnancy, 1 = quit smoking early in pregnancy, 2 = sustained smoking throughout pregnancy). Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was calculated using height measured at enrolment and pre-pregnancy weight obtained from the medical record. Cell deconvolution to estimate the relative proportions of the 7 cell types (B cells, CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells, granulocytes, monocytes, NK cells, and nucleated red blood cells) was performed using the R package FlowSorted.CordBloodCombined.450k (R Core Team, 2013) with a combined reference dataset for cord blood (Gervin et al., 2019).

2.4. Statistical analyses

2.4.1. Site- and regional-level epigenome-wide meta-analyses

Each cohort first ran a cohort-specific EWAS according to a pre-defined analysis plan. Missing data points on covariates were imputed (% missing on variables ranged from 0 to 9.8% in Generation R and 0–16.9% in Healthy Start) using the *mice* package (Buuren & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2010) in R. To enhance imputation, additional variables were used for the imputation only, including household income, maternal and paternal BMI at enrolment, maternal daily caloric intake during pregnancy and maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy in Generation R, and gestational weight gain and maternal daily caloric intake in Healthy Start. We performed a maximum of 10 iterations to create 10 imputed datasets. Regression analyses were performed using pooled statistics. To study the associations of DNAm with appetitive traits, we planned three regression models per appetitive trait: a basic model (Model 1), a fully adjusted model (Model 2), and an exploratory model including potential mediators (variables that could act as a mediator, but directions of effect are unclear; Model 3). In each model, DNAm was modelled as the predictor and appetitive trait as the outcome. Model 1 adjusted for child sex and age at appetitive trait assessment, batch and estimated white blood cell proportions. Significant hits (Bonferroni corrected, see below) were followed up by running Model 2, which additionally adjusted for potential confounders maternal age at delivery, smoking during pregnancy, education, and pre-pregnancy BMI. If Model 2 produced an association, Model 3 was run, which additionally adjusted for the potential mediators gestational age at birth and birth weight. With these models, site-level epigenome-wide analyses (EWASs) were performed separately in each cohort. Associations between DNAm at each individual site and standardized child appetitive trait score were estimated in R using robust linear regressions. Within each model, a Bonferroni-correction was applied to account for the number of probes ($p < 1.20 \times 10^{-7}$). Quality control procedures on the EWAS results were run using the QCEWAS R package (Van der Most et al., 2017). Fixed effects inverse variance weighted meta-analyses of the cohort-specific results were performed using METAL (Willer et al., 2010). Shadow meta-analyses were independently performed a different investigator on the team and results were confirmed.

In the regional-level EWASs, related, nearby sites were studied jointly as a region, using the meta-analysis extension of the DMRff package in R (Suderman et al., 2018). DNAm is often correlated between CpG sites that are close together in space. The DMRff package takes these correlations between CpG sites as well as their physical closeness into account in the analysis. The summary statistics from each cohort site-level EWAS were used to identify differentially methylated regions (DMR) by analyzing patterns of methylation changes across multiple nearby CpG sites. The analysis also accounts for site-level uncertainty in the EWAS estimates (e.g. resulting from combining data from different cohorts). We searched for regions within a standard 500 base pair (500bp) site-window along the DNA. A Bonferroni corrected $p < 0.05$ was applied to adjust for the number of regions tested.

2.4.2. Genetic enrichment

Significant findings stemming from site- or regional-level analyses were further examined in two ways. Genetic influences on DNAm were examined by i) a look-up of known associations of DNAm with genetic variants elsewhere on the genome, e.g. methylation quantitative trait loci (mQTLs) in *cis* (within a ± 1 Mb window) or in *trans* (outside of this window, potentially on a different chromosome) as identified in cord blood of a pediatric population (Gaunt et al., 2016) and in cord or whole blood in a larger study on 36 populations of all ages (Min, Hemani, Hannon et al., 2021); and ii) a look-up of estimated additive genetic influences, and shared and unique environmental influences on DNAm, as based on twin heritability analyses (Hannon et al., 2018). To understand if there was enrichment of genetic patterns in associated versus non-associated CpGs, two-sided T-tests were performed between these two groups for each look-up and differences of $p < 0.05$ are reported.

2.4.3. Enrichment for eQTLs

We examined associated expression patterns by checking if significant findings have been identified as expression quantitative trait methylation (eQTLs) in *cis* in peripheral blood of 832 children aged between 6 and 11 years (Ruiz-Arenas et al., 2022). To understand if there was enrichment of gene expression patterns in associated versus non-associated CpGs, two-sided T-tests were performed between these two groups and differences of $p < 0.05$ are reported.

2.4.4. Enrichment for regulatory elements

We explored enrichment of tissue- or cell-type specific regulatory elements. This analysis was performed with eFORGe v2.0, using data from Consolidated Roadmap Epigenomics, ENCODE, and Blueprint to test for DNase I hypersensitive regions, chromatin states, and histone marks, using default settings (1 kb window, 1000 background repetitions) (Breeze et al., 2019). Findings of FDR adjusted $q < 0.05$ are reported.

2.4.5. Functional enrichment

To examine functional enrichment of genes associated with sites significant in the site- or regional-level meta-analyses, we performed appetitive trait-specific Gene Ontology pathways analyses using the GOfuncR package in R (Grote & Grote, 2018). Pathways with a family-wise error rate (FWER) corrected $p < 0.05$ based on random permutations of the gene-associated variables were considered to be enriched.

2.4.6. Look-up of annotated genes

Genes annotated to associated sites or regions were followed-up to probe if they have been previously associated with obesity-related traits in genome-wide association study (GWAS) or EWAS publications. The GWAS Catalog (Sollis et al., 2023) used for the GWAS look-up included >45,000 published GWASs performed in humans. Relevant obesity-related labels identified for this look-up were 'obesity', 'body mass index', 'adipose tissue', and 'fat body mass', which included 186 publications of 219 obesity-related traits. The EWAS Catalog (Battaram

et al., 2022) for the EWAS look-up included 2686 published EWASs performed in humans, in different tissues and at different ages. Relevant obesity-related labels identified were ‘obesity’, ‘BMI’, ‘body mass index’, ‘body mass index change’, and ‘heavy vs lean weight’, which included 18 publications of 6 obesity-related traits.

3. Results

The sample characteristics of Generation R and Healthy Start are described in Table 1. Both cohorts were comparable in their characteristics and appetitive traits. However, relative to Generation R, the Healthy Start children were slightly older (4.0 years vs. 4.9 years, respectively). In Generation R, mothers were slightly older (32.5 years vs. 30.4 years, respectively) and had a lower pre-pregnancy BMI (23.2 kg/m² vs. 24.8 kg/m², respectively) compared to Healthy Start mothers. The correlation coefficients between the CEBQ subscales within each cohort using Spearman’s rank correlations are shown in Table 2.

3.1. Site-level and regional-level epigenome-wide meta-analyses

We did not find associations of DNAm at individual CpG-sites and any of the appetitive traits in Model 1. Therefore, no further analyses were conducted relating to DNAm of individual CpG-sites. However, we found associations of differentially methylated regions widely spread across the genome with each of the appetitive traits in Model 1. Most regions in Model 1 were also detected as a region in Model 2 (91% detected; differences may occur if inter-CpG similarity in effect size changes between models) and the majority remained significant (94% of detected regions). The results for each model are detailed in Supplemental Tables 1-4; here we present the results of fully adjusted Model 2. DNAm at 45 regions was associated with food responsiveness (Table 3),

Table 1
Sample characteristics.

	Generation R (n = 1086)	Healthy Start (n = 236)
Child	n (%) or mean ± SD	
Sex (% boys)	531 (49)	125 (53)
Birthweight (g)	3568 ± 490	3333 ± 442
Gestational age, weeks	40.2 ± 1.4	39.6 ± 1.2
Age at appetitive traits assessment, months	48.5 ± 1.0	58.9 ± 9.9
Appetitive traits^a		
Food responsiveness (scale 5 to 25)	8.9 ± 3.1	11.1 ± 3.1
Emotional undereating (scale 5 to 20)	11.1 ± 3.4	10.7 ± 3.4
Satiety responsiveness (scale 5 to 25)	15.2 ± 3.2	15.9 ± 2.8
Food fussiness (scale 5 to 30)	17.8 ± 4.9	17.7 ± 5.1
Mother	n (%) or mean ± SD	
Age (years) ^b	32.5 ± 4.0	30.4 ± 4.8
Pre-pregnancy BMI, (kg/m ²)	23.2 ± 3.8	24.8 ± 5.3
Smoking		
Never	874 (80.5)	171 (72.5)
Quit when pregnancy was known	92 (8.5)	10 (4.2)
Continued during pregnancy	118 (10.9)	15 (6.4)
Educational level ^c		
High	451 (41.5)	208 (88.1)

BMI: Body Mass Index.

^a Sum scores from the Children’s Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (Wardle et al., 2001).

^b In Generation R, maternal age is assessed at delivery. In Healthy Start, maternal age is measured at enrolment.

^c In Generation R, mothers were coded as having a ‘high’ education if they completed university; in Healthy Start, mothers were coded as ‘high’ education if they completed more than a high school education; % missing on variables ranged from 0 to 9.8% in Generation R and 0–16.9% in Healthy Start.

Table 2

Correlations (Spearman’s rho) between CEBQ subscales in Generation R (n = 1086) and Healthy Start (n = 236).

	Emotional undereating	Satiety responsiveness	Food fussiness
Food responsiveness			
Generation R	0.08	−0.21***	−0.06**
Healthy start	0.24***	−0.17*	−0.03
Emotional undereating			
Generation R	–	0.35***	0.26***
Healthy start	–	0.15*	0.13
Satiety responsiveness			
Generation R	–	–	0.47***
Healthy start	–	–	0.41*

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$.

at 7 regions with emotional undereating (Table 4), at 13 regions with satiety responsiveness (Table 5), and at 9 regions with food fussiness (Table 6). Overall, there was little heterogeneity between cohort-level results for these regions, with a total average of 5% of sites in the associated regions showing significant ($p < 0.05$) heterogeneity. DNAm at several regions was associated, in a consistent direction, with multiple appetitive traits: DNAm at a region on chromosome 4, near *HS6ST1*, was associated with both emotional undereating and satiety responsiveness; DNAm at a region on chromosome 12 in *GLIPR1L2*, and on chromosome 4 in *SH3BP2* was associated both with satiety responsiveness and food fussiness. The additional adjustment for maternal age, smoking, education, and pre-pregnancy BMI in Model 2 resulted in small effect size changes between Model 1 and Model 2 across all appetitive traits (median change = 1.0%; IQR = 0.4–2.2%). In Model 3, which included additional adjustment for the potential mediators gestational age at birth and birth weight, 86% of regions significant in Model 2 were detected. Of these, 88% remained significant (31 regions for food responsiveness; 5 regions for emotional undereating; 12 regions for satiety responsiveness; 8 regions for food fussiness). Effect size changes from Model 2 to Model 3 were small (median change = 2.4%; IQR = 1.4–3.8%), suggesting limited or no mediation of effects via changes in birth weight and gestational age at birth.

3.2. Enrichment analyses

DNAm associations for emotional undereating, satiety responsiveness, and food fussiness showed some shared regions in the regional-level EWAS, thus confirming the conceptual links between these food avoidant traits. As such, these three food avoidant traits were grouped together for the following enrichment analyses. Hence the following enrichment analyses describe results for ‘food avoidant traits’ and ‘food responsiveness’, the only food approach trait we examined.

3.2.1. Genetic enrichment

Results from the genetic enrichment analyses, which show the extent to which DNAm at regions of associated CpGs might be influenced by genomic variation, are provided in Supplemental Table 5. First, we examined whether DNAm at CpGs in each region was related to genetic variation at SNPs (mQTLs, methylation quantitative trait loci). Based on the study by Gaunt et al. (2016), CpGs in regions associated with food avoidant traits were more often related to mQTLs than CpGs in other regions (37.7% vs. 7.4%, $p < 0.001$), but no difference was found for food responsiveness (14.6% vs. 6.9%, $p = 0.08$). Based on the study by Min et al. (2021), CpGs in regions associated with both food responsiveness and food avoidant traits were more often related to mQTLs (food responsiveness: 58.7% vs. 40.8%, $p = 0.002$; food avoidant traits: 81.7% vs. 41.6%, $p < 0.001$). Second, we examined twin heritability estimates for DNAm of CpGs in each region. The proportions of additive genetic effects estimated were higher for CpGs in associated regions versus those in non-associated regions for both food responsiveness and

Table 3

Associated regions for epigenome-wide association study of DNA methylation (of cord blood at birth) and food responsiveness at 4–5 years.

Chromosome	Start (hg19)	End (hg19)	Nearest gene	n CpGs	Estimate	SE	p	p Bonferroni adjusted
chr13	31506376	31507139	TEX26/TEX26-AS1	9	18.81	2.00	6.16E-21	3.16E-15
chr17	14206774	14207968	HS3ST3B1	13	26.92	3.36	1.09E-15	5.58E-10
chr20	44440824	44441164	UBE2C	6	37.24	4.75	4.68E-15	2.40E-09
chr19	49223814	49224454	RASIP1	6	24.60	3.21	1.92E-14	9.83E-09
chr11	3819041	3819306	PGAP2	6	215.24	30.55	1.85E-12	9.50E-07
chr13	113622633	113622750	MCF2L-AS1	6	26.74	3.49	1.74E-14	8.91E-09
chr11	44332385	44332940	ALX4	20	58.52	8.91	5.21E-11	2.67E-05
chr11	36422377	36422615	PRR5L	5	13.33	2.01	3.60E-11	1.84E-05
chr11	843915	844536	TSPAN4	8	24.67	3.80	8.70E-11	4.45E-05
chr7	94023822	94023869	COL1A2	3	187.16	28.88	9.13E-11	4.67E-05
chr8	145651369	145651799	VPS28	3	-62.99	9.68	7.63E-11	3.91E-05
chr9	90589146	90589806	CDK20	5	106.99	16.97	2.86E-10	1.47E-04
chr1	156307962	156308296	CCT3/TSACC	9	161.86	26.48	9.81E-10	5.02E-04
chr7	32111062	32111068	PDE1C	3	77.98	12.62	6.47E-10	3.31E-04
chr4	5021084	5021311	CYTL1	7	-23.84	4.04	3.59E-09	1.84E-03
chr6	149805995	149806339	ZC3H12D	7	22.41	3.65	7.99E-10	4.09E-04
chr11	2919798	2920209	SLC22A18AS	8	35.84	6.13	4.95E-09	2.54E-03
chr12	45270312	45270573	NELL2	6	136.55	22.87	2.37E-09	1.22E-03
chr15	90208810	90209326	PLIN1	5	104.26	17.56	2.91E-09	1.49E-03
chr3	48471300	48471771	PLXNB1	5	52.86	8.98	3.90E-09	2.00E-03
chr1	151118299	151119525	SEMA6C	13	93.74	16.17	6.73E-09	3.45E-03
chr13	111039873	111040579	COL4A2	4	13.97	2.38	4.19E-09	2.15E-03
chr22	18,985,500	18,985,691	DGCR5	3	12.75	2.20	6.50E-09	3.33E-03
chr8	1765074	1766126	MIR596	13	27.14	4.67	6.23E-09	3.19E-03
chr1	201476362	201476619	CSRPI	6	51.06	8.93	1.09E-08	5.59E-03
chr11	567966	568206	MIR210HG	4	65.83	11.42	8.17E-09	4.19E-03
chr11	111741914	111742291	ALG9	7	232.34	41.26	1.80E-08	9.20E-03
chr17	76976010	76976357	LGALS3BP	7	41.90	7.27	8.31E-09	4.25E-03
chr17	39890756	39891009	JUP	4	255.27	44.57	1.02E-08	5.23E-03
chr7	79083054	79084166	MAGI2-AS3	17	36.17	6.41	1.67E-08	8.57E-03
chr5	140018644	140018709	TMCO6	3	27.53	4.87	1.59E-08	8.12E-03
chr5	140621375	140621754	PCDHB19P	3	26.72	4.81	2.75E-08	1.41E-02
chr18	9708890	9709061	RAB31	3	376.43	67.81	2.83E-08	1.45E-02
chr2	239140032	239140340	TARDBPP3/LINC02610	7	63.12	11.08	1.21E-08	6.18E-03
chr7	35293080	35293892	TBX20	11	75.25	14.03	8.24E-08	4.22E-02
chr20	62327968	62328427	RTEL1-TNFRSF6B	4	-4.67	0.86	6.83E-08	3.50E-02
chr4	142053146	142054660	RNF150	9	114.87	20.95	4.18E-08	2.14E-02
chr20	62738880	62739073	NPBWR2	3	46.91	8.36	1.99E-08	1.02E-02
chr19	55677716	55678066	DNAAF3	7	222.67	40.89	5.15E-08	2.64E-02
chr16	3493423	3493681	ZNF597/NAA60	6	-22.95	4.20	4.71E-08	2.41E-02
chr4	1161597	1161653	SPON2	2	44.62	8.17	4.75E-08	2.43E-02
chr1	202113592	202114058	ARL8A	4	167.70	30.75	4.92E-08	2.52E-02
chr5	140071110	140071347	HARS2/HARS1	5	285.97	53.45	8.81E-08	4.51E-02
chr5	140529627	140530467	PCDHB6	7	15.52	2.88	6.99E-08	3.58E-02
chr14	74416980	74417249	FAM161B/COQ6	6	266.93	49.81	8.36E-08	4.28E-02

Each model adjusted for child sex and age at appetitive trait assessment, batch and estimated white blood cell proportions, maternal age at delivery, smoking during pregnancy, education and pre-pregnancy BMI.

n CpGs: number of CpGs included in region; Estimate: effect estimate from meta-analysis of linear regression models. Due to varying site-level effect sizes and inter-site correlation adjustments, the regional estimate's interpretability is limited to the direction of change: a positive estimate indicates that a higher level of methylation is related to *more* food responsiveness whereas a negative estimate indicates that a higher level of methylation is related to *less* food responsiveness.

Table 4

Associated regions for epigenome-wide association study of DNA methylation (of cord blood at birth) and emotional undereating at 4–5 years.

Chromosome	Start (hg19)	End (hg19)	Nearest gene	n CpGs	Estimate	SE	p	p Bonferroni adjusted
chr4	74847646	74847829	PF4	7	-9.31	1.41	4.02E-11	1.92E-05
chr11	368440	368638	B4GALNT4	7	-29.71	4.32	5.92E-12	2.83E-06
chr13	36871878	36872346	CCDC169-SOHLH2	12	61.38	8.94	6.76E-12	3.23E-06
chr14	100141423	100142298	HHLPL1	5	-35.00	5.60	3.94E-10	1.89E-04
chr7	94286304	94286834	PEG10	19	30.63	5.18	3.42E-09	1.64E-03
chr1	234367145	234367586	SLC35F3	5	-11.97	2.18	3.75E-08	1.79E-02
chr2	129659420	129659834	HS6ST1	4	-22.18	4.16	9.45E-08	4.52E-02

Each model adjusted for child sex and age at appetitive trait assessment, batch and estimated white blood cell proportions, maternal age at delivery, smoking during pregnancy, education and pre-pregnancy BMI.

n CpGs: number of CpGs included in region; Estimate: effect estimate from meta-analysis of linear regression models. Due to varying site-level effect sizes and inter-site correlation adjustments, the regional estimate's interpretability is limited to the direction of change: a positive estimate indicates that a higher level of methylation is related to *more* emotional undereating whereas a negative estimate indicates that a higher level of methylation is related to *less* emotional undereating.

food avoidant traits (food responsiveness: 0.28 vs. 0.14, $p < 0.001$; food avoidant traits: 0.52 vs. 0.16, $p < 0.001$). The proportion of shared environmental effects was smaller for CpGs in associated regions than in

other regions for food avoidant traits (0.10 vs. 0.16, $p < 0.001$), but for food responsiveness no difference was found (0.19 vs. 0.19, $p = 0.961$). The proportion of unique environmental effects was smaller for CpGs in

Table 5

Associated regions for epigenome-wide association study of DNA methylation (of cord blood at birth) and satiety responsiveness at 4–5 years.

Chromosome	Start (hg19)	End (hg19)	Nearest gene	<i>n</i> CpGs	Estimate	SE	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i> Bonferroni adjusted
chr2	54087008	54087343	<i>GPR75/ASB3</i>	10	−35.12	4.35	6.99E-16	3.39E-10
chr4	2819614	2819770	<i>SH3BP2</i>	3	−29.00	4.25	8.85E-12	4.29E-06
chr10	131265059	131265137	<i>MGMT</i>	5	−38.28	6.45	2.97E-09	1.44E-03
chr11	118781408	118781778	<i>BCL9L</i>	8	−28.39	4.57	5.16E-10	2.50E-04
chr15	67417651	67417899	<i>SMAD3</i>	4	−128.04	21.02	1.12E-09	5.41E-04
chr6	25882328	25882590	<i>SLC17A3</i>	4	3.73	0.62	2.44E-09	1.18E-03
chr12	75784855	75785232	<i>GLIPR1L2</i>	8	−16.56	2.93	1.50E-08	7.25E-03
chr11	2293117	2293593	<i>ASCL2</i>	12	15.40	2.62	4.36E-09	2.11E-03
chr17	6899207	6899577	<i>ALOX12-AS1</i>	9	−6.15	1.08	1.18E-08	5.73E-03
chr8	143859709	143859990	<i>LYNX1</i>	6	15.37	2.65	6.34E-09	3.07E-03
chr15	22833149	22833681	<i>TUBGCP5</i>	9	18.09	3.14	8.07E-09	3.91E-03
chr2	27531236	27531360	<i>UCN</i>	5	42.76	7.91	6.51E-08	3.15E-02
chr8	2130120	2130263	<i>MYOM2</i>	2	−41.91	7.75	6.46E-08	3.13E-02

Each model adjusted for child sex and age at appetitive trait assessment, batch and estimated white blood cell proportions, maternal age at delivery, smoking during pregnancy, education and pre-pregnancy BMI.

n CpGs: number of CpGs included in region; Estimate: effect estimate from meta-analysis of linear regression models. Due to varying site-level effect sizes and inter-site correlation adjustments, the regional estimate's interpretability is limited to the direction of change: a positive estimate indicates that a higher level of methylation is related to *more* satiety responsiveness whereas a negative estimate indicates that a higher level of methylation is related to *less* satiety responsiveness.

Table 6

Associated regions for epigenome-wide association study of DNA methylation (of cord blood at birth) and food fussiness at 4–5 years.

Chromosome	Start (hg19)	End (hg19)	Nearest gene	<i>n</i> CpGs	Estimate	SE	<i>p</i>	<i>p</i> Bonferroni adjusted
chr1	75198582	75199117	<i>CRYZ/TYW3</i>	9	−18.20	2.78	5.86E-11	2.82E-05
chr6	28058724	28059208	<i>ZSCAN16-AS1</i>	9	−9.14	1.33	6.88E-12	3.31E-06
chr12	75784617	75785295	<i>CAPS2/GLIPR1L2</i>	10	−15.55	2.41	1.07E-10	5.14E-05
chr19	57352014	57352185	<i>ZIM2/MIMT1</i>	8	72.76	10.88	2.31E-11	1.11E-05
chr4	2819614	2819770	<i>SH3BP2</i>	3	−25.79	4.20	7.89E-10	3.80E-04
chr12	2943902	2944493	<i>NR1P2</i>	8	14.58	2.57	1.47E-08	7.05E-03
chr2	129659018	129659946	<i>HS6ST1</i>	7	−19.46	3.27	2.70E-09	1.30E-03
chr7	150755629	150756491	<i>SLC4A2</i>	10	−45.95	8.49	6.16E-08	2.96E-02
chr15	62516282	62516670	<i>C2CD4B</i>	5	−15.01	2.78	6.95E-08	3.35E-02

Each model adjusted for child sex and age at appetitive trait assessment, batch and estimated white blood cell proportions, maternal age at delivery, smoking during pregnancy, education and pre-pregnancy BMI.

n CpGs: number of CpGs included in region; Estimate: effect estimate from meta-analysis of linear regression models. Due to varying site-level effect sizes and inter-site correlation adjustments, the regional estimate's interpretability is limited to the direction of change: a positive estimate indicates that a higher level of methylation is related to *more* food fussiness whereas a negative estimate indicates that a higher level of methylation is related to *less* food fussiness.

associated regions than in other regions for both food responsiveness and food avoidant traits (food responsiveness: 0.53 vs. 0.67, $p < 0.001$; food avoidant traits: 0.38 vs. 0.68, $p < 0.001$). This means that DNAm at these regions showed evidence of greater influence from genetic rather than environmental variation.

3.2.2. Enrichment for eQTMs

Results from the eQTM look-up, which shows the extent to which CpGs at associated regions have been associated with expression levels of nearby genes in peripheral blood of children (eQTMs), are provided in [Supplemental Table 6](#). CpGs at regions associated with food avoidant traits were more often marked as eQTMs than CpGs at other regions (46.2% vs. 4.1%, $p < 0.001$). No difference was detected for food responsiveness (9.7% vs. 4.3%, $p = 0.10$).

3.2.3. Enrichment for regulatory elements

For CpGs in regions associated with food responsiveness, evidence for enrichment of DNase I hypersensitive regions was found in blood tissue, fetal muscle tissue, fetal stomach tissue, fetal thymus tissue and in induced pluripotent stem cells ($q < 0.05$, [Supplemental Figure 1](#)). No evidence of tissue- or cell-type specific enrichment was found for chromatin states or histone marks for food responsiveness. For food avoidant traits, evidence for enrichment of histone marks was found in the small and large fetal intestine, fetal stomach, fetal trunk muscle, fetal thymus, and fetal adrenal gland ($q < 0.05$, [Supplemental Figure 2](#)). No tissue- or cell-type specific enrichment was found for DNase I hypersensitive regions or chromatin states with food avoidance traits.

3.2.4. Functional enrichment

Genes associated with differentially methylated regions were tested for functional enrichment against genes associated with all other regions. No enrichment of Gene Ontology pathways was found for any of the appetitive traits (FWER $p > 0.05$).

3.2.5. Look-up of annotated genes

Genes at differentially methylated regions that have been associated with obesity-related traits have been depicted in [Supplemental Tables 1–4](#). For food responsiveness, genetic variation of *SEMA6C* and *NPBWR2* has been related to BMI in the same study ([Locke et al., 2015](#)) and DNAm at *PRR5L* has been related to BMI in three studies ([Demerath et al., 2015](#); [Geurts et al., 2018](#); [Wahl et al., 2017](#)). Similarly DNAm at *ZC3H12D* ([Geurts et al., 2018](#); [Sayols-Baixeras et al., 2017](#); [Sun et al., 2019](#)), *PLXNB1* ([Geurts et al., 2018](#)), and *LGALS3BP* ([Demerath et al., 2015](#); [Geurts et al., 2018](#); [Sun et al., 2019](#)) has been related to BMI. For emotional under-eating, genetic variation of *SLC35F3* has previously been related to trunk fat mass ([Tachmazidou et al., 2017](#)) and DNAm at *ABR* has previously been related to BMI ([Geurts et al., 2018](#)). For satiety responsiveness, DNAm at *BCL9L* ([Sun et al., 2019](#); [Wahl et al., 2017](#)) and *SMAD3* ([Chen et al., 2021](#)) has previously been associated with BMI. Lastly, for food fussiness, genetic variation of *TYW3* has previously been related to visceral fat ([Fox et al., 2012](#)). All reported DNAm studies looked at DNAm in blood.

4. Discussion

This is the first epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) examining associations of DNA methylation (DNAm) in cord blood with child appetitive traits (age 4–5 years), leveraging data from two prospective birth cohorts. The meta-analyses showed that DNAm at individual CpG sites was not associated with any of the four appetitive traits examined in the current study: food responsiveness, emotional undereating, satiety responsiveness and food fussiness. However, multiple differentially methylated regions – genomic regions comprised of several, related methylated sites – were associated with appetitive traits in childhood. Many of the CpG sites in the associated regions have been shown to be under greater influence of genetic rather than environmental variation. We also found some evidence that sites associated with appetitive traits were enriched for regulatory elements in tissues such as fetal stomach and intestine. This may indicate a potential regulatory role for the identified differentially methylated regions, but this requires further functional studies. Altogether, these findings provide initial evidence for potential biological pathways underlying the expression of early appetitive traits.

The meta-analyses showed that food responsiveness was associated with the largest number of differentially methylated regions, relative to the other three appetitive traits investigated. This suggests that the prenatal period is a particularly sensitive period for the epigenetic influence of food responsiveness, which may, in part, be due to this construct broadly capturing rudimentary energy balance behaviors which are salient to survival. In children, heightened sensitivity to external food cues observed in food responsiveness serves to promote a surplus in energy intake (Carnell & Wardle, 2007) which favors weight gain (Kininmonth et al., 2021). While adaptive in environments with unreliable energy and nutritional sources, this function may be no longer beneficial in the ubiquitous Western food-environment, where palatable and energy-dense foods are readily available. In our analyses, genes associated with regions differentially methylated for food responsiveness appear to be linked to a broad range of functions, including immune function, neural development, and cardiovascular functioning. In the look-up of genes associated with differentially methylated regions, several notable genes appear to be involved in metabolically-related functions which may be relevant to the early expression of food responsiveness. One example is *ALG9* (ALG9 Alpha-1, 2-Mannosyltransferase) on chromosome 11, a gene encoding the glycosylation protein alpha-1,2-mannosyltransferase, which has been shown to be differentially expressed in the placentae of women with diabetes mellitus during pregnancy (Alexander et al., 2018). Another example is a region of chromosome 20, located in the transcription start site of *NPBWR2* (Neuropeptides B/W Receptor 2), which encodes a neuropeptide receptor, and which has previously been related to BMI (Locke et al., 2015). Also, this gene has been related to leptin and insulin levels in rats (Rucinski et al., 2007) as well as feeding behavior under stress in mice (Aikawa et al., 2008). As food responsiveness was the only food approach trait examined in the current analyses, further research is required to examine associations between DNAm and other food approach behaviors, such as enjoyment of food and emotional overeating.

Differentially methylated regions associated with the food avoidance behaviors examined (emotional undereating, satiety responsiveness and food fussiness) were linked to genes also serving broad functions, with a prominence for cardiovascular and gastrointestinal function. Interestingly, several genes were annotated to differentially methylated regions associated with multiple food avoidance behaviors. Similarly to the inter-correlations of the appetitive traits, these results indicate that we studied different aspects of a shared construct. For example, satiety responsiveness and food fussiness were related to DNAm in or near *SH3BP2* (SH3 Domain Binding Protein 2; chromosome 4) and *GLIPR1L2* (GLIPR1-Like Protein 2; chromosome 12). *SH3BP2* is associated with cherubism, a disorder characterized by dysplasia of the jaw

(Reichenberger et al., 2012). *GLIPR1L2* encodes a cysteine-rich secretory protein and is highly expressed in the testes *in vitro* (Ren et al., 2006). Cord blood methylation in *GLIPR1L2* has previously been associated with maternal pre-pregnancy obesity (Martin et al., 2019). In our current analyses, it is worth noting that DNAm in *GLIPR1L2* remained associated with both satiety responsiveness and food fussiness after adjustment for maternal pre-pregnancy BMI (Model 2). This indicates that, where maternal pre-pregnancy BMI may be a potential precursor to cord blood *GLIPR1L2* DNAm, the association between *GLIPR1L2* DNAm and these appetitive traits (satiety responsiveness and food fussiness) is at least partially independent of pre-pregnancy BMI.

Several appetitive traits were associated with DNAm at regions near or at genes linked to pubertal development. Both emotional undereating and food fussiness were associated with DNAm on chromosome 2 at regions near *HS6ST1* (Heparan Sulfate 6-O-Sulfotransferase 1), a gene related to hypogonadism and delayed puberty (Howard et al., 2018). Another differentially methylated region found for emotional undereating was near *IGSF10* (Immunoglobulin Superfamily Member 10) on chromosome 3, which has also been related to delayed puberty (Budny et al., 2020). Food responsiveness was associated with a differentially methylated region on chromosome 3 in *PLXNB1* (Plexin B1), which has been related to hypogonadism (Welch et al., 2022). Furthermore, a look-up indicated that DNAm at *PLXNB1* has been related to BMI (Geurts et al., 2018). Taken together, this could indicate that these gene regions that relate to appetite in early childhood may be signals for growth and development, perhaps during puberty.

Enrichment analyses showed that differentially methylated regions associated with food avoidance traits were enriched for *cis*-eQTLs in peripheral blood in children, indicating that differential DNAm at these regions may have functional relevance. Among regions in which DNAm was related to expression of the gene closest to the region itself are those at aforementioned *SH3BP2* and *IGSF10*, as well as a region in *OR2L13* (Olfactory Receptor Family 2 Subfamily L Member 13), at which DNAm associated with emotional undereating. This gene encodes an olfactory receptor, and has been shown to be expressed in non-chemosensory tissues as well, including blood and the brain (Ferrer et al., 2016), and DNAm at this gene has been associated with gestational diabetes mellitus previously (Howe et al., 2020; Quilter et al., 2014). DNAm at a few differentially methylated regions was related to expression levels of a gene close to, but not nearest to the region, for example DNAm at *SLC4A2* (Solute Carrier Family 4 Member 2) was associated with food fussiness, yet DNAm at this region is related to the expression of *AGAP3* (ArfGAP With GTPase Domain, Ankyrin Repeat And PH Domain 3), a gene related to synaptic plasticity (Oku & Haganir, 2013).

Further, enrichment analyses of CpGs at differentially methylated regions associated with appetitive traits indicated that, overall, DNAm at the associated regions appeared to be mainly explained by genetic (as opposed to environmental) variation as compared to DNAm at other regions. This corroborates our finding that associations of DNAm with appetitive traits are largely independent of prenatal factors. Causal pathways of selected genes could be tested through Mendelian randomization and mediation analyses (at the site-level) to interpret to what extent DNAm might mediate genetic or environmental effects on appetitive traits. Mediation analyses would also be required to formally test appetitive traits as behaviorally-mediated mechanisms linking genetic risk and environmental exposure to weight gain. Another follow-up experiment could be to analyze DNAm and gene expression in the same samples to test the effects of differential DNAm on gene expression. Such an analysis could provide an immediate functional readout of identified DNAm differences. We did not examine child BMI as an outcome or correlate of appetitive traits, as such analyses are outside the scope of the current analyses as it would require much larger sample sizes. However, this is an important direction for future research, owing to the link between appetitive traits and child BMI and adiposity.

This study adds to the knowledge on biological processes underlying children's appetitive traits. However, interpretation of these results

must be considered in light of some limitations. Firstly, these results are presented with the caveat that the direction of the DNAm methylation effect estimates cannot be readily interpreted. For active genes, higher DNAm at the promoter area (TSS200) is often, but not always, related to decreased gene transcription, whereas higher DNAm is often (but not always) related to increased gene transcription (Ball et al., 2009; Jones, 2012). In addition, since site-level effect sizes can vary substantially across the differentially methylated regions, and correlations between the sites are accounted for when computing the regional-level estimate, this means that the size of the regional analysis estimate cannot be meaningfully interpreted. We therefore recommend readers to pay attention to the annotated genes, rather than the effect estimates, to understand which biological systems may be involved in appetitive traits. Secondly, because associations between DNAm at CpG sites and child behavior are typically small (Mulder et al., 2020), we may have been underpowered to detect small effect sizes. However, previous studies examining DNAm in relation to child appetitive traits were small candidate studies (Do et al., 2019; Gardner et al., 2015), and therefore this is the first (and relatively large) EWAS meta-analysis. Thirdly, it is also worth mentioning that there were some differences between the two cohorts in the meta-analysis; for example, how participant characteristics were measured (e.g. maternal education). The cohorts were also different in size. Nevertheless, we found many associations between differentially methylated regions and appetitive traits in the meta-analysis, with most showing low heterogeneity between the cohorts, indicating that these results were largely robust to inter-cohort differences. We encourage researchers to add to these data and to further explore other appetitive traits, as only appetitive traits that were common across both cohorts were examined in the current study. Fourthly, appetitive traits were assessed using parent-report, which may introduce subjectivity or social desirability bias. However, the CEBQ was used to assess child appetitive traits, and subscales from the CEBQ have been validated with observations and experimental eating behavior tasks in preschool-aged children (Blissett et al., 2019; Carnell & Wardle, 2007). Parents are likely to be reliable reporters of their child's behavior as they observe their child's eating across contexts and over a period of time. Fifthly, there was a 4- to 5- year period between the measurement of the exposure (cord blood DNAm) and the outcome (appetitive traits) in the two cohorts. Other early environmental exposures may influence the expression of children's eating phenotypes, such as parent feeding practices (Harris et al., 2020). Finally, we only examined one time point of DNAm and appetitive traits. As both appetitive traits and DNAm are known to change over time (Derks et al., 2019; Mulder et al., 2021), future research could build on the current findings by examining DNAm and appetitive traits at multiple time points across child development to determine the directionality of associations. There were also many study strengths in addition to those already mentioned. For example, in addition to the analysis of CpGs, we completed a robust analysis of differentially methylated regions. We also controlled for a number of maternal and child covariates in different models, and hypothesized mediators, to examine effect size changes between models.

Findings from this study support the hypothesis that DNAm at numerous genetic regions in cord blood is associated with appetitive traits at preschool-age, implicating DNAm patterns widely spread across the genome in the newborn as a potential mechanism underlying early childhood eating behavior. We have linked our results to evidence indicating that DNAm at these regions is related to both genetic factors and the prenatal environment, although more so to the former. We hope these findings incite other researchers to study associations between DNAm and appetitive traits, to unravel potential causal pathways through which DNAm may play its role in appetitive traits.

Author contributions

HAH, PWJ, JFF, and RHM contributed to the conceptualization of the analyses, HAH, CF, AS, JFF, and RHM performed the analyses, HAH,

JFF, and RHM interpreted the results and HAH drafted the initial manuscript. All authors reviewed and revised the manuscript, approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Generation R

The general design of Generation R Study is made possible by financial support from Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam and the Erasmus University Rotterdam, the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMW), the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport and the Ministry of Youth and Families. The EWAS data were funded by a grant from the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI)/Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging (NCHA; project nr. 050-060-810), by funds from the Genetic Laboratory of the Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, and by a grant from the National Institute of Child and Human Development (R01HD068437). This project received funding from the European Joint Programming Initiative "A Healthy Diet for a Healthy Life" (JPI HDHL, NutriPROGRAM project, ZonMw the Netherlands no.529051022). HAH received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement (No. 707404). The opinions expressed in this document reflect only the authors' view. The European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains. PWJ received funding from the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (Mental Health Care Research Program, Fellowship 636320005). The work of RHM and JFF is supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (EarlyCause; grant agreement No 848158).

Healthy start

The Healthy Start study was funded by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (R01DK076648), the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (R01ES022934) and the National Institutes of Health Office of the Director (UH3OD023248).

Data and code availability

Data from the Generation R Study are available upon reasonable request to the director of the Generation R Study (generationr@erasmusmc.nl), subject to local, national and European rules and regulations. For Healthy Start, data are available upon reasonable request from the Lifecourse Epidemiology of Adiposity and Diabetes (LEAD) Center data management team at LEADCenter@cuanschutz.edu.

The Generation R Study

The Generation R Study has been approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam. Written informed consent was obtained from parents of all children.

The Healthy Start study

The Healthy Start study protocol was approved by the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board, and all women provided written informed consent before the first study visit.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgements

The Generation R Study is conducted by Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam in close collaboration with the School of Law and Faculty of Social Sciences of the Erasmus University Rotterdam, the Municipal Health Service Rotterdam area, Rotterdam, the Rotterdam Homecare Foundation, Rotterdam and the Stichting Trombosedienst & Artsenlaboratorium Rijnmond (STAR-MDC), Rotterdam. We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of children and parents, general practitioners, hospitals, midwives and pharmacies in Rotterdam. The generation and management of the Illumina 450K methylation array data (EWAS data) for the Generation R Study was executed by the Human Genotyping Facility of the Genetic Laboratory of the Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, the Netherlands. We thank Mr. Michael Verbiest, Ms. Mila Jhamai, Ms. Sarah Higgins, Mr. Marijn Verkerk and Dr. Lisette Stolk for their help in creating the EWAS database. We thank Dr. A. Teumer for his work on the quality control and normalization scripts.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2023.107086>.

References

- Aikawa, S., Ishii, M., Yanagisawa, M., Sakakibara, Y., & Sakurai, T. (2008). Effect of neuropeptide B on feeding behavior is influenced by endogenous corticotropin-releasing factor activities. *Regulatory Peptides*, *151*(1–3), 147–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regpep.2008.08.001>
- Alexander, J., Teague, A. M., Chen, J., Aston, C. E., Leung, Y.-K., Chernausek, S., et al. (2018). Offspring sex impacts DNA methylation and gene expression in placentae from women with diabetes during pregnancy. *PLoS One*, *13*(2), Article e0190698. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190698>
- Aryee, M. J., Jaffe, A. E., Corrada-Bravo, H., Ladd-Acosta, C., Feinberg, A. P., Hansen, K. D., et al. (2014). Minfi: A flexible and comprehensive bioconductor package for the analysis of Infinium DNA methylation microarrays. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(10), 1363–1369. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu049>
- Ball, M. P., Li, J. B., Gao, Y., Lee, J. H., LeProust, E. M., Park, I. H., et al. (2009). Targeted and genome-scale strategies reveal gene-body methylation signatures in human cells. *Nature Biotechnology*, *27*(4), 361–368. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1533>
- Barker, D. J. P. (2004). The developmental origins of adult disease. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, *23*(sup6), 588S–595S.
- Battaram, T., Yousefi, P., Crawford, G., Prince, C., Sheikhalil Babaei, M., Sharp, G., et al. (2022). The EWAS catalog: A database of epigenome-wide association studies. *Wellcome Open Research*, *7*, 41. <https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17598.2>
- Blissett, J., Farrow, C., & Haycraft, E. (2019). Relationships between observations and parental reports of 3-5 year old children's emotional eating using the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire. *Appetite*, *141*, Article 104323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104323>
- Boone-Heinonen, J., Weeks, H. M., Sturza, J., Miller, A. L., Lumeng, J. C., & Bauer, K. W. (2019). Prenatal predictors of objectively measured appetite regulation in low-income toddlers and preschool-age children. *Pediatric Obesity*, *14*(11), Article e12554. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12554>
- Boswell, N., Byrne, R., & Davies, P. S. W. (2018). Aetiology of eating behaviours: A possible mechanism to understand obesity development in early childhood. *Neuroscience Biobehavioral Review*, *95*, 438–448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.07.011>
- Breeze, C. E., Reynolds, A. P., Van Dongen, J., Dunham, I., Lazar, J., Neph, S., Vierstra, J., Bourque, G., Teschendorff, A. E., & Stamatoyannopoulos, J. A. (2019). eFORGE v2.0: updated analysis of cell type-specific signal in epigenomic data. *Bioinformatics*, *35*(22), 4767–4769. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz456>
- Budny, B., Zemojtel, T., Kaluzna, M., Gut, P., Niedziela, M., Obara-Moszyńska, et al. (2020). SEMA3A and IGSF10 are novel contributors to combined pituitary hormone deficiency (CPHD). *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, *11*, 368. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2020.00368>
- Buuren, S. V., & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. (2010). mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 1–68.
- Carnell, S., Haworth, C. M. A., Plomin, R., & Wardle, J. (2008). Genetic influence on appetite in children. *International Journal of Obesity*, *32*, 1468. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2008.127>
- Carnell, S., & Wardle, J. (2007). Measuring behavioural susceptibility to obesity: Validation of the child eating behaviour questionnaire. *Appetite*, *48*(1), 104–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2006.06.005>
- Chen, Y., Kassam, I., Lau, S. H., Kooner, J. S., Wilson, R., Peters, A., et al. (2021). Impact of BMI and waist circumference on epigenome-wide DNA methylation and identification of epigenetic biomarkers in blood: An EWAS in multi-ethnic Asian individuals. *Clinical Epigenetics*, *13*(1), 195. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-021-01162-x>
- Chen, Y. A., Lemire, M., Choufani, S., Butcher, D. T., Grafodatskaya, D., Zanke, B. W., et al. (2013). Discovery of cross-reactive probes and polymorphic CpGs in the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 microarray. *Epigenetics*, *8*(2), 203–209. <https://doi.org/10.4161/epi.23470>
- Costa, A., Warkentin, S., Ribeiro, C., Severo, M., Ramos, E., Hetherington, M., et al. (2022). Early life exposures are associated with appetitive traits in infancy: Findings from the BiTwin cohort. *European Journal of Nutrition*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-022-03026-3>
- Cummings, J. R., Faith, M. S., Lipsky, L. M., Liu, A., Mooney, J. T., & Nansel, T. R. (2022). Prospective relations of maternal reward-related eating, pregnancy ultra-processed food intake and weight indicators, and feeding mode with infant appetitive traits. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *19*(1), 100. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-022-01334-9>
- Demerath, E. W., Guan, W., Grove, M. L., Aslibekyan, S., Mendelson, M., Zhou, Y. H., et al. (2015). Epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) of BMI, BMI change and waist circumference in African American adults identifies multiple replicated loci. *Human Molecular Genetics*, *24*(15), 4464–4479. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddv161>
- Derks, I. P. M., Bolhuis, K., Sijbrands, E. J. G., Gaillard, R., Hillegers, M. H. J., & Jansen, P. W. (2019). Predictors and patterns of eating behaviors across childhood: Results from the Generation R study. *Appetite*, *141*, Article 104295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.05.026>
- Desai, M., & Ross, M. G. (2020). Maternal-infant nutrition and development programming of offspring appetite and obesity. *Nutrition Review*, *78*(Suppl 2), 25–31, 5982259.
- Do, E. K., Zucker, N. L., Huang, Z. Y., Schechter, J. C., Kollins, S. H., Maguire, R. L., et al. (2019). Associations between imprinted gene differentially methylated regions, appetitive traits and body mass index in children. *Pediatric Obesity*, *14*(2), Article e12454. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12454>
- Felix, J. F., Joubert, B. R., Baccarelli, A. A., Sharp, G. C., Almqvist, C., Annesi-Maesano, I., et al. (2018). Cohort profile: Pregnancy and childhood epigenetics (PACE) consortium. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *47*(1), 22–23u, 4157905.
- Ferrer, I., Garcia-Esparcia, P., Carmona, M., Carro, E., Aronica, E., Kovacs, G. G., Grison, A., & Gustincich, S. (2016). Olfactory receptors in non-chemosensory organs: The nervous system in health and disease. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, *8*, 163. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2016.00163>
- Fildes, A., Mallan, K. M., Cooke, L., van Jaarsveld, C. H., Llewellyn, C. H., Fisher, A., et al. (2015). The relationship between appetite and food preferences in British and Australian children. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *12*(1), 116. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-015-0275-4>
- Fildes, A., van Jaarsveld, C. H., Cooke, L., Wardle, J., & Llewellyn, C. H. (2016). Common genetic architecture underlying young children's food fussiness and liking for vegetables and fruit. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *103*(4), 1099–1104. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.115.122945>
- Fogel, A., McCrickerd, K., Aris, I. M., Goh, A. T., Chong, Y. S., Tan, K. H., et al. (2020). Eating behaviors moderate the associations between risk factors in the first 1000 days and adiposity outcomes at 6 years of age. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *111*(5), 997–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqaa052>
- Fox, C. S., Liu, Y., White, C. C., Feitosa, M., Smith, A. V., Heard-Costa, N., et al. (2012). Genome-wide association for abdominal subcutaneous and visceral adipose reveals a novel locus for visceral fat in women. *PLoS Genetics*, *8*(5), Article e1002695. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002695>
- Gardner, K. R., Sapienza, C., & Fisher, J. O. (2015). Genetic and epigenetic associations to obesity-related appetite phenotypes among African-American children. *Pediatric Obesity*, *10*(6), 476–482. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12010>
- Gaunt, T. R., Shihab, H. A., Hemani, G., Min, J. L., Woodward, G., Lyttleton, O., et al. (2016). Systematic identification of genetic influences on methylation across the human life course. *Genome Biology*, *17*(1), 61. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0926-z>
- Gervin, K., Salas, L. A., Bakulski, K. M., van Zelm, M. C., Koestler, D. C., Wiencke, J. K., et al. (2019). Systematic evaluation and validation of reference and library selection methods for deconvolution of cord blood DNA methylation data. *Clinical Epigenetics*, *11*(1), 125. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-019-0717-y>
- Geurts, Y. M., Dugué, P.-A., Joo, J., Makalic, E., Jung, C.-H., Guan, W., ... Hodge, A. (2018). Novel associations between blood DNA methylation and body mass index in middle-aged and older adults. *International Journal of Obesity*, *42*(4), 887–896.
- Grote, S., & Grote, M. S. (2018). Package 'GOFUNC' in R.
- Hannon, E., Knox, O., Sugden, K., Burrage, J., Wong, C. C. Y., Belsky, D. W., et al. (2018). Characterizing genetic and environmental influences on variable DNA methylation using monozygotic and dizygotic twins. *PLoS Genetics*, *14*(8), Article e1007544. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007544>
- Harris, H. A., Anzman-Frasca, S., Marini, M. E., Paul, I. M., Birch, L. L., & Savage, J. S. (2020). Effect of a responsive parenting intervention on child emotional overeating is mediated by reduced maternal use of food to soothe: The INSIGHT RCT. *Pediatric Obesity*, *15*(10), Article e12645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12645>
- Hoaglin, D. C., Iglewicz, B., & Tukey, J. W. (1986). Performance of some resistant rules for outlier labeling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *81*(396), 991–999.
- Howard, S. R., Oleari, R., Poliandri, A., Chantzara, V., Fantin, A., Ruiz-Babot, G., et al. (2018). HS6ST1 insufficiency causes self-limited delayed puberty in contrast with other GnRH deficiency genes. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, *103*(9), 3420–3429. <https://doi.org/10.1210/je.2018-00646>

- Howe, C. G., Cox, B., Fore, R., Jungius, J., Kvist, T., Lent, S., Miles, H. E., Salas, L. A., Rifas-Shiman, S., Starling, A. P., Yousefi, P., Ladd-Acosta, C., Baccarelli, A., Binder, E. B., Chatzi, V. L., Czamara, D., Dabelea, D., DeMeo, D. L., Ghanouts, A., ... Breton, C. V. (2020). Maternal gestational diabetes mellitus and newborn DNA methylation: Findings from the pregnancy and childhood epigenetics consortium. *Diabetes Care*, *43*(1), 98–105. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc19-0524>
- Illumina Inc. (2012). *Infinium® HumanMethylation450 BeadChip*. Retrieved 7 September 2023 from https://www.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-marketing/documents/products/datasheets/datasheet_humanmethylation450.pdf.
- Jaddoe, V. W., Mackenbach, J. P., Moll, H. A., Steegers, E. A., Tiemeier, H., Verhulst, F. C., et al. (2006). The generation R study: Design and cohort profile. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, *21*(6), 475–484. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-006-9022-0>
- Johnson, W. E., Li, C., & Rabinovic, A. (2007). Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. *Biostatistics*, *8*(1), 118–127. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/8.1.118>
- Jones, P. A. (2012). Functions of DNA methylation: islands, start sites, genes and beyond. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *13*(7), 484–492. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3230>
- Joubert, B. R., Felix, J. F., Yousefi, P., Bakulski, K. M., Just, A. C., & Breton, C. (2016). DNA methylation in newborns and maternal smoking in pregnancy: Genome-wide consortium meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, *98*(4), 680–696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.02.007>
- Kinmonth, A., Smith, A., Carnell, S., Steinsbekk, S., Fildes, A., & Llewellyn, C. (2021). The association between childhood adiposity and appetite assessed using the child eating behavior questionnaire and baby eating behavior questionnaire: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews*, *22*(5), Article e13169. <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.13169>
- Lehne, B., Drong, A. W., Loh, M., Zhang, W., Scott, W. R., Tan, S.-T., et al. (2015). A coherent approach for analysis of the Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip improves data quality and performance in epigenome-wide association studies. *Genome Biology*, *16*(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0600-x>
- Levitin, R. D., & Wendland, B. (2013). Novel "thrifty" models of increased eating behaviour. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, *15*(11), 408. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-013-0408-x>
- Llewellyn, C. H., & Fildes, A. (2017). Behavioural susceptibility theory: Professor Jane Wardle and the role of appetite in genetic risk of obesity. *Curr Obes Rep*, *6*(1), 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-017-0247-x>
- Llewellyn, C. H., van Jaarsveld, Johnson, L., Carnell, S., & Wardle, J. (2010). Nature and nurture in infant appetite: analysis of the Gemini twin birth cohort. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *91*(5), 1172–1179. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2009.28868>
- Llewellyn, C. H., van Jaarsveld, C. H., Johnson, L., Carnell, S., & Wardle, J. (2011). Development and factor structure of the baby eating behaviour questionnaire in the Gemini birth cohort. *Appetite*, *57*(2), 388–396. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.04.018>
- Locke, A. E., Kahali, B., Berndt, S. I., Justice, A. E., Pers, T. H., Day, F. R., et al. (2015). Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. *Nature*, *518*(7538), 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14177>
- Martin, C. L., Jima, D., Sharp, G. C., McCullough, L. E., Park, S. S., Gowdy, K. M., et al. (2019). Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity, offspring cord blood DNA methylation, and offspring cardiometabolic health in early childhood: An epigenome-wide association study. *Epigenetics*, *14*(4), 325–340. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2019.1581594>
- Merid, S. K., Novoloaca, A., Sharp, G. C., Küpers, L. K., Kho, A. T., Roy, R., et al. (2020). Epigenome-wide meta-analysis of blood DNA methylation in newborns and children identifies numerous loci related to gestational age. *Genome Medicine*, *12*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-0716-9>
- Min, J. L., Hemani, G., Hannon, E., et al. (2021). Genomic and phenotypic insights from an atlas of genetic effects on DNA methylation. *Nature Genetics*, *3*(9), 1311–1321. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00923-x>
- Mulder, R. H., Neumann, A., Cecil, C. A. M., Walton, E., Houtepen, L. C., Simpkin, A. J., et al. (2021). Epigenome-wide change and variation in DNA methylation in childhood: Trajectories from birth to late adolescence. *Human Molecular Genetics*, *30*(1), 119–134. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddaa280>
- Mulder, R. H., Walton, E., Neumann, A., Houtepen, L. C., Felix, J. F., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., et al. (2020). Epigenomics of being bullied: Changes in DNA methylation following bullying exposure. *Epigenetics*, *15*(6–7), 750–764. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2020.1719303>
- Naeem, H., Wong, N. C., Chatterton, Z., Hong, M. K., Pedersen, J. S., Corcoran, N. M., Hovens, C. M., & Macintyre, G. (2014). Reducing the risk of false discovery enabling identification of biologically significant genome-wide methylation status using the HumanMethylation450 array. *BMC Genomics*, *15*(1), 51. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-014-1551-5>
- Oku, Y., & Hagan, R. L. (2013). AGAP3 and Arf6 regulate trafficking of AMPA receptors and synaptic plasticity. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *33*(31), 12586–12598. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0341-13.2013>
- Ong, Z. Y., & Muhlhauser, B. S. (2011). Maternal "junk-food" feeding of rat dams alters food choices and development of the mesolimbic reward pathway in the offspring. *Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology Journal*, *25*(7), 2167–2179. <https://doi.org/10.1075/fesb.25.7.2167>
- Quilter, C. R., Cooper, W. N., Cliffe, K. M., Skinner, B. M., Prentice, P. M., Nelson, L., Bauer, J., Ong, K. K., et al. (2014). Impact on offspring methylation patterns of maternal gestational diabetes mellitus and intrauterine growth restraint suggest common genes and pathways linked to subsequent type 2 diabetes risk. *The FASEB Journal*, *28*(11), 4868–4879. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.14-255240>
- R Core Team. (2013). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Reichenberger, E. J., Levine, M. A., Olsen, B. R., Papadaki, M. E., & Lietman, S. A. (2012). The role of SH3BP2 in the pathophysiology of cherubism. *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases*, *7*(1), S5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-7-S1-S5>
- Ren, C., Ren, C.-H., Li, L., Goltsov, A. A., & Thompson, T. C. (2006). Identification and characterization of RTVP1/GLIPR1-like genes, a novel p53 target gene cluster. *Genomics*, *88*(2), 163–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2006.03.021>
- Rucinski, M., Nowak, K. W., Chmielewska, J., Ziolkowska, A., & Malendowicz, L. K. (2007). Neuropeptide W exerts a potent suppressive effect on blood leptin and insulin concentrations in the rat. *International Journal of Molecular Medicine*, *19*(3), 401–405.
- Ruiz-Arenas, C., Hernandez-Ferrer, C., Vives-Usano, M., Marí, S., Quintela, I., Mason, D., et al. (2022). Identification of autosomal cis expression quantitative trait methylation (cis-eQTM) in children's blood. *Elife*, *11*, Article e65310. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65310>
- Russell, C. G., & Russell, A. (2019). A biopsychosocial approach to processes and pathways in the development of overweight and obesity in childhood: Insights from developmental theory and research. *Obesity Reviews*, *20*(5), 725–749. <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12838>
- Sayols-Baixeras, S., Subirana, I., Fernandez-Sanles, A., Senti, M., Lluís-Ganella, C., Marrugat, J., et al. (2017). DNA methylation and obesity traits: An epigenome-wide association study. The REGICOR study. *Epigenetics*, *12*(10), 909–916. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2017.1363951>
- Sharp, G. C., Salas, L. A., Monnereau, C., Allard, C., Yousefi, P., & Everson, T. M. (2017). Maternal BMI at the start of pregnancy and offspring epigenome-wide DNA methylation: Findings from the pregnancy and childhood epigenetics (PACE) consortium. *Human Molecular Genetics*, *26*(20), 4067–4085. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx290>
- Smith, A. D., Herle, M., Fildes, A., Cooke, L., Steinsbekk, S., & Llewellyn, C. H. (2017). Food fussiness and food neophobia share a common etiology in early childhood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *58*(2), 189–196. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12647>
- Sollis, E., Mosaku, A., Abid, A., Buniello, A., Cerezo, M., Gil, L., et al. (2023). The NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog: Knowledgebase and deposition resource. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *51*(D1), D977–D985. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1010>
- Starling, A. P., Brinton, J. T., Glueck, D. H., Shapiro, A. L., Harrod, C. S., Lynch, A. M., et al. (2015). Associations of maternal BMI and gestational weight gain with neonatal adiposity in the Healthy Start study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *101*(2), 302–309. [ajcn.114.094946](https://doi.org/10.1194/ajcn.114.094946)
- Starling, A. P., Liu, C., Shen, G., Yang, I. V., Kechris, K., Borengasser, S. J., et al. (2020). Prenatal exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances, umbilical cord blood DNA methylation, and cardio-metabolic indicators in newborns: The Healthy start study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *128*(12), Article 127014. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.2019.127014>
- Suderman, M., Staley, J. R., French, R., Arathimos, R., Simpkin, A., & Tilling, K. (2018). *Dmrrf: Identifying differentially methylated regions efficiently with power and control*. *BioRxiv*, Article 508556.
- Sun, D., Zhang, T., Su, S., Hao, G., Chen, T., Li, Q. Z., et al. (2019). Body mass index drives changes in DNA methylation: A longitudinal study. *Circulation Research*, *125*(9), 824–833. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.315397>
- Syrad, H., Johnson, L., Wardle, J., & Llewellyn, C. H. (2016). Appetitive traits and food intake patterns in early life. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *103*(1), 231–235. [ajcn.115.117382](https://doi.org/10.1193/ajcn.115.117382)
- Tachmazidou, I., Suveges, D., Min, J. L., Ritchie, G. R. S., Steinberg, J., Walter, K., et al. (2017). Whole-genome sequencing coupled to imputation discovers genetic signals for anthropometric traits. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *100*(6), 865–884. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.04.014>
- Tharner, A., Jansen, P. W., Kieft-de Jong, J. C., Moll, H. A., van der Ende, J., & Jaddoe, V. W. V. (2014). Toward an operative diagnosis of fussy/picky eating: A latent profile approach in a population-based cohort. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *11*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-11-14>
- Tielemans, M. J., Erler, N. S., Leermakers, E. T., van den Broek, M., Jaddoe, V. W., Steegers, E. A., et al. (2015). A priori and a posteriori dietary patterns during pregnancy and gestational weight gain: The generation R study. *Nutrients*, *7*(11), 9383–9399. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7115476>
- Touleimat, N., & Tost, J. (2012). Complete pipeline for Infinium(RR) Human Methylation 450K BeadChip data processing using subset quantile normalization for accurate DNA methylation estimation. *Epigenomics*, *4*(3), 325–341. <https://doi.org/10.2217/epi.12.21>
- Triche, T., Jr. (2014). *Fdb.InfiniumMethylation.hg19: Annotation package for Illumina Infinium DNA methylation probes*. R package version 2.2.0.
- Tukey, J. W. (1977). *Exploratory data analysis*. Reading: Addison-Wesley.
- Van der Most, P. J., Kupers, L. K., Snieder, H., & Nolte, I. (2017). Qcwas: Automated quality control of results of epigenome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*, *33*(8), 1243–1245. [btw766](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx766)
- Wahl, S., Drong, A., Lehne, B., Loh, M., Scott, W. R., Kunze, S., et al. (2017). Epigenome-wide association study of body mass index, and the adverse outcomes of adiposity. *Nature*, *541*(7635), 81–86. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20784>
- Wardle, J., Guthrie, C. A., Sanderson, S., & Rapoport, L. (2001). Development of the children's eating behaviour questionnaire. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *42*(7), 963–970. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-7610.00792>
- Welch, B. A., Cho, H.-j., Ucakurk, S. A., Farmer, S. M., Cetinkaya, S., Abaci, A., et al. (2022). PLXNB1 mutations in the etiology of idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*, *34*(4), Article e13103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.13103>
- Willer, C. J., Li, Y., & Abecasis, G. R. (2010). Metal: Fast and efficient meta-analysis of genome-wide association scans. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(17), 2190–2191. [btq340](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq340)
- Yang, I. V., Pedersen, B. S., Liu, A. H., O'Connor, G. T., Pillai, D., Kattam, M., et al. (2017). The nasal methylome and childhood atopic asthma. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, *139*(5), 1478–1488. [S0091-6749\(16\)30955-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2016.11.015)